

Nigeria

National Bureau of Statistics

## African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006

Study Documentation

July 24, 2008

# Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) , Documentation of the Survey
Production Date	July 1, 2006
Version	Version 1.0 (July 2006)
Identification	DDI-NGR-NBS-APRM-2006-v01

## Acknowledgment(s)

National Bureau of Statistics

This document was generated using the [IHSN Microdata Management Toolkit](#)

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a> .....	1
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage</a> .....	1
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors</a> .....	1
<a href="#">Sampling</a> .....	2
<a href="#">Data Collection</a> .....	3
<a href="#">Data Processing &amp; Appraisal</a> .....	5
<a href="#">Accessibility</a> .....	6
<a href="#">Rights &amp; Disclaimer</a> .....	7
<a href="#">Files Description</a> .....	8
<a href="#">APRM-HH</a> .....	8
<a href="#">APRM-INDIVIDUAL</a> .....	8
<a href="#">Variables List</a> .....	9
<a href="#">APRM-HH</a> .....	9
<a href="#">APRM-INDIVIDUAL</a> .....	9
<a href="#">Variables Description</a> .....	61
<a href="#">APRM-HH</a> .....	61
<a href="#">APRM-INDIVIDUAL</a> .....	67
<a href="#">Documentation</a> .....	483



Nigeria (2006)

## African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 (APRM 2006)

## Overview

Type	Statistical Info. & Monitoring Prog. [hh/simproc]
Identification	NGA-NBS-APRM-2006-v01.
Version	Production Date: 2006-11-30 v1.0 Edited data first version, for internal use only.
Series	The African Peer Review Mechanism Survey Round 1 (2006) is the first round of APRM surveys, conducted in the country. APRM survey are designed by National Bureau of Statistics (NBS).
<p><u>Abstract</u></p> <p>The African Peer Review Mechanism Survey APRM (2006) are designed by National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). The survey is a programme of the New Partnership for Africa,s Development (NEPAD) for fostering good governance in Africa countries. It is basically a mutually adopted instrument for self-monitoring by African countries, using agreed African and international standards. The peer review process is designed to enthrone and deepen good governance in the continent. Each country is expected to carry out a self-assessment of its performance on</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Essentially, the survey covered the 36 States of the Federation and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja.</p> <p>All the four Thematic Areas of APRM were covered. These were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Democracy and Political Governance</li> <li>(b) Economic Governance and Management</li> <li>(c) Socio-economic Development</li> <li>(d) Corporate Governance.</li> </ul>	
Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	Household and Individual analysis.

## Scope &amp; Coverage

Scope

The scope of the survey covered the four thematic areas mentioned above. All objectives under each thematic area were covered. Demographic information were also collected from the respondents and other members of their household.

The Household gives information about members who usally live in the household.

The Individual gives information on socio-economic development.

Geographic Coverage

National Coverage (Urban, Rural, State and Lga).

Universe

The survey covered all household members (usual residents) starting with name of household head.

## Producers &amp; Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	National Bureau of Statitics
-------------------------	------------------------------

Other Producer(s)	Field services and methodology department, Censuses and Survey Department (FSM, CSD) , NBS , Questionnaire design Field services and methodology department (FSM) , NBS(HQ) , Sampling and Selection Field services and methodology department (FSM) , NBS(All state) , Data collection Computer management and services (CMIS) , NBS(Zonal HQ) , Data Entry Computer management and services (CMIS) , NBS(HQ) , Data Processing Computer management and services (CMIS) , NBS(HQ) , Data Analysis
Funding Agency/ies	National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) , Funding New Partnership for African's Development (NEPAD) ( (NEPAD) ) , Funding National Focal Point Secretariat (APRM) , Funding
Other Acknowledgment(s)	Amb. Aluko , Coordinator , APRM Prof. Roberts , Consultant , NISER Mr Ekujimi , Consultant , NBS Prof. Gboyega , Consultant , ECA

## Sampling

### Sampling Procedure

The survey covered all the thirty-six states of the federation and FCT, Abuja. Both Urban and Rural areas were covered in each state. Three Local Government Areas (LGAs) were selected in each state as well as FCT, Abuja. One LGA was selected from the senatorial districts where the state capital is located. The other two LGAs were selected from the remaining senatorial districts. The selection of the 2 LGAs was based on remoteness from the state capital or on ecological factors e.g upland or riverine areas of the state. Ten Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected per LGA making a total of 30 EAs per state. In each EA 20 households were covered. Thus, at national level, a total of 1,110 EAs and 22,200 households were covered.

The sample size from the State to the household level was as follows;

3 Senatorial Districts/ LGAs were selected in each State plus the FCT, Abuja.

110 LGAs were selected nationwide

10 EAs were selected from each LGA

1110 EAs were selected nationwide

20 Households were scientifically selected from each EA.

600 Households were scientifically selected from each State plus the FCT, Abuja.

22,200 Households were scientifically selected nationwide.

### Response Rate

Out of 20 persons interviewed in an EA, 8 persons were male headed/Adult households, 7 persons were female headed/Adult households and 5-unmarried youth (18-24years). The breakdown of the youths respondents were 2 males and 3 females.

### Weighting

The probability of selecting the EA be  $f_j$  and the probability of selecting the households,  $f_k$ . Then the product,  $f_j f_k = (1/w_{jk})$

Where  $f_j = n/N$  and  $f_k = h/H$

For Local Government Area Estimate,

$$YI = (N/n) S_{nj=i} (H/h) S_{hk=i} (X_{lkj})$$

$$= (N/n)(H/h) S_{nj=i} S_{hk=i} (X_{lkj})$$

$$= w_{ljk} S_{nj=i} S_{hk=i} (X_{lkj})$$

Note:  $w_{ijk} = (N/n)(H/h)$

Where: YI = Estimate for LGA

N = Total number of EAs in the lth LGA

n = Selected number of EAs in the lth LGA

H = Total number of households listed in the jth EA

h = Selected number of EAs in the jth LGA

X<sub>lkj</sub> = Value of the element in the Kth household of jth EA in the lth LGA

w<sub>ijk</sub> = Weight of the element in Kth household of the jth EA in the lth LGA

National Estimate

$Y_N = \sum_{l=1}^L Y_l$

Where Y<sub>N</sub> is the National Estimate; and

Y<sub>l</sub> is the LGA estimate.

## Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	12: start 2006-09-14 12: end 2006-09-25
-----------------------	--

Data Collection Mode	Face-to-face [f2f]
----------------------	--------------------

### Data Collection Notes

The pretest survey was designed and actually covered six States of the federation, with one State from each of the six geo-political zones. Specifically, the States selected were Plateau (North Central), Borno (North East), Kaduna (North West), Enugu (South East), Cross River (South South) and Oyo (South West). The sample size was small. Only one LGA was selected in each of the six States that fell into the sample. With the sample design explained above, only 20 households were canvassed for information in each selected State/LGA and 120 households nationwide.

In the process of collecting the data, two teams of two Enumerators and a Supervisor formed a roving team that covered each LGA. A team worked in each sector. Other personnel that participated in the pretest were the Training Officers from the headquarters, the State Officer and the Zonal Controller. The pretest was monitored by APRM Thematic Coordinators.

At the end of the pretest, it was evident that some of the questions had to be reframed and some technical terms broken down into simpler language for easy understanding and interpretation. The questionnaire itself took an average of three and half to four hours to administer. The MHS questionnaire was found out to be very bulky and it was like conducting four (4) surveys in one because all the four Thematic Areas were contained in one questionnaire. NBS technical staffs were mandated to review the questionnaire along with APRM Thematic Coordinators who provided the needed technical support before embarking on the main survey.

### Main Survey

The Mass Household Survey covered all the 36 States of the Federation and the F.C.T, Abuja. As explained above in the survey design, 3 LGAs/ 30 EAs were selected in each State. Six hundred (600) households were canvassed for information in each State plus the F.C.T, Abuja with 200 households per LGA. Twelve (12) Interviewers/Enumerators of six roving teams with three (3) Supervisors worked in each State and the F.C.T, Abuja. A supervisor covered two teams of four Interviewers/Enumerators. On the whole, four hundred and forty four (444) Interviewers/ Enumerators plus one hundred and eleven (111) Supervisors were engaged for the field exercise nationwide. Each team covered five (5) EAs and the data collection was completed in twelve (12) days. The Mass Household Survey was closely monitored by APRM Team of Monitors led by the National Coordinator of APRM, National Orientation Agency (NOA) in all the thirty (36) States plus the F.C.T; Abuja and NBS team of monitors. NOA came in at the instance of APRM. The Senior Staff of NBS comprising Headquarters Staff, Zonal Coordinators, State Officers and Principals of NBS Training Schools formed the internal supervisory and

monitoring team.

Questionnaires

The household questionnaires were structured question based on general Knowledge about the APRM. While the Individual questionnaires were structured question on about four thematic with different objective.SOCIO-

The First Thematic Socio-Economic Development have six objective:OPMENT

Objective 1: Promotion of Self-Reliance and Sustainable Development.

Objective 2: Accelerating Socio-Economic Development.

Objective 3: Strengthening Policies and Delivery Mechanism.NCE AND ANAGEMENT

Objective 4: Ensuring Affordable Access to Water, Sanitation,Energy and Finance.

Objective 5: Progress Towards Gender Equality.

Objective 6: Promote and Encourage Broad based participation in Development by all Stakeholders at all Levels.

The Second Thematic Economic Governance and Management have five objective:

Objective 1: Promotion of Macro-Economic Policies for Sustainable Development.

Objective 2: Implementation of Sound, Transparent and predictable Micro-Economic Policies.

Objective 3: Promotion of Sound Public Finance Management.

Objective 4: Fighting Corruption and Money Laundering.

Objective 5: Accelerating Regional Integration

The Third Thematic Corporate Governance have three objective:

Objective 1: Promotion of Enabling Environment and Effective Regulatory Framework for Economic Activities

Objective 2: Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Sustainability

Objective 3: Promoting the Adoption of Good Business Ethics

The Four Thematic Corporate Governance have five objective:

Objective 1: Prevention and Reduction of Intra- and Inter-State Conflicts

Objective 2: Constitutional Democracy, Political Competition, the Rule of Law, Citizen Rights and Supremacy of the Constitution

Objective 3: Promotion and Protection of Political, Civil, Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

Objective 4: Separation of Powers and Protection of the Independence of the Judiciary and Legislature

Objective 5: Accountable, Efficient and Effective Public/Civil Service

Objective 6: Fighting Corruption in the Political Sphere.

Objective 7: Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Women.

Objective 8: Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Children and Young Persons.

Objective 9: Promotion and Protection of the Rights Of Vulnerable Groups.

All questionnaires and modules are provided as external resources.

Data Collector(s)

National Bureau of Statitics (NBS)

Supervision

A roving team of 12 interviewers and 3 supervisors per state were used.

A supervisor supervises 2 teams comprising of four enumerators.

3 LGAs were canvassed for per state.

5 Housing Units/Households/persons would be covered by an interviewer in each EA. Training of interviewers and supervisors carried out by competent Headquarters' senior staff. Close supervision in the field by state officers, zonal controllers and monitoring officers from the Headquarters.

After 5 days of data collection, 6 monitoring officers from the Headquarters would visit the 6 zonal officers.

To monitor and quality check the available records.

To bring the completed records to Abuja Headquarters.

Also monitoring the data collection are staff of APRM and NOA.



## Data Processing & Appraisal

### Data Editing

The collected data will first be checked manually by a team of editors at the Zone. The same team of editors will do the coding before entry to ensure that all entries are accurate, consistent and the questionnaire is ready for processing. A data entry screen was developed using Csprow software. This data management software have in-built range checks that format and correct skip or filter errors at the point of entry. The data were merged at the HQ where structural checking of SPSS were done on the data set before generating tables.

The massiveness of the questionnaire and the size of the sample (22,200) informed NBS to resolve into taking the following decisions:

- i. Hiring of additional external hands.
- ii. Putting trained personnel on 60 computers to do data entry at the headquarters and two zones Kaduna and Ibadan.
- iii. Co-opting members of staff from other Departments/ Units like Censuses and Surveys, Corporate Planning and Technical Coordination, Field Services and Methodology, and Prices unit to join hands with the staff of Computer Management and Information Services for the data entry.
- iv. Carrying questionnaires of 10 States each to Zonal Offices at Kaduna, Ibadan and HQ for data entry.

The data entry did not end until 6th November 2006.

All the grouping and the list of staff are provided as external resources.

### Other Processing

The data entry was done manually at two zone. The total of sixty desktop computers where used for the entry. The data entry screen was developed in Csprow. Ten operators per Zone were use making the total of sixty operator and six supervisor.

At the HQ about six computer programmer where used to merged the data together and generate the table needed.

The data was processed EA by EA each EA being processed as a complete unit through each stages of data processing. The EAs goes through the following steps:

1. Questionnaire reception
  2. Office editing and coding
  3. Data entry
  4. Completeness checking
  5. Verification entry
  6. Back up of raw data
  7. Data editing
  8. Back up of edited data
- Then all the EAs are processed, all the data were concatenated together and then the following steps are completed for all data files;
9. Merging the data
  10. Export to SPSS in 2 files (APRMHH - household, APRMIN-Individual)
  11. Recording of variables needed for analysis
  12. Adding of sample weights
  13. Structural checking of SPSS files
  14. Data quality tabulations
  15. Production of analysis tabulations

After completion of all processing in CSProw, all individual EAs files were backed up before concatenating data together using the files concatenated utility.

For tabulation and analysis SPSS versions 13.0 was used.

Details of each these steps can be found in the data processing documentation, data editing guidelines, data processing programs in CSProw and SPSS and tabulation guidelines.

### Estimates of Sampling Error

Twenty two thousand and two hundred (22,200) questionnaires were distributed nationwide with 600 questionnaires per State plus the FCT, Abuja. The retrieval rate was 100 percent in most States except in the following States: Lagos (499 i.e 83 percent); Rivers (532 i.e. 89 percent); Kogi (540 i.e. 90 percent); Bayelsa (571 i.e. 95 percent); Cross River, Enugu, Gombe, Nasarawa, Ogun ( 580 i.e. 97 percent); Imo (587 i.e. 98 percent); FCT, Abuja (591 i.e. 98.5 percent); Ondo (594 i.e 99 percent); and Kwara (595 i.e. 99 percent). On the whole, 21,808 (i.e. 98.23 percent) questionnaires were retrieved and analyzed. See the attached table. On the basis of geo-political zone, only the North West zone had 100 percent returns Others ranged from 96 percent (South West) to 99 percent (North East and South East).

Summary of Sample Achieved

Numeration Area (EA) Households (HHs)

S/No	Zone	no. of State	Expected	Achieved	Response Rate (%)	Expected	Achieved	Response Rate (%)	
1	NW	7	210	210	100	4200	4200	100	
2	NE	6	180	179	99	3600	3580	99	
3	NC	7	210	206	98	4200	4106	98	
4	SW	6	180	176	98	3600	3472	96	
5	SS	6	180	174	97	3600	3483	97	
6	SE	5	150	149	99	3000	2967	99	
		National	37	1,110	1,094	99	22,200	21,808	98

Other Forms of Data Appraisal

A series of data quality tables and graphs are available to review the quality of the data on the following:

- i. Age and Sex Distribution of Persons
- ii. Distribution by relationship to head of the household
- iii. Marital Status
- iv. Education
- v. Employment Status
- vi. Occupation
- vii. Institutional sector and
- viii. Religion

The general knowledge about APRM also shows a lots of graphs on

- . Knowledge about APRM.
- . Source of medium
- . Awareness
- . Instruments and standards
- . Complying with the Objectives of the Millennium Development Goals(MDGs)
- . Challenges facing government in implementing the NEPAD initiative.

**Accessibility**

Access Authority	NBS , <a href="http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng">http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> , <a href="mailto:feedback@nigerianstat.gov.ng">feedback@nigerianstat.gov.ng</a>
Contact(s)	G.O Adewoye (Director Census & Surveys) , <a href="http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng">http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> , <a href="mailto:goadewoye@nigerianstat.gov.ng">goadewoye@nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> A.N.Adewimbi (Head of Information and Comnucation Technology Department) , <a href="http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng">http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> , <a href="mailto:taadewnmbi@nigerianstat.gov.ng">taadewnmbi@nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> Biyi Fafumi (Data Curator) , <a href="http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng">http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> , <a href="mailto:biyifafunmi@nigerianstat.gov.ng">biyifafunmi@nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> A.A.Akinsanya (Metadata Developer) , <a href="http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng">http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng</a> , <a href="mailto:pakinsanya@nigerianstat.gov.ng">pakinsanya@nigerianstat.gov.ng</a>

Confidentiality

The confidentiality of the individual respondent is protected by law (Statistical Act 2007)

This is published in the Official Gazette of the Federal republic of Nigeria No. 60 vol. 94 of 11th June 2007. See section 26 para.2. Punitive measures for breeches of confidentiality are outlined in section 28 of the same Act.

Access Conditions

A comprehensive data access policy is been developed by NBS, however section 27 of the Statistical Act 2007 outlines the data access obligation of data producers which includes the realease of properly anonymized micro data.

Citation Requirements

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey Round 1 (2006)

**Rights & Disclaimer**

Disclaimer

The user of the data acknowledges that the original collector of the data, the authorized distributor of the data, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Copyright

(c) 2008, NBS

## Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

APRM-HH	
# Cases	19480
# Variable(s)	23
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): id (Identification)
<u>File Content</u> The Household gives information about members who usually live in the household.	
<u>Producer</u> National Bureau of Statistics	
<u>Version</u> 1.0	

APRM-INDIVIDUAL	
# Cases	19798
# Variable(s)	1208
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): id (Identification)
<u>File Content</u> The Individual gives information on socio-economic development.	
<u>Producer</u> National Bureau of Statistics	
<u>Version</u> 1.0	
<u>Processing Checks</u> Desktop Checking	

# Variables List

Dataset contains 1231 variable(s)

File APRM-HH							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	<a href="#">state</a>	STATE	continuous	numeric-2.0	19480	0	STATE NAME
2	<a href="#">lga</a>	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	continuous	numeric-2.0	19480	0	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY
3	<a href="#">earic</a>	ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE	continuous	numeric-4.0	19480	0	ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE
4	<a href="#">eacode</a>	ENUMERATION AREA CODE	continuous	numeric-4.0	19480	0	ENUMERATION AREA CODE
5	<a href="#">sector</a>	SECTOR	continuous	numeric-1.0	19480	0	SECTORS UBAN OR RURAL
6	<a href="#">huno</a>	HOUSEHOLD NUMBER	continuous	numeric-3.0	19480	0	HOUSEHOLD NUMBER
7	<a href="#">response</a>	RESPONSESTATUS	continuous	numeric-1.0	19480	0	RESPONSE STATUS
8	<a href="#">ln</a>	Line Number	continuous	numeric-2.0	19480	0	INDIVIDUAL LINE NUMBER
9	<a href="#">sex</a>	SEX	continuous	numeric-1.0	19285	195	SEX
10	<a href="#">age</a>	AGE	continuous	numeric-2.0	19175	305	AGE
11	<a href="#">relation</a>	RELATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	19156	324	RALATIONSHIP
12	<a href="#">mstatus</a>	MSTATUS	continuous	numeric-1.0	19132	348	MARITAL STATUS
13	<a href="#">educ</a>	EDUCATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	19480	0	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND
14	<a href="#">empstat</a>	Employment Status	continuous	numeric-1.0	19480	0	EMPLOYMENT STATUS
15	<a href="#">occup</a>	OCCUPATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	19480	0	OCCUPATION
16	<a href="#">isector</a>	ISECTOR	continuous	numeric-1.0	18947	533	-
17	<a href="#">religion</a>	RELIGION	continuous	numeric-1.0	19480	0	RELIGION
18	<a href="#">mrespond</a>	REPSONDENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	19151	329	RESPONDENCE
19	<a href="#">agegrp</a>	AGE GROUP OF 10	continuous	numeric-8.2	19117	363	AGE GROUP OF 10
20	<a href="#">zone</a>	Geo-Political Zone	continuous	numeric-8.2	19480	0	GEO POLITICAL ZONE
21	<a href="#">sd</a>	Senatorial District	discrete	character-4	19480	0	SENATORIAL DISTRICT
22	<a href="#">id</a>	Identification	continuous	numeric-8.2	19480	0	-
23	<a href="#">dup</a>	Duplicate	continuous	numeric-8.2	19480	0	-

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	<a href="#">state</a>	STATE	continuous	numeric-2.0	19798	0	State Name
2	<a href="#">lga</a>	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	continuous	numeric-2.0	19798	0	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY
3	<a href="#">earic</a>	ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE	continuous	numeric-4.0	19798	0	ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	<a href="#">eacode</a>	ENUMERATION AREA CODE	continuous	numeric-4.0	19784	14	ENUMERATION AREA CODE
5	<a href="#">sector</a>	SECTOR	continuous	numeric-1.0	19798	0	Sector
6	<a href="#">huno</a>	HOUSEHOLD NUMBER	continuous	numeric-3.0	19798	0	HOUSEHOLD NUMBER
7	<a href="#">response</a>	RESPONSESTATUS	continuous	numeric-1.0	19784	14	Repondence Status
8	<a href="#">ln</a>	Line Number	continuous	numeric-2.0	19784	14	Responsence Line Number
9	<a href="#">sex</a>	SEX	continuous	numeric-1.0	19713	85	Sex of respondence
10	<a href="#">age</a>	AGE	continuous	numeric-2.0	19596	202	Age of Responsence
11	<a href="#">relation</a>	RELATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	19579	219	Relation
12	<a href="#">mstatus</a>	MSTATUS	continuous	numeric-1.0	19552	246	Marital Status
13	<a href="#">educ</a>	EDUCATION	continuous	numeric-1.0	19784	14	Educational Background
14	<a href="#">empstat</a>	Employment Status	continuous	numeric-1.0	19784	14	Employement Status
15	<a href="#">occup</a>	OCCUPATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	19784	14	Occupation
16	<a href="#">isector</a>	ISECTOR	continuous	numeric-1.0	19380	418	Sector
17	<a href="#">religion</a>	RELIGION	continuous	numeric-1.0	19784	14	Religion
18	<a href="#">mrespond</a>	REPSONDENT	continuous	numeric-1.0	19572	226	Responsence
19	<a href="#">agegrp</a>	AGE GROUP OF 10	continuous	numeric-8.2	19543	255	Age group
20	<a href="#">zone</a>	Geo-Political Zone	continuous	numeric-8.2	19784	14	GeoPolitical Zone
21	<a href="#">sd</a>	Senatorial District	discrete	character-4	19784	0	Senatorial District
22	<a href="#">id</a>	Identification	continuous	numeric-8.0	19798	0	Identification Code
23	<a href="#">dup</a>	Duplicate	continuous	numeric-8.2	19798	0	Duplicate Value
24	<a href="#">gnq1</a>	Have you heard about the APRM	continuous	numeric-1.0	19670	128	Have you heard about the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM)?
25	<a href="#">gnq2a</a>	Newspaper	continuous	numeric-1.0	1988	17810	If yes, through what medium or media? - Newspaper.
26	<a href="#">gnq2b</a>	Radio	continuous	numeric-1.0	2009	17789	If yes, through what medium or media? - Radio.
27	<a href="#">gnq2c</a>	Television	continuous	numeric-1.0	1953	17845	If yes, through what medium or media? - Television.
28	<a href="#">gnq2d</a>	Conference	continuous	numeric-1.0	1931	17867	If yes, through what medium or media? - Conference.
29	<a href="#">gnq2e</a>	Workshop	continuous	numeric-1.0	1929	17869	If yes, through what medium or media? - Workshop.
30	<a href="#">gnq2f</a>	Market Place	continuous	numeric-1.0	1930	17868	If yes, through what medium or media? - Market Place.
31	<a href="#">gnq2g</a>	Taxi Union	continuous	numeric-1.0	1894	17904	If yes, through what medium or media? - Tax Union.
32	<a href="#">gnq2h</a>	Others	continuous	numeric-1.0	1564	18234	If yes, through what medium or media? - Others.
33	<a href="#">gnq3</a>	Are you aware	continuous	numeric-1.0	2207	17591	Are you aware that Nigeria has agreed to the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM)? (Enter code) 1

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							= Yes; 2 = No
34	<a href="#">isq4</a>	Measures	continuous	numeric-1.0	2312	17486	To what extent has Nigeria taken measures to sign, ratify, adopt and comply with the international and regional instruments and standards listed in the APRM Master Questionnaire? (Enter code) 1=High extent;2 = Moderate extent;3 = Low extent;4 = Very low extent; Don't know
35	<a href="#">isq5</a>	Do you think the Nigerian government is complying	continuous	numeric-1.0	19624	174	Do you think the Nigerian government is complying with the objectives of the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) in its national development plan? (Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
36	<a href="#">isq6</a>	Enforcing the ACP	continuous	numeric-1.0	19488	310	In your view, is the Nigerian government enforcing the African Charter for Popular Participation of 1990?(Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
37	<a href="#">isq7</a>	To what extent would your rank	continuous	numeric-1.0	17587	2211	To what extent would you rank the Nigerian government in complying with the principles of ownership and broad-based participation of the New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) in its development policy and projects? (Enter code) 1 = Good; 2 = Fair; 3 = Poor; 4 = Very Poor
38	<a href="#">isq8</a>	NEPAD	continuous	numeric-1.0	19560	238	Have you heard about NEPAD? 1=Yes; 2=No (Enter code)
39	<a href="#">isq9</a>	If yes	continuous	numeric-1.0	5132	14666	If yes, do you understand the objectives of NEPAD? (Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No (If No go to thematic 1)
40	<a href="#">isq10a</a>	Corruptions	continuous	numeric-1.0	1904	17894	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Corruption
41	<a href="#">isq10b</a>	Political Insecurity/Instability	continuous	numeric-1.0	1844	17954	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Political Insecurity/Instability
42	<a href="#">isq10c</a>	Illiteracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	1827	17971	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Illitrac
43	<a href="#">isq10d</a>	Indiscipline	continuous	numeric-1.0	1833	17965	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Indiscipline

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
44	<a href="#">isq10e</a>	Don't know	continuous	numeric-1.0	1720	18078	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Don't No
45	<a href="#">isq10f</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1573	18225	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Other [Specify]
46	<a href="#">ob1q1</a>	Poverty Alleviation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19633	165	In your opinion, how effective are the government's poverty alleviation programmes and projects? 1 = Very effective; 2 = Effective; 3 = Not effective; 4 = Don't know
47	<a href="#">ob1q2a</a>	National Agency for Poverty Eradication Programme	continuous	numeric-1.0	18390	1408	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {NAPEP}
48	<a href="#">ob1q2b</a>	National Directorate of Employment	continuous	numeric-1.0	18318	1480	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {NDE}
49	<a href="#">ob1q2c</a>	Agric Development Programme	continuous	numeric-1.0	18248	1550	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {ADP}
50	<a href="#">ob1q2d</a>	Youth Employment Programme	continuous	numeric-1.0	18138	1660	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {YEP}
51	<a href="#">ob1q2e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14909	4889	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {Others Specify}
52	<a href="#">ob1q3a</a>	Enlightenment/Sensitization	continuous	numeric-1.0	18369	1429	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Enlightenment/Sensitization
53	<a href="#">ob1q3b</a>	Employment Generation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18384	1414	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Employment Generation
54	<a href="#">ob1q3c</a>	Practical Implementation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18307	1491	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Practical Implementation
55	<a href="#">ob1q3d</a>	External monitoring by the government	continuous	numeric-1.0	18087	1711	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - External Monitoring by the Government.
56	<a href="#">ob1q3e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14791	5007	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Other Specify
57	<a href="#">ob1q4</a>	Needs	continuous	numeric-1.0	19634	164	Are you aware of the government's National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS)? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't



African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							know
58	<a href="#">ob1q5</a>	Seeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	19670	128	Are you aware of the government's National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (Seeds)? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
59	<a href="#">ob1q6</a>	Leeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	19633	165	Are you aware of the government's National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (LEEDS)? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
60	<a href="#">ob1q71a</a>	Rural Poor Needs	continuous	numeric-1.0	18884	914	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
61	<a href="#">ob1q71b</a>	Rural Poor Seeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18864	934	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
62	<a href="#">ob1q71c</a>	Rural Poor Leeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18875	923	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
63	<a href="#">ob1q72a</a>	Urban Poor Needs	continuous	numeric-1.0	18789	1009	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
64	<a href="#">ob1q72b</a>	Urban Poor Seeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18781	1017	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
65	<a href="#">ob1q72c</a>	Urban Poor Leeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18783	1015	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
66	<a href="#">ob1q73a</a>	The Private Sector Needs	continuous	numeric-1.0	18758	1040	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
67	<a href="#">ob1q73b</a>	The Private Sector Seeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18755	1043	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
68	<a href="#">ob1q73c</a>	The Private Sector Leeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18765	1033	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
69	<a href="#">ob1q74a</a>	Civil Society group Needs	continuous	numeric-1.0	18607	1191	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
70	<a href="#">ob1q74b</a>	Civil Society group Seeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18601	1197	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
71	<a href="#">ob1q74c</a>	Civil Society group Leeds	continuous	numeric-1.0	18570	1228	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
72	<a href="#">ob1q8a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19661	137	Do you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Education
73	<a href="#">ob1q8b</a>	Health	continuous	numeric-1.0	19603	195	Do you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Health
74	<a href="#">ob1q8c</a>	Potable water and sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19551	247	Do you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Potable water and sanitation
75	<a href="#">ob1q8d</a>	Electricity	continuous	numeric-1.0	19548	250	o you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Electricity
76	<a href="#">ob1q8e</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19135	663	o you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Financial Services
77	<a href="#">ob1q9</a>	If yes, are you aware	continuous	numeric-1.0	17644	2154	If yes, are you aware of how the

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							funds used to set up these social services were raised? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't Know
78	<a href="#">ob1q10a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	8765	11033	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Education
79	<a href="#">ob1q10b</a>	Health	continuous	numeric-1.0	8291	11507	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Health
80	<a href="#">ob1q10c</a>	Potable water and sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	7973	11825	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Portable Water and Sanitation
81	<a href="#">ob1q10d</a>	Electricity	continuous	numeric-1.0	7933	11865	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Electricity
82	<a href="#">ob1q10e</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	7119	12679	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Financial Services.
83	<a href="#">ob1q11a</a>	Not interested	continuous	numeric-1.0	13642	6156	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). Not Interested
84	<a href="#">ob1q11b</a>	Not informed	continuous	numeric-1.0	13657	6141	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). Not Informed
85	<a href="#">ob1q11c</a>	Not given opportunity to participate	continuous	numeric-1.0	13577	6221	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). - Not given Opportunity to participate.
86	<a href="#">ob1q11d</a>	Lack the capacity to be involved	continuous	numeric-1.0	13459	6339	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). -Lack the capability to be involve
87	<a href="#">ob1q11e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9796	10002	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). - Others specify
88	<a href="#">ob1q12a</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19036	762	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Government
89	<a href="#">ob1q12b</a>	Development partner	continuous	numeric-1.0	18624	1174	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Development Partner.
90	<a href="#">ob1q12c</a>	Non-Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	18656	1142	Please rank the performance of the

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Organisations					different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Non Government Organisation
91	<a href="#">ob1q12d</a>	Community	continuous	numeric-1.0	18676	1122	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Community
92	<a href="#">ob1q12e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10346	9452	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Other - other specify
93	<a href="#">ob1q13a1</a>	Education Setting-up	continuous	numeric-1.0	19239	559	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Education Setting -up
94	<a href="#">ob1q13a2</a>	Education Maintenance	continuous	numeric-1.0	19235	563	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Education maintenance
95	<a href="#">ob1q13b1</a>	Health Setting-up	continuous	numeric-1.0	18991	807	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Health Setting -up
96	<a href="#">ob1q13b2</a>	Health Maintenance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18991	807	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Health maintenance
97	<a href="#">ob1q13c1</a>	Potable water and sanitation Setting-up	continuous	numeric-1.0	18724	1074	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Portable Water and Sanitation.
98	<a href="#">ob1q13c2</a>	Potable water and sanitation Maintenance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18704	1094	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Portable water and sanitation maintenance
99	<a href="#">ob1q13d1</a>	Electricity Setting-up	continuous	numeric-1.0	18670	1128	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Electricity Setting-Up.
100	<a href="#">ob1q13d2</a>	Electricity Maintenance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18659	1139	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Electricity Setting Maintenance.
101	<a href="#">ob1q13e1</a>	Financial Services Setting-up	continuous	numeric-1.0	17848	1950	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Financial Services Setting-Up.
102	<a href="#">ob1q13e2</a>	Financial Services Maintenance	continuous	numeric-1.0	17736	2062	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Financial Services Maintenance.
103	<a href="#">ob1q14a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19311	487	Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Education 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
104	<a href="#">ob1q14b</a>	Health	continuous	numeric-1.0	19135	663	Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Health 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
105	<a href="#">ob1q14c</a>	Potable water and Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19012	786	Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Portable Water 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
106	<a href="#">ob1q14d</a>	Electricity	continuous	numeric-1.0	18978	820	Are there opportunities open to you

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Electricity 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
107	<a href="#">ob1q14e</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	18256	1542	Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Financial Services 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
108	<a href="#">ob1q15a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	4133	15665	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Education 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .
109	<a href="#">ob1q15b</a>	Health	continuous	numeric-1.0	3570	16228	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Health 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .
110	<a href="#">ob1q15c</a>	Potable water and Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	3206	16592	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Potable water and Sanitation 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .
111	<a href="#">ob1q15d</a>	Electricity	continuous	numeric-1.0	2977	16821	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Electricity 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .
112	<a href="#">ob1q15e</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	2648	17150	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Financial Services 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .
113	<a href="#">ob1q16a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19015	783	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Education 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor
114	<a href="#">ob1q16b</a>	Health	continuous	numeric-1.0	18760	1038	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Health 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor
115	<a href="#">ob1q16c</a>	Potable water and Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18567	1231	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Potable Water and Sanitation 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
116	<a href="#">ob1q16d</a>	Electricity	continuous	numeric-1.0	18572	1226	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Electricity 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor
117	<a href="#">ob1q16e</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	17776	2022	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Financial Services 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor
118	<a href="#">ob1q17</a>	Is there any Community Based Organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19477	321	Is there any Community-Based Organisation (CBO) in your community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
119	<a href="#">ob1q18a</a>	Security of lives and properties	continuous	numeric-1.0	6822	12976	If yes, what are the objectives?
120	<a href="#">ob1q18b</a>	Maintenance of social facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	6798	13000	-
121	<a href="#">ob1q18c</a>	Assist in Implementation of government policies	continuous	numeric-1.0	6768	13030	-
122	<a href="#">ob1q18d</a>	Improve the standard of education in the community	continuous	numeric-1.0	6776	13022	-
123	<a href="#">ob1q18e</a>	Provide financial aids/assistance	continuous	numeric-1.0	6706	13092	-
124	<a href="#">ob1q18f</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	5555	14243	-
125	<a href="#">ob1q19a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	6866	12932	-
126	<a href="#">ob1q19b</a>	Health Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6849	12949	-
127	<a href="#">ob1q19c</a>	Water/Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	6834	12964	-
128	<a href="#">ob1q19d</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6795	13003	-
129	<a href="#">ob1q19e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	5080	14718	-
130	<a href="#">ob1q20a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	6905	12893	-
131	<a href="#">ob1q20b</a>	Health Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6859	12939	-
132	<a href="#">ob1q20c</a>	Water/Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	6775	13023	-
133	<a href="#">ob1q20d</a>	Financial Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	6688	13110	-
134	<a href="#">ob1q20e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	4629	15169	-
135	<a href="#">ob1q21a</a>	Internalized into the development process	continuous	numeric-1.0	18803	995	Could you, rank the extent to which the NEEDS, SEEDS, LEEDS have been accepted and incorporated into the development process and help to promote service delivery and sustain local livelihoods? - Internalized into the development process 1 = Moderate; 2 = Poor; 3 = Very poor; 4 = Not applicable
136	<a href="#">ob1q21b</a>	Help to promote service	continuous	numeric-1.0	18799	999	Could you, rank the extent to which

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		delivery					the NEEDS, SEEDS, LEEDS have been accepted and incorporated into the development process and help to promote service delivery and sustain local livelihoods? - Help to Promote Service Delivery. 1 = Moderate; 2 = Poor; 3 = Very poor; 4 = Not applicable
137	<a href="#">ob1q21c</a>	Sustain Local Livelihood	continuous	numeric-1.0	18784	1014	Could you, rank the extent to which the NEEDS, SEEDS, LEEDS have been accepted and incorporated into the development process and help to promote service delivery and sustain local livelihoods? - Sustain local livelihood. 1 = Moderate; 2 = Poor; 3 = Very poor; 4 = Not applicable
138	<a href="#">b2q1a</a>	Subsistence agriculture	continuous	numeric-1.0	18753	1045	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Subsistence agriculture 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable
139	<a href="#">b2q1b</a>	Commercial agriculture	continuous	numeric-1.0	18365	1433	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Commercial agriculture 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable
140	<a href="#">b2q1c</a>	Small-scale business	continuous	numeric-1.0	18542	1256	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Small-scale Business 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable
141	<a href="#">b2q1d</a>	Formal employment	continuous	numeric-1.0	18270	1528	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Formal Employment 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable
142	<a href="#">b2q1e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12164	7634	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Others Specify 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable
143	<a href="#">b2q2a</a>	Subsistence agriculture	continuous	numeric-1.0	18513	1285	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Subsistence agriculture 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined; 5 = No impact
144	<a href="#">b2q2b</a>	Commercial agriculture	continuous	numeric-1.0	18027	1771	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Commercial agriculture 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;
145	<a href="#">b2q2c</a>	Small-scale business	continuous	numeric-1.0	18231	1567	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the



African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							last five years? - Small-scale business 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;
146	<a href="#">b2q2d</a>	Formal employment	continuous	numeric-1.0	17828	1970	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Formal employment 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;
147	<a href="#">b2q2e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11603	8195	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Others (specify) 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;
148	<a href="#">b2q3a</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19451	347	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Education 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
149	<a href="#">b2q3b</a>	Health	continuous	numeric-1.0	19426	372	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Health 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
150	<a href="#">b2q3c</a>	Water and Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19355	443	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Water and sanitation 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
151	<a href="#">b2q3d</a>	Productive Resources like land and capital	continuous	numeric-1.0	19261	537	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Productive resources like land and capital 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
152	<a href="#">b2q3e</a>	Employment and Income Earning Opportunities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19281	517	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Employment and income earning opportunities 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
153	<a href="#">b2q3f</a>	Information and Political Participation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19228	570	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Information and political participation 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 =

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
154	<a href="#">b2q3g</a>	Proper housing	continuous	numeric-1.0	19153	645	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Proper housing 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
155	<a href="#">b2q3h</a>	Energy supply (Electricity)	continuous	numeric-1.0	17928	1870	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Energy supply (electricity) 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact
156	<a href="#">b2q4</a>	Are you aware of programmes created by the government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19500	298	Are you aware of programmes created by the government or development partner(s) to accelerate socio-economic development and poverty eradication in Nigeria? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know
157	<a href="#">b2q5a</a>	The Landless	continuous	numeric-1.0	10536	9262	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The landless 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
158	<a href="#">b2q5b</a>	The subsistence farmers	continuous	numeric-1.0	10531	9267	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The subsistence farmers 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
159	<a href="#">b2q5c</a>	The pastoralists	continuous	numeric-1.0	10449	9349	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The pastoralists 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
160	<a href="#">b2q5d</a>	The unskilled casual workers	continuous	numeric-1.0	10483	9315	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The unskilled casual workers 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
161	<a href="#">b2q5e</a>	The female-headed households	continuous	numeric-1.0	10460	9338	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The female-headed households 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
162	<a href="#">b2q5f</a>	The people with disabilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	10486	9312	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The people with disabilities 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
163	<a href="#">b2q5g</a>	The youth	continuous	numeric-1.0	10444	9354	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The youth 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
164	<a href="#">b2q5h</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	6312	13486	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - Others (specify) 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak
165	<a href="#">b2q6</a>	How would you rate the level of poverty in your community	continuous	numeric-1.0	18651	1147	How would you rate the level of poverty in your community? 1 = Very High; 2 = High; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Low
166	<a href="#">b2q7a</a>	Unemployment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19303	495	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Unemployment
167	<a href="#">b2q7b</a>	Low level of education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19169	629	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Low Level of Education
168	<a href="#">b2q7c</a>	No access to loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	19165	633	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - No access to loan
169	<a href="#">b2q7d</a>	Absence of social infrastructure	continuous	numeric-1.0	19121	677	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Absence of social infrastructure
170	<a href="#">b2q7e</a>	Inflation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19055	743	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Inflation
171	<a href="#">b2q7f</a>	Lack of farm input	continuous	numeric-1.0	18890	908	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Lack of farm input
172	<a href="#">b2q7g</a>	Others	continuous	numeric-1.0	15079	4719	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Others
173	<a href="#">b3q1</a>	Attending primary schools	continuous	numeric-1.0	19435	363	Do you have children attending primary schools? 1 = Yes; 2 = No
174	<a href="#">b3q2</a>	How many	continuous	numeric-2.0	7690	12108	If yes, how many?

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
175	<a href="#">b3q3</a>	How would you rate the government policy	continuous	numeric-1.0	18506	1292	How would you rate the government policy on primary education 1 = Very good; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Bad
176	<a href="#">b3q4a</a>	Introduction of UBE	continuous	numeric-1.0	18815	983	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Introduction of UBE
177	<a href="#">b3q4b</a>	Qualified Teachers	continuous	numeric-1.0	18770	1028	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Qualified teachers
178	<a href="#">b3q4c</a>	Good Remuneration	continuous	numeric-1.0	18705	1093	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Good remuneration
179	<a href="#">b3q4d</a>	Improvement on the welfare of the pupils	continuous	numeric-1.0	18701	1097	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Improvement on the welfare of the pupils
180	<a href="#">b3q4e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	17605	2193	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Others (specify)
181	<a href="#">b3q4f</a>	Poor remuneration of teachers	continuous	numeric-1.0	18623	1175	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Poor remuneration of teachers
182	<a href="#">b3q4g</a>	Ineffective Monitoring/Supervision	continuous	numeric-1.0	18574	1224	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Ineffective monitoring/supervision
183	<a href="#">b3q4h</a>	Inadequate Infrastructure/facilites	continuous	numeric-1.0	18457	1341	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Inadequate infrastructure/facilites
184	<a href="#">b3q4i</a>	Public school not deliver good service	continuous	numeric-1.0	15917	3881	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Public school not deliver good service
185	<a href="#">b3q4j</a>	others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14831	4967	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Others (specify)
186	<a href="#">b3q5</a>	Attending secondary schools	continuous	numeric-1.0	19321	477	Do you have children attending secondary schools? 1 = Yes; 2 = No
187	<a href="#">b3q6</a>	How many	continuous	numeric-2.0	5071	14727	If yes, how many?
188	<a href="#">b3q7</a>	Government Policy	continuous	numeric-1.0	18152	1646	1 = Very good; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							= Bad
189	<a href="#">b3q8a</a>	Construction and maintenance of school	continuous	numeric-1.0	18556	1242	Construction and maintenance of school buildings
190	<a href="#">b3q8b</a>	Maintenance of discipline in the school	continuous	numeric-1.0	18556	1242	Maintenance of school buildings
191	<a href="#">b3q8c</a>	UBE Programmes	continuous	numeric-1.0	18543	1255	Ube programmes
192	<a href="#">b3q8d</a>	Employment of qualified teachers	continuous	numeric-1.0	18546	1252	Employment of qualified teachers
193	<a href="#">b3q8e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	17494	2304	Specify
194	<a href="#">b3q8f</a>	Ineffective Implementation and Monitoring of the Policy	continuous	numeric-1.0	18508	1290	Ineffective Implementation and Monitoring of Policy
195	<a href="#">b3q8g</a>	Examination malpractices	continuous	numeric-1.0	18479	1319	Examination malpractices
196	<a href="#">b3q8h</a>	Lack of Qualified teachers	continuous	numeric-1.0	18422	1376	Lack of Qualified Teachers
197	<a href="#">b3q8i</a>	Lack of science equipment	continuous	numeric-1.0	15931	3867	Lack of science equipment
198	<a href="#">b3q8j</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14796	5002	Specify
199	<a href="#">b3q9</a>	Attending Universities, Technology	continuous	numeric-1.0	18590	1208	1=Yes; 2=No
200	<a href="#">b3q10</a>	How many	continuous	numeric-2.0	1384	18414	How many?
201	<a href="#">b3q11</a>	Rate government current policies on tertiary and university	continuous	numeric-1.0	17063	2735	-
202	<a href="#">b3q12a</a>	It makes the tertiary institutions more enterprising	continuous	numeric-1.0	18156	1642	It makes the tertiary institutions more enterprising
203	<a href="#">b3q12b</a>	Academic staff/Non Academic Staff Union Strike action reduce	continuous	numeric-1.0	18226	1572	Academic staff/Non Academic Staff Union Strike action reduced
204	<a href="#">b3q12c</a>	Improved quality of teaching	continuous	numeric-1.0	18141	1657	Improved quality of teaching
205	<a href="#">b3q12d</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	17144	2654	-
206	<a href="#">b3q12e</a>	High tuition fees	continuous	numeric-1.0	18114	1684	High tuition fees
207	<a href="#">b3q12f</a>	Facilities are in bad condition	continuous	numeric-1.0	18167	1631	Facilities are in bad condition
208	<a href="#">b3q12g</a>	Inability to curtail cultism/other social vices	continuous	numeric-1.0	15562	4236	Inability to curtail cultism/other social vices
209	<a href="#">b3q12h</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14488	5310	-
210	<a href="#">b3q13a</a>	Government hospital	continuous	numeric-1.0	19299	499	Government hospital
211	<a href="#">b3q13b</a>	Government health care centre	continuous	numeric-1.0	19216	582	Government health care centre
212	<a href="#">b3q13c</a>	Government dispensary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19198	600	Government dispensary
213	<a href="#">b3q13d</a>	Traditional herbal homes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19219	579	Traditional herbal homes
214	<a href="#">b3q13e</a>	Mission hospital/clinic	continuous	numeric-1.0	19162	636	Mission hospital/clinic

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
215	<a href="#">b3q13f</a>	Private hospital/clinic	continuous	numeric-1.0	19183	615	Private hospital/clinic
216	<a href="#">b3q13g</a>	Pharmacy/chemist	continuous	numeric-1.0	19200	598	Pharmacy/chemist
217	<a href="#">b3q13h</a>	Mobile clinic	continuous	numeric-1.0	19119	679	Mobile clinic
218	<a href="#">b3q13i</a>	Community health centre	continuous	numeric-1.0	19054	744	Community health centre
219	<a href="#">b3q13j</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12657	7141	-
220	<a href="#">b3q14</a>	Are you generally satisfied	continuous	numeric-1.0	19365	433	-
221	<a href="#">b3q15</a>	Primary healthcare in your community	continuous	numeric-1.0	19276	522	-
222	<a href="#">b3q16</a>	Source of drinking	continuous	numeric-2.0	19401	397	-
223	<a href="#">b3q17</a>	Kind of toilet Facility	continuous	numeric-1.0	19552	246	-
224	<a href="#">b3q18</a>	Access to proper and hygienic sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19266	532	-
225	<a href="#">b3q19</a>	Major type of fuel used	continuous	numeric-1.0	19553	245	-
226	<a href="#">b3q20</a>	Affordable energy for domestic use	continuous	numeric-1.0	19333	465	-
227	<a href="#">b3q21</a>	Household alternative to energy source	continuous	numeric-1.0	19505	293	-
228	<a href="#">b3q22</a>	Family acquired credit in last 12 months	continuous	numeric-1.0	19408	390	-
229	<a href="#">b3q23</a>	If yes, which financial institution	continuous	numeric-1.0	1105	18693	-
230	<a href="#">b3q24</a>	Credit is available to those who need it	continuous	numeric-1.0	18856	942	-
231	<a href="#">b3q25</a>	Type of tenure	continuous	numeric-1.0	19388	410	-
232	<a href="#">b3q26</a>	Own Land	continuous	numeric-1.0	18514	1284	-
233	<a href="#">b3q27</a>	Government performance	continuous	numeric-1.0	19413	385	-
234	<a href="#">b3q28</a>	Government Policy	continuous	numeric-1.0	17458	2340	-
235	<a href="#">b3q29a</a>	A telephone or mobile phone	continuous	numeric-1.0	19559	239	1. A telephone or mobile phone
236	<a href="#">b3q29b</a>	Email facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19529	269	2. E-mail facilities
237	<a href="#">b3q29c</a>	Computer facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19533	265	3. Computer facilities
238	<a href="#">b3q29d</a>	Electricity	continuous	numeric-1.0	19548	250	4. Electricity
239	<a href="#">b3q29e</a>	Generating Plant	continuous	numeric-1.0	19522	276	5. Generating Plant
240	<a href="#">b3q29f</a>	Refrigerator	continuous	numeric-1.0	19537	261	6. Refrigerator
241	<a href="#">b3q29g</a>	Television	continuous	numeric-1.0	19537	261	7. Television
242	<a href="#">b3q29h</a>	Motor Vehicle	continuous	numeric-1.0	19526	272	8. Motor Vehicle
243	<a href="#">b3q29i</a>	Motor Cycle	continuous	numeric-1.0	19519	279	9. Motor Cycle
244	<a href="#">b3q29j</a>	Boat/Canoe	continuous	numeric-1.0	19500	298	10. Boat/Canoe
245	<a href="#">b3q29k</a>	Gas Cooker	continuous	numeric-1.0	19509	289	11. Gas Cooker
246	<a href="#">b3q29l</a>	Modern Stove	continuous	numeric-1.0	19519	279	12. Modern Stove

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
247	<a href="#">b3q29m</a>	Donkey	continuous	numeric-1.0	19451	347	13. Donkey
248	<a href="#">b3q29n</a>	Camel	continuous	numeric-1.0	19034	764	14. Camel
249	<a href="#">b4q1a</a>	Shelter	continuous	numeric-1.0	19573	225	1. Shelter
250	<a href="#">b4q1b</a>	Energy/Light	continuous	numeric-1.0	19565	233	2. Energy/Light
251	<a href="#">b4q1c</a>	Potable water	continuous	numeric-1.0	19578	220	3. Potable water
252	<a href="#">b4q1d</a>	Health Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19574	224	4. Health Services
253	<a href="#">b4q1e</a>	Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19574	224	5. Education
254	<a href="#">b4q1f</a>	Sanitation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19548	250	6. Sanitation
255	<a href="#">b4q1g</a>	Land to all citizens	continuous	numeric-1.0	19533	265	7. Land to all citizens
256	<a href="#">b4q1h</a>	Micro-fnance	continuous	numeric-1.0	19479	319	8. Micro-finance (SME)
257	<a href="#">b4q1i</a>	Employment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19493	305	9. Employment
258	<a href="#">b4q1j</a>	Information and Communications Technology	continuous	numeric-1.0	18887	911	7. Information and Communications Technology (ICT)
259	<a href="#">b4q2a</a>	Universal Basic Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19520	278	1. Universal Basic Education (UBE)
260	<a href="#">b4q2b</a>	National Health Insurance scheme	continuous	numeric-1.0	19475	323	2. National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS)
261	<a href="#">b4q2c</a>	National Directorate of Employment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19473	325	3. National Directorate of Employment (NDE)
262	<a href="#">b4q2d</a>	Information and Communication Technology	continuous	numeric-1.0	19413	385	4. Information and Communications Technology (ICT)
263	<a href="#">b4q2e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12218	7580	Others (Specify)
264	<a href="#">b4q3</a>	Commercialization	continuous	numeric-1.0	19128	670	-
265	<a href="#">b4q41a</a>	Housing Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19541	257	Housing Federal
266	<a href="#">b4q41b</a>	Housing State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19535	263	Housing State
267	<a href="#">b4q41c</a>	Housing LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19532	266	Housing LG
268	<a href="#">b4q42a</a>	Energy Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19530	268	Energy Federal
269	<a href="#">b4q42b</a>	Energy State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19536	262	Energy State
270	<a href="#">b4q42c</a>	Energy LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19532	266	Energy LG
271	<a href="#">b4q43a</a>	Potable water Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19516	282	Potable water Federal
272	<a href="#">b4q43b</a>	Potable water State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19524	274	Potable water State
273	<a href="#">b4q43c</a>	Potable water LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19515	283	Potable water LG
274	<a href="#">b4q44a</a>	Sanitation Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19508	290	Sanitation Federal
275	<a href="#">b4q44b</a>	Sanitation State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19510	288	Sanitation State
276	<a href="#">b4q44c</a>	Sanitation LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19513	285	Sanitation LG
277	<a href="#">b4q45a</a>	Access to affordable land Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19489	309	Access to affordable land Federal
278	<a href="#">b4q45b</a>	Access to affordable land State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19478	320	Access to affordable land State

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
279	<a href="#">b4q45c</a>	Access to affordable land LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19486	312	Access to affordable land LG
280	<a href="#">b4q46a</a>	Loans and Finances Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19448	350	Loans and Finances Federal
281	<a href="#">b4q46b</a>	Loans and Finances State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19448	350	Loans and Finances State
282	<a href="#">b4q46c</a>	Loans and Finances LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19446	352	Loans and Finances LG
283	<a href="#">b4q47a</a>	ICT Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19016	782	ICT Federal
284	<a href="#">b4q47b</a>	ICT State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19002	796	ICT State
285	<a href="#">b4q47c</a>	ICT LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	18973	825	ICT LG
286	<a href="#">b5q1a1</a>	Decision Making Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19426	372	Decision Making Federal
287	<a href="#">b5q1a2</a>	Decision Making State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19424	374	Decision Making State
288	<a href="#">b5q1a3</a>	Decision Making LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19402	396	Decision Making LG
289	<a href="#">b5q1b1</a>	Education system Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19406	392	Education system Federal
290	<a href="#">b5q1b2</a>	Education system State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19409	389	Education system State
291	<a href="#">b5q1b3</a>	Education system LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19029	769	Education system LG
292	<a href="#">b5q1c1</a>	Employment Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19397	401	Employment Federal
293	<a href="#">b5q1c2</a>	Employment State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19396	402	Employment State
294	<a href="#">b5q1c3</a>	Employment LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19418	380	Employment LG
295	<a href="#">b5q1d1</a>	Medicare Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19379	419	Medicare Federal
296	<a href="#">b5q1d2</a>	Medicare State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19380	418	Medicare State
297	<a href="#">b5q1d3</a>	Medicare LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19021	777	Medicare LG
298	<a href="#">b5q1e1</a>	Legal Institutional Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19318	480	Legal Institutional Federal
299	<a href="#">b5q1e2</a>	Legal Institutional State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19317	481	Legal Institutional State
300	<a href="#">b5q1e3</a>	Legal Institutional LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	19299	499	Legal Institutional LG
301	<a href="#">b5q1f1</a>	Others (Specify) Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	11247	8551	Others (Specify) Federal
302	<a href="#">b5q1f2</a>	Others (Specify) state	continuous	numeric-1.0	11177	8621	Others (Specify) State
303	<a href="#">b5q1f3</a>	Others (Specify) LG	continuous	numeric-1.0	10870	8928	Others (Specify) LG
304	<a href="#">b6q1</a>	Planning and development	continuous	numeric-1.0	19642	156	-
305	<a href="#">b6q2</a>	Participation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19613	185	-
306	<a href="#">b6q3a</a>	NAPEP	continuous	numeric-1.0	4643	15155	1. National Poverty Eradication Programme
307	<a href="#">b6q3b</a>	NHIS	continuous	numeric-1.0	4624	15174	2. National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS)
308	<a href="#">b6q3c</a>	NDE	continuous	numeric-1.0	4626	15172	3. National directorate of Employment (NDE)
309	<a href="#">b6q3d</a>	NAFDAC	continuous	numeric-1.0	4611	15187	4. National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC)
310	<a href="#">b6q3e</a>	NDLEA	continuous	numeric-1.0	4543	15255	5. National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)



African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
311	<a href="#">b6q3f</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	3602	16196	6. Others (Specify)
312	<a href="#">b6q4</a>	Budgeting	continuous	numeric-1.0	19454	344	-
313	<a href="#">b6q5a</a>	God-fatherism is inherent	continuous	numeric-1.0	10019	9779	1. God-fatherism is inherent
314	<a href="#">b6q5b</a>	Bribery and corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	10019	9779	2. Bribery and corruption is rampant
315	<a href="#">b6q5c</a>	Political influence	continuous	numeric-1.0	9983	9815	3. Political influence
316	<a href="#">b6q5d</a>	Lack of transparency	continuous	numeric-1.0	9936	9862	4. Lack of transparency
317	<a href="#">b6q5e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	8006	11792	5. Others (Specify)
318	<a href="#">b1q1</a>	Nigerian economy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19643	155	-
319	<a href="#">b1q2</a>	Trend in Exchange rate	continuous	numeric-1.0	19623	175	-
320	<a href="#">b1q3</a>	Goods and Services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19510	288	-
321	<a href="#">b1q4</a>	Imported goods and services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19502	296	-
322	<a href="#">b1q5</a>	Locally made products	continuous	numeric-1.0	19446	352	-
323	<a href="#">b1q6a</a>	Imported goods are cheaper	continuous	numeric-1.0	4727	15071	1. The imported goods are cheaper
324	<a href="#">b1q6b</a>	Imported goods is better	continuous	numeric-1.0	4744	15054	2. The quality of imported goods is better
325	<a href="#">b1q6c</a>	Locally goods are inferior	continuous	numeric-1.0	4693	15105	3. The locally produced goods are inferior
326	<a href="#">b1q6d</a>	Locally goods are sub-standard	continuous	numeric-1.0	4668	15130	4. The locally produced goods are sub-standard
327	<a href="#">b1q6e</a>	Locally goods are less durable	continuous	numeric-1.0	4560	15238	5. The locally produced goods are less durable
328	<a href="#">b1q7</a>	Spending above the budget	continuous	numeric-1.0	19510	288	-
329	<a href="#">b1q8a</a>	There is no check by the legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	5276	14522	1. There is no check by the legislature
330	<a href="#">b1q8b</a>	Rulling party	continuous	numeric-1.0	5203	14595	2. The legislative house is dominated by the ruling party
331	<a href="#">b1q8c</a>	Executive power over the legislative	continuous	numeric-1.0	5217	14581	3. The Executive uses its executive power over the legislative
332	<a href="#">b1q8d</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	3170	16628	4. Others (Specify)
333	<a href="#">b1q9</a>	Laws and Agencies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19427	371	-
334	<a href="#">b1q10a</a>	EFCC	continuous	numeric-1.0	8778	11020	1. Economic & Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)
335	<a href="#">b1q10b</a>	VAT	continuous	numeric-1.0	8639	11159	2. Value Added Tax (VAT)
336	<a href="#">b1q10c</a>	ICPC	continuous	numeric-1.0	8683	11115	3. Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC)
337	<a href="#">b1q10d</a>	NRMAFC	continuous	numeric-1.0	8595	11203	4. National Revenue Mobilization Allocation and Fiscal Commission (NRMAFC)
338	<a href="#">b1q10e</a>	NDLEA	continuous	numeric-1.0	8608	11190	5. National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
339	<a href="#">b1q10f</a>	NAFDAC	continuous	numeric-1.0	8558	11240	6. National Agency for Food and Drug Administration & Control (NAFDAC)
340	<a href="#">b1q10g</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	6763	13035	7. Others (Specify)
341	<a href="#">b1q11a</a>	Current account balance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18916	882	1. Current account balance
342	<a href="#">b1q11b</a>	Export and Import domestication measures	continuous	numeric-1.0	18941	857	2. Export and import domestication measures
343	<a href="#">b1q11c</a>	Terms of Trade	continuous	numeric-1.0	18905	893	3. Terms of Trade
344	<a href="#">b1q11d</a>	Changes in net foreign asset	continuous	numeric-1.0	18765	1033	4. Changes in net foreign asset
345	<a href="#">b1q11e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	13167	6631	5. Others (specify)
346	<a href="#">b1q12a</a>	Production of more goods and services for export	continuous	numeric-1.0	17929	1869	1. Production of more good and services for export.
347	<a href="#">b1q12b</a>	Banning of importation goods	continuous	numeric-1.0	17953	1845	2. Banning of importation of some goods that can be produced locally
348	<a href="#">b1q12c</a>	Establishment of monitoring and control unit	continuous	numeric-1.0	17873	1925	3. Establishment of Monitoring and Control Unit
349	<a href="#">b1q12d</a>	High tariffs on imported goods	continuous	numeric-1.0	17775	2023	4. High tariffs on imported goods to improve terms of trade
350	<a href="#">b1q12e</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14484	5314	5. Others (Specify)
351	<a href="#">b1q13a</a>	Granting of agricultural credit facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	18733	1065	1. Granting of agricultural credit facilities
352	<a href="#">b1q13b</a>	Banning of importation of certain goods and services	continuous	numeric-1.0	18748	1050	2. Banning of importation of certain goods and services
353	<a href="#">b1q13c</a>	Home produced goods and services	continuous	numeric-1.0	18710	1088	3. Encouraging exportation of home produced goods and services
354	<a href="#">b1q13d</a>	Privatization of public utilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	18636	1162	4. Privatization of public Utilities
355	<a href="#">b1q13e</a>	Reform of financial institutions	continuous	numeric-1.0	18309	1489	5. Reform of Financial Institutions e.g. Re-capitalization of Banks, Insurance etc.
356	<a href="#">b1q13f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14743	5055	6. Others (Specify)
357	<a href="#">b1q14</a>	How effective are these measures	continuous	numeric-1.0	18789	1009	1 = Highly effective 2 = Moderately effective 3 = Ineffective
358	<a href="#">b1q15a</a>	Corruption of public officers	continuous	numeric-1.0	19227	571	1. Corruption of public officers
359	<a href="#">b1q15b</a>	Non-implementation of policies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19099	699	2. Non-implementation of policies
360	<a href="#">b1q15c</a>	lack of infrastructures	continuous	numeric-1.0	19089	709	3. Lack of infrastructures
361	<a href="#">b1q15d</a>	Poor management of resources	continuous	numeric-1.0	19082	716	4. Poor Management of resources
362	<a href="#">b1q15e</a>	High foreign exchange rate	continuous	numeric-1.0	18773	1025	5. High foreign exchange Rate
363	<a href="#">b1q15f</a>	Others (Specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	15462	4336	6. Others (Specify)
364	<a href="#">b1q16</a>	Macroeconomic outlook	continuous	numeric-1.0	19173	625	1 = Highly satisfied 2 = Moderately

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							satisfied 3 = Not satisfied
365	<a href="#">b1q17a</a>	Economic reform	continuous	numeric-1.0	19436	362	1. Economic reform
366	<a href="#">b1q17b</a>	Unemployment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19464	334	2. Unemployment
367	<a href="#">b1q17c</a>	Disasters	continuous	numeric-1.0	19383	415	3. Disasters (e.g. floods, fire, famine)
368	<a href="#">b1q17d</a>	Transparency	continuous	numeric-1.0	19387	411	4. Management of local Government by State Govt. (ensuring accountability and transparency)
369	<a href="#">b1q17e</a>	Industrial disputes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19325	473	5. Industrial disputes and labour issues (employee strikes)
370	<a href="#">b1q17f</a>	Infrastructure development	continuous	numeric-1.0	19307	491	6. Infrastructure development (e.g. roads, electricity, Telecommunications)
371	<a href="#">b1q17g</a>	Environmental management	continuous	numeric-1.0	19259	539	7. Environmental management (e.g. deforestation, erosion, land degradation, pollution)
372	<a href="#">b1q17h</a>	land reform and ownership	continuous	numeric-1.0	19192	606	8. Land reform and ownership (e.g. Settling squatters)
373	<a href="#">b1q17i</a>	Corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	19310	488	9. Corruption
374	<a href="#">b1q17j</a>	Insecurity	continuous	numeric-1.0	18642	1156	10. Insecurity
375	<a href="#">b1q18</a>	Income	continuous	numeric-1.0	19335	463	1 = Increased a lot; 2= Increased; 3= Not changed; 4 = Decreased; 5 = Decreased a lot
376	<a href="#">b1q19</a>	Value of Naira	continuous	numeric-1.0	19489	309	1 = Increased a lot; 2 = Increased; 3 = Not changed; 4 = Decreased; 5 = Decreased a lot ; 6= Don't Know
377	<a href="#">b1q20</a>	Cost of living	continuous	numeric-1.0	19537	261	1 = Decreased a lot ; 2 = Decreased; 3 = Not changed; 4 = Increased; 5 = Increased a lot
378	<a href="#">b1q21</a>	Standard of living	continuous	numeric-1.0	19487	311	1 = Improved a lot; 2 = Improved; 3 = Not changed; 4 = Become worse; 5 = Become a lot worse
379	<a href="#">b1q22</a>	Taxed	continuous	numeric-1.0	19003	795	1=A lot less; 2=Less;3=Same as before; 4=More; 5=A lot more
380	<a href="#">b1q23</a>	Credit/Loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	19288	510	1 = Yes; 2 = No
381	<a href="#">b1q24a</a>	Bank	continuous	numeric-1.0	1013	18785	1. Bank
382	<a href="#">b1q24b</a>	Micro credit institution	continuous	numeric-1.0	991	18807	2. Micro credit institution
383	<a href="#">b1q24c</a>	Money lender	continuous	numeric-1.0	987	18811	3. Money lender
384	<a href="#">b1q24d</a>	Relatives	continuous	numeric-1.0	985	18813	4. Relatives
385	<a href="#">b1q24e</a>	Cooperative	continuous	numeric-1.0	987	18811	5. Cooperative
386	<a href="#">b1q24f</a>	Friends	continuous	numeric-1.0	985	18813	6. Friends
387	<a href="#">b1q24g</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	748	19050	7. Others (specify)
388	<a href="#">b1q25</a>	How much did you borrow	continuous	numeric-1.0	958	18840	-
389	<a href="#">b1q26a</a>	Business	continuous	numeric-1.0	970	18828	1. Business
390	<a href="#">b1q26b</a>	School fees	continuous	numeric-1.0	970	18828	2. School fees

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
391	<a href="#">b1q26c</a>	Subsistence	continuous	numeric-1.0	967	18831	3. Subsistence
392	<a href="#">b1q26d</a>	House building	continuous	numeric-1.0	955	18843	4. House building
393	<a href="#">b1q26e</a>	Medical care	continuous	numeric-1.0	937	18861	5. Medical care
394	<a href="#">b1q26f</a>	Social expenses	continuous	numeric-1.0	929	18869	6. Social expenses (e.g. Marriage, Funeral, etc.)
395	<a href="#">b1q26g</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	743	19055	7. Others (specify)
396	<a href="#">b1q27</a>	Repay as interest	continuous	numeric-1.0	867	18931	-
397	<a href="#">b1q28a</a>	Lack of collateral	continuous	numeric-1.0	18575	1223	1. Lack of collateral
398	<a href="#">b1q28b</a>	Lack of guarantors	continuous	numeric-1.0	18549	1249	2. Lack of guarantors
399	<a href="#">b1q28c</a>	Lack of information	continuous	numeric-1.0	18571	1227	3. Lack of information on where one can borrow
400	<a href="#">b1q28d</a>	Loan processing takes too long	continuous	numeric-1.0	18506	1292	4. Loan processing takes too long
401	<a href="#">b1q28e</a>	Interest charged is high	continuous	numeric-1.0	18362	1436	5. Interest charged is high
402	<a href="#">b1q28f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12839	6959	6. Others (specify)
403	<a href="#">b1q29</a>	Access to credit/loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	19150	648	1 = Very easy; 2 = Easy; 3 = Difficult ; 4 = Very difficult; 5 = Don't Know
404	<a href="#">b1q30</a>	Nearest to financial institution	continuous	numeric-1.0	17979	1819	1 = 1-10 km; 2 = 11-20 km; 3= 21-30 km; 4 = 31-40 km; 5 = More than 40 km
405	<a href="#">b1q31</a>	Obtaining credit/loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	18156	1642	1=Very easy; 2=Easy;3=Difficult ;4=Very difficult 5=Don't Know
406	<a href="#">b1q32a</a>	Registration of business	continuous	numeric-1.0	17432	2366	1. Registration of business
407	<a href="#">b1q32b</a>	Issuance of licenses and permits	continuous	numeric-1.0	16259	3539	2. Issuance of licenses and permits e.g. driving license, birth certificate, passports etc
408	<a href="#">b1q32c</a>	Attend to patient in public hospital	continuous	numeric-1.0	16168	3630	3. Attend to patients in public hospitals
409	<a href="#">b1q32d</a>	Enrolments in schools	continuous	numeric-1.0	16000	3798	4. Enrolment in schools
410	<a href="#">b1q32e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	8957	10841	5. Others (specify)
411	<a href="#">b1q33</a>	Service delivery	continuous	numeric-1.0	18497	1301	-
412	<a href="#">b1q34a</a>	Flood	continuous	numeric-1.0	19372	426	1. Flood
413	<a href="#">b1q34b</a>	Drought	continuous	numeric-1.0	19348	450	2. Drought
414	<a href="#">b1q34c</a>	Famine	continuous	numeric-1.0	19348	450	3. Famine
415	<a href="#">b1q34d</a>	Illness	continuous	numeric-1.0	19354	444	4. Illness
416	<a href="#">b1q34e</a>	Drastic price increase	continuous	numeric-1.0	19344	454	5. Drastic price increases of essential commodities (e.g. sugar, flour etc)
417	<a href="#">b1q34f</a>	Fires	continuous	numeric-1.0	19328	470	6. Fires
418	<a href="#">b1q34g</a>	Commodity price fluctuations	continuous	numeric-1.0	19326	472	7. Commodity price fluctuations (e.g. coffee)
419	<a href="#">b1q34h</a>	International trade disputes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19312	486	8. International trade disputes

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
420	<a href="#">b1q34i</a>	Banning of commodity exports to International markets	continuous	numeric-1.0	19286	512	9. Banning of commodity exports to International markets
421	<a href="#">b1q34j</a>	Ethnic clashes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19271	527	10. Ethnic (Tribal) clashes
422	<a href="#">b1q34k</a>	Theft of household asset/Robbery	continuous	numeric-1.0	19095	703	11. Theft of household assets/Robbery
423	<a href="#">b1q34l</a>	Other (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12477	7321	12. Other (specify)
424	<a href="#">b1q35</a>	Assistance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18359	1439	-
425	<a href="#">b1q36a</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	1404	18394	1. Government
426	<a href="#">b1q36b</a>	Non-government organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	1373	18425	2. Non-Governmental Organisation (NGO)
427	<a href="#">b1q36c</a>	Church/Mosque	continuous	numeric-1.0	1371	18427	3. Church/Mosque
428	<a href="#">b1q36d</a>	Individuals	continuous	numeric-1.0	1372	18426	4. Individuals
429	<a href="#">b1q36e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	933	18865	5. Others (specify)
430	<a href="#">b1q37</a>	Assistance offered	continuous	numeric-1.0	1513	18285	-
431	<a href="#">b1q38</a>	Assisting victims of disasters/shocks	continuous	numeric-1.0	19136	662	-
432	<a href="#">b1q39a</a>	Flood	continuous	numeric-1.0	19130	668	1. Flood
433	<a href="#">b1q39b</a>	Drought	continuous	numeric-1.0	19071	727	2. Drought
434	<a href="#">b1q39c</a>	Famine	continuous	numeric-1.0	19077	721	3. Famine
435	<a href="#">b1q39d</a>	Illness	continuous	numeric-1.0	19113	685	4. Illness
436	<a href="#">b1q39e</a>	Drastic price increase	continuous	numeric-1.0	19007	791	5. Drastic price increases of essential commodities (e.g. sugar, flour etc)
437	<a href="#">b1q39f</a>	Fires	continuous	numeric-1.0	18924	874	6. Fires
438	<a href="#">b1q39g</a>	Commodity price fluctuations	continuous	numeric-1.0	18915	883	7. Commodity price fluctuations (e.g. coffee).
439	<a href="#">b1q39h</a>	International trade disputes	continuous	numeric-1.0	18885	913	8. International trade disputes
440	<a href="#">b1q39i</a>	Banning of commodity exports to International markets	continuous	numeric-1.0	18843	955	9. Banning of commodity exports to International markets
441	<a href="#">b1q39j</a>	Ethnic conflicts	continuous	numeric-1.0	18825	973	10. Ethnic conflicts
442	<a href="#">b1q39k</a>	Theft of household assets/Robbery	continuous	numeric-1.0	18607	1191	11. Theft of household assets/Robbery
443	<a href="#">b1q39l</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	15296	4502	12. Others (specify)
444	<a href="#">b1q39m</a>	Ethnic clashes	continuous	numeric-1.0	16323	3475	10. Ethnic (tribal) clashes
445	<a href="#">b1q39n</a>	Theft of household assets/Robbery	continuous	numeric-1.0	15275	4523	11. Theft of household assets/Robbery
446	<a href="#">b1q39o</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10781	9017	12. Others (specify)
447	<a href="#">bq21a</a>	Provision of soft loans	continuous	numeric-1.0	18644	1154	1. Provision of soft loans
448	<a href="#">bq21b</a>	Provision of Infrastructures	continuous	numeric-1.0	18640	1158	2. Provision of Infrastructures

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
449	<a href="#">bq21c</a>	Job creation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18602	1196	3. Job creation
450	<a href="#">bq21d</a>	Development of Agriculture	continuous	numeric-1.0	18648	1150	4. Development of Agriculture
451	<a href="#">bq21e</a>	Fuel	continuous	numeric-1.0	18533	1265	5. Fuel
452	<a href="#">bq21f</a>	Housing	continuous	numeric-1.0	18426	1372	6. Housing
453	<a href="#">bq21g</a>	Communication	continuous	numeric-1.0	17554	2244	7. Communication
454	<a href="#">bq22a</a>	Electricity supply	continuous	numeric-1.0	19430	368	1. Electricity Supply
455	<a href="#">bq22b</a>	Good roads	continuous	numeric-1.0	19444	354	2. Good roads
456	<a href="#">bq22c</a>	Water supply	continuous	numeric-1.0	19420	378	3. Water supply
457	<a href="#">bq22d</a>	Communications	continuous	numeric-1.0	19438	360	4. Communications
458	<a href="#">bq22e</a>	Health delivery services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19420	378	5. Health delivery services
459	<a href="#">bq22f</a>	Housing	continuous	numeric-1.0	19246	552	6. Housing
460	<a href="#">bq22g</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11912	7886	7. Others (specify)
461	<a href="#">b2q3i</a>	Job creation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19399	399	1. Job creation
462	<a href="#">b2q3j</a>	Good housing	continuous	numeric-1.0	19402	396	2. Good housing
463	<a href="#">b2q3k</a>	Good health care	continuous	numeric-1.0	19412	386	3. Good health care
464	<a href="#">b2q3l</a>	Qualitative education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19398	400	4. Qualitative education
465	<a href="#">b2q3m</a>	Electricity or energy supply	continuous	numeric-1.0	19398	400	5. Electricity or energy supply
466	<a href="#">b2q3n</a>	Good roads	continuous	numeric-1.0	19393	405	6. Good roads
467	<a href="#">b2q3o</a>	Good transport facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19367	431	7. Good transport facilities
468	<a href="#">b2q3p</a>	Good recreational facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19321	477	8. Good recreational facilities
469	<a href="#">b2q3q</a>	Good nutrition	continuous	numeric-1.0	19268	530	9. Good nutrition
470	<a href="#">b2q3r</a>	Security of life and property	continuous	numeric-1.0	18119	1679	10. Security of life and property
471	<a href="#">b2qa</a>	Economic programmes and policies	continuous	numeric-1.0	18990	808	-
472	<a href="#">b2q5</a>	Women's empowerment and development	continuous	numeric-1.0	19366	432	-
473	<a href="#">b2q6a</a>	Women in political offices	continuous	numeric-1.0	19429	369	1. Women in Political Offices
474	<a href="#">b2q6b</a>	Establishment of Ministry of women affairs	continuous	numeric-1.0	19406	392	2. Establishment of Ministry of Women Affairs
475	<a href="#">b2q6c</a>	Promotion of Girl Child Education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19380	418	3. Promotion of Girl Child Education
476	<a href="#">b2q6d</a>	Employment of women in public service	continuous	numeric-1.0	19319	479	4. Employment of Women in Public Service
477	<a href="#">b2q6e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11828	7970	5. Others (Specify)
478	<a href="#">b2q7h</a>	Bribery and corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	19163	635	1. Bribery and corruption
479	<a href="#">b2q7i</a>	Lack of security	continuous	numeric-1.0	19072	726	2. Lack of security
480	<a href="#">b2q7j</a>	Indiscipline in the implementation of programmes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19000	798	3. Indiscipline in the implementation of programmes

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
481	<a href="#">b2q7k</a>	Political instability	continuous	numeric-1.0	18889	909	4. Political instability
482	<a href="#">b2q7l</a>	Poor infrastructure	continuous	numeric-1.0	18756	1042	5. poor Infrastructure
483	<a href="#">b2q7m</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14931	4867	6. Others (Specify)
484	<a href="#">b2q81a</a>	Executive Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19435	363	Executive Effective
485	<a href="#">b2q81b</a>	Executive Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19430	368	Executive Transparent
486	<a href="#">b2q81c</a>	Executive Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19395	403	Executive Predictable
487	<a href="#">b2q82a</a>	Legislative Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19417	381	Legislative Effective
488	<a href="#">b2q82b</a>	Legislative Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19426	372	Legislative Transparent
489	<a href="#">b2q82c</a>	Legislative Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19389	409	Legislative Predictable
490	<a href="#">b2q83a</a>	Judiciary Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19425	373	Judiciary Effective
491	<a href="#">b2q83b</a>	Judiciary Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19434	364	Judiciary Transparent
492	<a href="#">b2q83c</a>	Judiciary Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19397	401	Judiciary Predictable
493	<a href="#">b2q84a</a>	Federal Govt. Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19417	381	Federal Govt. Effective
494	<a href="#">b2q84b</a>	Federal Govt. Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19422	376	Federal Govt. Transparent
495	<a href="#">b2q84c</a>	Federal Govt. Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19373	425	Federal Govt. Predictable
496	<a href="#">b2q85a</a>	State Govt. Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19067	731	State Govt. Effective
497	<a href="#">b2q85b</a>	State Govt. Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19403	395	State Govt. Transparent
498	<a href="#">b2q85c</a>	State Govt. Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19378	420	State Govt. Predictable
499	<a href="#">b2q86a</a>	Local Govt. Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19404	394	Local Govt. Effective
500	<a href="#">b2q86b</a>	Local Govt. Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19412	386	Local Govt. Transparent
501	<a href="#">b2q86c</a>	Local Govt. Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19378	420	Local Govt. Predictable
502	<a href="#">b2q87a</a>	Civil Service Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19398	400	Civil Service Effective
503	<a href="#">b2q87b</a>	Civil Service Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19403	395	Civil Service Transparent
504	<a href="#">b2q87c</a>	Civil Service Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19368	430	Civil Service Predictable
505	<a href="#">b2q88a</a>	NNPC Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19399	399	NNPC Effective
506	<a href="#">b2q88b</a>	NNPC Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19404	394	NNPC Transparent
507	<a href="#">b2q88c</a>	NNPC Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19363	435	NNPC Predictable
508	<a href="#">b2q89a</a>	Police force Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19380	418	Police force Effective
509	<a href="#">b2q89b</a>	Police force Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19083	715	Police force Transparent
510	<a href="#">b2q89c</a>	Police force Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19354	444	Police force Predictable
511	<a href="#">b2q810a</a>	Customs service Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19376	422	Customs service Effective
512	<a href="#">b2q810b</a>	Customs service Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19393	405	Customs service Transparent
513	<a href="#">b2q810c</a>	Customs service Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19355	443	Customs service Predictable
514	<a href="#">b2q811a</a>	Immigration Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19366	432	Immigration Effective
515	<a href="#">b2q811b</a>	Immigration Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19371	427	Immigration Transparent
516	<a href="#">b2q811c</a>	Immigration Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19334	464	Immigration Predictable

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
517	<a href="#">b2q812a</a>	PHCN Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	19329	469	PHCN Effective
518	<a href="#">b2q812b</a>	PHCN Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19326	472	PHCN Transparent
519	<a href="#">b2q812c</a>	PHCN Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	19212	586	PHCN Predictable
520	<a href="#">b2q813a</a>	Others (specify) Effective	continuous	numeric-1.0	11992	7806	Others (specify) Effective
521	<a href="#">b2q813b</a>	Others (specify) Transparent	continuous	numeric-1.0	11857	7941	Others (specify) Transparent
522	<a href="#">b2q813c</a>	Others (specify) Predictable	continuous	numeric-1.0	11472	8326	Others (specify) Predictable
523	<a href="#">b2q9a</a>	Timely	continuous	numeric-1.0	19413	385	1. Timely
524	<a href="#">b2q9b</a>	Comprehensive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19352	446	2. Comprehensive
525	<a href="#">b2q9c</a>	Frequent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19325	473	3. Frequent
526	<a href="#">b2q10a</a>	Information through advert	continuous	numeric-1.0	19275	523	1. Publishing Government's procurement information through advert in the Newspapers, Internet, Gazette etc.
527	<a href="#">b2q10b</a>	Consulting major stakeholders	continuous	numeric-1.0	19222	576	2. Consulting major stake-holders, and civil society organisations and given them up to date and complete information on the governance processes
528	<a href="#">b2q10c</a>	Government project and expenditure	continuous	numeric-1.0	19227	571	3. Giving the media and other stakeholders effective access to some internal documents on Government's projects and expenditure.
529	<a href="#">b2q10d</a>	Development project that effect peoples lives	continuous	numeric-1.0	19212	586	4. Giving the people the opportunity to directly supervise and/or make inputs into development projects that affect their lives.
530	<a href="#">b2q10e</a>	Budget and expenditure procurements	continuous	numeric-1.0	19053	745	5. Allowing civil society organization access to monitor and publish findings on public budgets, expenditures and procurements.
531	<a href="#">b2q11a</a>	B2Q11A	continuous	numeric-2.0	420	19378	-
532	<a href="#">b2q11b</a>	B2Q11B	continuous	numeric-2.0	65	19733	-
533	<a href="#">b2q11c</a>	B2Q11C	continuous	numeric-2.0	42	19756	-
534	<a href="#">b2q12a</a>	Access to agricultural inputs	continuous	numeric-1.0	19164	634	1. Access to agricultural inputs
535	<a href="#">b2q12b</a>	Marketing of agricultural produce	continuous	numeric-1.0	19121	677	2. Marketing of agricultural produce
536	<a href="#">b2q12c</a>	Access to agricultural extension services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19111	687	3. Access to agricultural extension services
537	<a href="#">b2q12d</a>	Access to credit	continuous	numeric-1.0	19110	688	4. Access to credit
538	<a href="#">b2q12e</a>	Marketing of products from small and micro enterprises	continuous	numeric-1.0	19060	738	5. Marketing of products from Small and Micro enterprises
539	<a href="#">b2q12f</a>	Marketing of livestock	continuous	numeric-1.0	19025	773	6. Marketing of livestock products



African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		products					
540	<a href="#">b2q12g</a>	Fisheries	continuous	numeric-1.0	18052	1746	7. Fisheries
541	<a href="#">b2q13</a>	Do you save in financial institution	continuous	numeric-1.0	19273	525	-
542	<a href="#">b2q14a</a>	Bank	continuous	numeric-1.0	3026	16772	1. Bank
543	<a href="#">b2q14b</a>	Micro credit institution	continuous	numeric-1.0	2891	16907	2. Micro credit institution
544	<a href="#">b2q14c</a>	Cooperative	continuous	numeric-1.0	2890	16908	3. Cooperative
545	<a href="#">b2q14d</a>	Insurance	continuous	numeric-1.0	2873	16925	4. Insurance
546	<a href="#">b2q14e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1984	17814	5. Others (specify)
547	<a href="#">b2q15</a>	Saving rate for over 2 years	continuous	numeric-1.0	3195	16603	-
548	<a href="#">b2q16</a>	Why you do not save	continuous	numeric-1.0	15956	3842	-
549	<a href="#">b2q171a</a>	Licensing of business - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19200	598	Licensing of business - State
550	<a href="#">b2q171b</a>	Licensing of business - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19208	590	Licensing of business - LGA
551	<a href="#">b2q172a</a>	Maintenance of local markets - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19239	559	Maintenance of local markets - State
552	<a href="#">b2q172b</a>	Maintenance of local markets - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19282	516	Maintenance of local markets - LGA
553	<a href="#">b2q173a</a>	Provision for hawking - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19178	620	Provision for hawking - State
554	<a href="#">b2q173b</a>	Provision for hawking - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19207	591	Provision for hawking - LGA
555	<a href="#">b2q174a</a>	Fire fighting - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19223	575	Fire fighting - State
556	<a href="#">b2q174b</a>	Fire fighting - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19227	571	Fire fighting - LGA
557	<a href="#">b2q175a</a>	Planning of cities/towns - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19256	542	Planning of cities/towns - State
558	<a href="#">b2q175b</a>	Planning of cities/towns - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19242	556	Planning of cities/towns - LGA
559	<a href="#">b2q176a</a>	Water supply - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19264	534	Water supply - State
560	<a href="#">b2q176b</a>	Water supply - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19267	531	Water supply - LGA
561	<a href="#">b2q177a</a>	Sewerage - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19193	605	Sewerage - State
562	<a href="#">b2q177b</a>	Sewerage - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19214	584	Sewerage - LGA
563	<a href="#">b2q178a</a>	Garbage collection - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19229	569	Garbage collection - State
564	<a href="#">b2q178b</a>	Garbage collection - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19244	554	Garbage collection - LGA
565	<a href="#">b2q179a</a>	Housing - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19199	599	Housing - State
566	<a href="#">b2q179b</a>	Housing - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19209	589	Housing - LGA
567	<a href="#">b2q1710a</a>	Street lighting - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19208	590	Street lighting - State
568	<a href="#">b2q1710b</a>	Street lighting - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19222	576	Street lighting - LGA
569	<a href="#">b2q1711a</a>	Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19219	579	Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - State

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		State					
570	<a href="#">b2q1711b</a>	Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19222	576	Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - LGA
571	<a href="#">b2q1712a</a>	Security - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19172	626	Security - State
572	<a href="#">b2q1712b</a>	Security - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19171	627	Security - LGA
573	<a href="#">b2q1713a</a>	Magistrate courts - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	18455	1343	Magistrate courts - State
574	<a href="#">b2q1713b</a>	Magistrate Courts - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	18387	1411	Magistrate Courts - LGA
575	<a href="#">b2q18</a>	Levies charged by local councils	continuous	numeric-1.0	18770	1028	-
576	<a href="#">b3q1a</a>	Empowerment of FIRS through legislation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18223	1575	Empowerment of Federal Inland Revenue Service through legislation
577	<a href="#">b3q1b</a>	Port concession	continuous	numeric-1.0	18207	1591	Port concession
578	<a href="#">b3q1c</a>	Nigerian customs service reforms	continuous	numeric-1.0	18259	1539	Nigerian customs service reforms
579	<a href="#">b3q1d</a>	Increase tarrifs on utilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	18239	1559	Increase tarrifs on utilities
580	<a href="#">b3q1e</a>	Re-capitalization of banks	continuous	numeric-1.0	18292	1506	Re-capitalization of banks
581	<a href="#">b3q1f</a>	Establishment of revenue allocation and mobilization committ	continuous	numeric-1.0	18242	1556	Establishment of revenue allocation and mobilization committ
582	<a href="#">b3q1g</a>	Expansion of business through micro-credit facilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	18196	1602	Expansion of business through micro-credit facilities
583	<a href="#">b3q1h</a>	Introduction of value addedd tax	continuous	numeric-1.0	18002	1796	Introduction of value addedd tax
584	<a href="#">b3q1i</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14653	5145	Others (specify)
585	<a href="#">b3qa</a>	Distribution and Allocation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18340	1458	-
586	<a href="#">b3q3a</a>	Port concession	continuous	numeric-1.0	12186	7612	Port concession
587	<a href="#">b3q3b</a>	Revenue Allocation Bill	continuous	numeric-1.0	12157	7641	Revenue Allocation Bill
588	<a href="#">b3q3c</a>	Fiscal Responsibility Bill	continuous	numeric-1.0	12091	7707	Fiscal Responsibility Bill
589	<a href="#">b3q3d</a>	Pension Reform	continuous	numeric-1.0	12055	7743	Pension Reform
590	<a href="#">b3q3e</a>	Value Added Tax	continuous	numeric-1.0	12029	7769	Value Added Tax
591	<a href="#">b3q3f</a>	Flexible Businesses Regulations	continuous	numeric-1.0	11748	8050	Flexible Businesses Regulations
592	<a href="#">b3q3g</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9936	9862	Others (specify)
593	<a href="#">b3q4k</a>	Resource allocation - State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19377	421	Resource allocation - State
594	<a href="#">b3q4l</a>	Resource allocation - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19376	422	Resource allocation - LGA
595	<a href="#">b3q4m</a>	Resource allocation - Comm	continuous	numeric-1.0	19307	491	Resource allocation - Comm
596	<a href="#">b3q5a</a>	Favourable Allocation - Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	16019	3779	Favourable Allocation - Federal
597	<a href="#">b3q5b</a>	Favourable Allocation -	continuous	numeric-1.0	15813	3985	Favourable Allocation - Statel

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		State1					
598	<a href="#">b3q5c</a>	Favourable Allocation - LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	15938	3860	Favourable Allocation - LGA
599	<a href="#">b3q5d</a>	Favourable Allocation - Comm	continuous	numeric-1.0	16107	3691	Favourable Allocation - Comm
600	<a href="#">b3q6a</a>	Transparency of monthly allocation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18128	1670	Transparency of monthly allocation
601	<a href="#">b3q6b</a>	Publication of Allocation to the 3 tiers of govt.	continuous	numeric-1.0	18085	1713	Publication of Allocation to the 3 tiers of govt.
602	<a href="#">b3q6c</a>	Budget Discipline	continuous	numeric-1.0	17999	1799	Budget Discipline
603	<a href="#">b3q6d</a>	Public Procurement Reform	continuous	numeric-1.0	18024	1774	Public Procurement Reform
604	<a href="#">b3q6e</a>	Establishment of EFCC	continuous	numeric-1.0	18186	1612	Establishment of EFCC
605	<a href="#">b3q6f</a>	Due Process Principle in Public Establishments	continuous	numeric-1.0	17988	1810	Due Process Principle in Public Establishments
606	<a href="#">b3q6g</a>	Establishment of ICPC	continuous	numeric-1.0	17977	1821	Establishment of ICPC
607	<a href="#">b3q6h</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	14249	5549	Others (specify)
608	<a href="#">b3q7a</a>	Identifying development projects	continuous	numeric-1.0	19267	531	Identifying development projects
609	<a href="#">b3q7b</a>	Planning for the development projects	continuous	numeric-1.0	19229	569	Planning for the development projects
610	<a href="#">b3q7c</a>	Prioritizing the development projects	continuous	numeric-1.0	19183	615	Prioritizing the development projects
611	<a href="#">b3q7d</a>	Implementation of the development project	continuous	numeric-1.0	19173	625	Implementation of the development project
612	<a href="#">b3q7e</a>	Supervision/monitoring of development projects	continuous	numeric-1.0	18669	1129	Supervision/monitoring of development projects
613	<a href="#">b3q8</a>	Constituency development fund	continuous	numeric-1.0	19424	374	-
614	<a href="#">b3qb</a>	Degree of transparency	continuous	numeric-1.0	19539	259	-
615	<a href="#">b3q1j</a>	Useful of development projects	continuous	numeric-1.0	19501	297	-
616	<a href="#">b3q1k</a>	Money allocated to your LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19513	285	-
617	<a href="#">b3q12</a>	Improve service delivery in your LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19505	293	-
618	<a href="#">b3q13</a>	Degree of development project carried out by your LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19322	476	-
619	<a href="#">b3q14a</a>	Derivation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19328	470	Derivation
620	<a href="#">b3q14b</a>	Population	continuous	numeric-1.0	19365	433	Population
621	<a href="#">b3q14c</a>	Landmass/Terrain	continuous	numeric-1.0	19267	531	Landmass/Terrain
622	<a href="#">b3q14d</a>	Equality of state/lga	continuous	numeric-1.0	19319	479	Equality of state/lga
623	<a href="#">b3q14e</a>	Social Development	continuous	numeric-1.0	19277	521	Social Development

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
624	<a href="#">b3q14f</a>	Revenue Efforts	continuous	numeric-1.0	19053	745	Revenue Efforts
625	<a href="#">b3q15a</a>	Fiscal Decentralisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19260	538	Fiscal Decentralisation
626	<a href="#">b3q15b</a>	Resource mobilisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19290	508	Resource mobilisation
627	<a href="#">b3q15c</a>	Inter-Government Harmony	continuous	numeric-1.0	19237	561	Inter-Government Harmony
628	<a href="#">b3q15d</a>	Equity	continuous	numeric-1.0	19252	546	Equity
629	<a href="#">b3q15e</a>	Even development	continuous	numeric-1.0	19240	558	Even development
630	<a href="#">b3q15f</a>	Initiative and entrepreneurship	continuous	numeric-1.0	19242	556	Initiative and entrepreneurship
631	<a href="#">b3q15g</a>	Financial recklessness	continuous	numeric-1.0	18845	953	Financial recklessness
632	<a href="#">b3q1l</a>	System of resource mobilisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19254	544	-
633	<a href="#">b3q1m</a>	What extent	continuous	numeric-1.0	4225	15573	-
634	<a href="#">b3q1n</a>	Effective of decentralization	continuous	numeric-1.0	18667	1131	-
635	<a href="#">b4q1</a>	Corruption in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19512	286	-
636	<a href="#">b4q2</a>	Process of Accountability in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19451	347	-
637	<a href="#">b4q3a</a>	ICPC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19422	376	Independent Corrupt Practices and other related offences Commission (ICPC)
638	<a href="#">b4q3b</a>	EFCC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19446	352	Economic & Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)
639	<a href="#">b4q3c</a>	NAFDAC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19397	401	National Agency for Food and Drug Administration & Control (NAFDAC)
640	<a href="#">b4q3d</a>	NDLEA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19285	513	National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)
641	<a href="#">b4q3e</a>	Faith Based Organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18896	902	Faith-Based Organization
642	<a href="#">b4q3f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11696	8102	Others (specify)
643	<a href="#">b4q4</a>	Combating Corruption in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19356	442	-
644	<a href="#">b4q51a</a>	Job Insecurity-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	19391	407	Job Insecurity-Public
645	<a href="#">b4q51b</a>	Job Insecurity-Private	continuous	numeric-1.0	19409	389	Job Insecurity-Private
646	<a href="#">b4q52a</a>	Low income and wages-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	19416	382	Low income and wages-Public
647	<a href="#">b4q52b</a>	Low income and wages-Private	continuous	numeric-1.0	19386	412	Low income and wages-Private
648	<a href="#">b4q53a</a>	Poverty-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	19351	447	Poverty-Public
649	<a href="#">b4q53b</a>	Poverty-Private	continuous	numeric-1.0	19348	450	Poverty-Private
650	<a href="#">b4q54a</a>	Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	19143	655	Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Public
651	<a href="#">b4q54b</a>	Lack of effective sanctions	continuous	numeric-1.0	19310	488	Lack of effective sanctions for past

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		for past corrupt official-Privat					corrupt official-Privat
652	<a href="#">b4q55a</a>	Defective cultural value-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	19280	518	Defective cultural value-Public
653	<a href="#">b4q55b</a>	Defective cultural value-Private	continuous	numeric-1.0	19261	537	Defective cultural value-Private
654	<a href="#">b4q56a</a>	Lack of effective-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	19190	608	Lack of effective-Public
655	<a href="#">b4q56b</a>	Lack of effective-Private	continuous	numeric-1.0	19156	642	Lack of effective-Private
656	<a href="#">b4q57a</a>	Others (specify)-Public	continuous	numeric-1.0	15449	4349	Others (specify)-Public
657	<a href="#">b4q57b</a>	Others (specify)-Private	continuous	numeric-1.0	15203	4595	Others (specify)-Private
658	<a href="#">b4q6</a>	Corrupt practices get adequate punishment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19446	352	-
659	<a href="#">b4q7</a>	Fight against corruption in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19488	310	-
660	<a href="#">b4q8</a>	Do you think the Nigeria government is actually fighting co	continuous	numeric-1.0	19516	282	-
661	<a href="#">b4q9</a>	Ranking Government officials charged to court for corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	19499	299	-
662	<a href="#">b4q10</a>	Ranking Government official convicted of corruption in Niger	continuous	numeric-1.0	19504	294	-
663	<a href="#">b4q11</a>	Poverty is widespread in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19524	274	-
664	<a href="#">b4q12</a>	Distribution of economic resources in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19508	290	-
665	<a href="#">b4q13</a>	magnitude of money laundaring in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19190	608	-
666	<a href="#">b4q14a</a>	ICPC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19478	320	Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC)
667	<a href="#">b4q14b</a>	EFCC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19497	301	Economic & Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)
668	<a href="#">b4q14c</a>	Code of conduct bureau	continuous	numeric-1.0	19340	458	Code of conduct bureau
669	<a href="#">b4q14d</a>	Prohibition of money Laundering Act	continuous	numeric-1.0	19314	484	Prohibition of money Laundering Act
670	<a href="#">b4q14e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11712	8086	Others (specify)
671	<a href="#">b4q15</a>	Corruption in the public sector	continuous	numeric-1.0	19259	539	-
672	<a href="#">b4q16a</a>	Police departmant	continuous	numeric-1.0	19492	306	Police departmant
673	<a href="#">b4q16b</a>	Civil service	continuous	numeric-1.0	19476	322	Civil service (ministries, departments Agency etc.)
674	<a href="#">b4q16c</a>	Immigration department	continuous	numeric-1.0	19467	331	Immigration department
675	<a href="#">b4q16d</a>	Licensing department	continuous	numeric-1.0	19463	335	Licensing departments (Local authorities, Government institutions)

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
676	<a href="#">b4q16e</a>	Parastatals	continuous	numeric-1.0	19452	346	Parastatals (e.g. Fed Inland revenue services)
677	<a href="#">b4q16f</a>	Public hospitals	continuous	numeric-1.0	19447	351	Public hospitals
678	<a href="#">b4q16g</a>	Law courts	continuous	numeric-1.0	19437	361	Law courts
679	<a href="#">b4q16h</a>	Public schools/colleges/tertiary institutions	continuous	numeric-1.0	19440	358	Public schools/colleges/tertiary institutions
680	<a href="#">b4q16i</a>	Customs	continuous	numeric-1.0	19311	487	Customs
681	<a href="#">b4q16j</a>	Customs	continuous	numeric-1.0	11814	7984	Customs
682	<a href="#">b4q17</a>	Bribes are demanded for services rendered by the govt.	continuous	numeric-1.0	19103	695	-
683	<a href="#">b5q1a</a>	ECOWAS	continuous	numeric-1.0	16220	3578	Economic Community for West African States (ECOWAS)
684	<a href="#">b5q1b</a>	ECA	continuous	numeric-1.0	15526	4272	Economic community for Africa (ECA)
685	<a href="#">b5q1c</a>	ADB	continuous	numeric-1.0	15527	4271	African Development Bank (ADB)
686	<a href="#">b5q1d</a>	AU	continuous	numeric-1.0	15573	4225	African Union (AU)
687	<a href="#">b5q1e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12842	6956	Others (Specify)
688	<a href="#">b5q2</a>	Are you aware of ECOWAS	continuous	numeric-1.0	8729	11069	-
689	<a href="#">b5q3a</a>	Variety of goods available	continuous	numeric-1.0	11041	8757	Variety of goods available
690	<a href="#">b5q3b</a>	Reduced prices of commodities	continuous	numeric-1.0	10346	9452	Reduced prices of commodities
691	<a href="#">b5q3c</a>	Increased job opportunities	continuous	numeric-1.0	10592	9206	Increased job opportunities
692	<a href="#">b5q3d</a>	Increased volume of trade	continuous	numeric-1.0	10496	9302	Increased volume of trade
693	<a href="#">b5q3e</a>	Improved security	continuous	numeric-1.0	9975	9823	Improved security
694	<a href="#">b5q3f</a>	Investment in-flow	continuous	numeric-1.0	10068	9730	Investment in-flow
695	<a href="#">b5q3g</a>	Human capital development	continuous	numeric-1.0	9743	10055	Human capital development
696	<a href="#">b5q3h</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	7847	11951	Others (specify)
697	<a href="#">b5q4a</a>	Loss of market share/competition	continuous	numeric-1.0	10910	8888	Loss of market share/competition
698	<a href="#">b5q4b</a>	Job losses to foreigners	continuous	numeric-1.0	10694	9104	Job losses to foreigners
699	<a href="#">b5q4c</a>	Increased insecurity	continuous	numeric-1.0	10815	8983	Increased insecurity
700	<a href="#">b5q4d</a>	Increased illicit trade (smuggling)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10627	9171	Increased illicit trade (smuggling)
701	<a href="#">b5q4e</a>	None	continuous	numeric-1.0	9574	10224	None
702	<a href="#">b5q4f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	7949	11849	Others (specify)
703	<a href="#">b5q5</a>	Regional cooperation initiatives	continuous	numeric-1.0	11462	8336	-
704	<a href="#">b5q6</a>	Use of same currency	continuous	numeric-1.0	11597	8201	-

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
705	<a href="#">b5q7</a>	Promot sub-region economic trade or exchanges in the region	continuous	numeric-1.0	11679	8119	-
706	<a href="#">ob1qa</a>	Do you own a business	continuous	numeric-1.0	19486	312	-
707	<a href="#">ob1q2</a>	What type of business do you own	continuous	numeric-1.0	5079	14719	-
708	<a href="#">ob1q3</a>	How is your business performing	continuous	numeric-1.0	5065	14733	-
709	<a href="#">ob1qb</a>	Is your business better today	continuous	numeric-1.0	5032	14766	-
710	<a href="#">ob1q5a</a>	Increased in population	continuous	numeric-1.0	2293	17505	Increased in population
711	<a href="#">ob1q5b</a>	Increased income	continuous	numeric-1.0	2260	17538	Increased income
712	<a href="#">ob1q5c</a>	Access to Loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	2207	17591	Access to Loan
713	<a href="#">ob1q5d</a>	More Patronage	continuous	numeric-1.0	2261	17537	More Patronage
714	<a href="#">ob1q5e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1834	17964	Others (specify)
715	<a href="#">ob1q6a</a>	Bank	continuous	numeric-1.0	2471	17327	Bank
716	<a href="#">ob1q6b</a>	Chamber of Commerce and Industry	continuous	numeric-1.0	2462	17336	Chamber of Commerce and Industry
717	<a href="#">ob1q6c</a>	Manufacturers Association	continuous	numeric-1.0	2467	17331	Manufacturers Association
718	<a href="#">ob1q6d</a>	Consultants	continuous	numeric-1.0	2461	17337	Consultants e.g. Lawyer, Investment Adviser, Auditor, Accountant
719	<a href="#">ob1q6e</a>	Business Associates	continuous	numeric-1.0	2464	17334	Business Associates
720	<a href="#">ob1q6f</a>	Government Officials	continuous	numeric-1.0	2458	17340	Government Officials
721	<a href="#">ob1q6g</a>	Cooperative Officials	continuous	numeric-1.0	2459	17339	Cooperative Officials
722	<a href="#">ob1q6h</a>	Franchise Holder support	continuous	numeric-1.0	2455	17343	Franchise Holder support
723	<a href="#">ob1q6i</a>	End Customer/Supplier Support	continuous	numeric-1.0	2460	17338	End Customer/Supplier Support
724	<a href="#">ob1q6j</a>	Internet	continuous	numeric-1.0	2430	17368	Internet
725	<a href="#">ob1q6k</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1514	18284	Others (specify)
726	<a href="#">ob1q7a</a>	Bank interest charges	continuous	numeric-1.0	19150	648	Bank interest charges
727	<a href="#">ob1q7b</a>	Exchange rates	continuous	numeric-1.0	19142	656	Exchange rates
728	<a href="#">ob1q7c</a>	High taxation rate and many taxes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19150	648	High taxation rate and many taxes
729	<a href="#">ob1q7d</a>	Cost and availability of land	continuous	numeric-1.0	19184	614	Cost and availability of land
730	<a href="#">ob1q7e</a>	Condition of roads	continuous	numeric-1.0	19239	559	Condition of roads
731	<a href="#">ob1q7f</a>	Port services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19108	690	Port services
732	<a href="#">ob1q7g</a>	Rail services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19110	688	Rail services
733	<a href="#">ob1q7h</a>	Water supply	continuous	numeric-1.0	19231	567	Water supply
734	<a href="#">ob1q7i</a>	Electricity supply	continuous	numeric-1.0	19237	561	Electricity supply
735	<a href="#">ob1q7j</a>	Telecommunications	continuous	numeric-1.0	19221	577	Telecommunications

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
736	<a href="#">ob1q7k</a>	Judicial process and systems	continuous	numeric-1.0	19146	652	Judicial process and systems
737	<a href="#">ob1q7l</a>	Official bureaucracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19126	672	Official bureaucracy
738	<a href="#">ob1q7m</a>	Security	continuous	numeric-1.0	19245	553	Security
739	<a href="#">ob1q7n</a>	Corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	19205	593	Corruption
740	<a href="#">ob1q7o</a>	Unfair competition (counterfeits, dumping etc)	continuous	numeric-1.0	18862	936	Unfair competition (counterfeits, dumping etc)
741	<a href="#">ob1q7p</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11128	8670	Others (specify)
742	<a href="#">ob1q8f</a>	Make business regulations more flexible	continuous	numeric-1.0	19259	539	Make business regulations more flexible
743	<a href="#">ob1q8g</a>	Provide more financial services	continuous	numeric-1.0	19316	482	Provide more financial services
744	<a href="#">ob1q8h</a>	Fair application of the business laws	continuous	numeric-1.0	19178	620	Fair application of the business laws
745	<a href="#">ob1q8i</a>	Improve infrastructure	continuous	numeric-1.0	19295	503	Improve infrastructure
746	<a href="#">ob1q8j</a>	Reduce bureaucracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19171	627	Reduce bureaucracy
747	<a href="#">ob1q8k</a>	Stability in government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19239	559	Stability in government
748	<a href="#">ob1q8l</a>	Adequate security	continuous	numeric-1.0	19170	628	Adequate security
749	<a href="#">ob1q8m</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11908	7890	Others (specify)
750	<a href="#">ob2q1a</a>	Conserving environment	continuous	numeric-1.0	18860	938	Conserving environment
751	<a href="#">ob2q1b</a>	Providing health services to community	continuous	numeric-1.0	18884	914	Providing health services to community
752	<a href="#">ob2q1c</a>	Paying taxes	continuous	numeric-1.0	18861	937	Paying taxes
753	<a href="#">ob2q1d</a>	Observing taxes	continuous	numeric-1.0	18852	946	Observing taxes
754	<a href="#">ob2q1e</a>	Funding HIV/AIDS programmes	continuous	numeric-1.0	18928	870	Funding HIV/AIDS programmes
755	<a href="#">ob2q1f</a>	Making donations to charities and disadvantaged members of s	continuous	numeric-1.0	18865	933	Making donations to charities and disadvantaged members of s
756	<a href="#">ob2q1g</a>	Provision of infrastructure	continuous	numeric-1.0	18800	998	Provision of infrastructure
757	<a href="#">ob2q1h</a>	Funding education/training	continuous	numeric-1.0	18365	1433	Funding education/training
758	<a href="#">b2bq2</a>	Nigeria observe labour laws	continuous	numeric-1.0	19070	728	-
759	<a href="#">ob2q3a</a>	Good wages	continuous	numeric-1.0	5435	14363	Good wages
760	<a href="#">ob2q3b</a>	Dispute resolution	continuous	numeric-1.0	5307	14491	Dispute resolution
761	<a href="#">ob2q3c</a>	Health and safety at work place	continuous	numeric-1.0	5296	14502	Health and safety at work place
762	<a href="#">ob2q3d</a>	Compensation scheme in case of injury	continuous	numeric-1.0	5279	14519	Compensation scheme in case of injury
763	<a href="#">ob2q3e</a>	Participation in trade unions	continuous	numeric-1.0	5272	14526	Participation in trade unions
764	<a href="#">ob2q3f</a>	Conducive work	continuous	numeric-1.0	5270	14528	Conducive work environment



African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		environment					
765	<a href="#">ob2q3g</a>	Adequately compensate injured staff	continuous	numeric-1.0	5215	14583	Adequately compensate injured staff
766	<a href="#">ob2q3h</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	3465	16333	Others (specify)
767	<a href="#">b2bq4a</a>	Freedom of opinion and expression	continuous	numeric-1.0	9736	10062	Freedom of opinion and expression
768	<a href="#">b2bq4b</a>	Freedom of peaceful assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	9717	10081	Freedom of peaceful assembly
769	<a href="#">b2bq4c</a>	Freedom of representation	continuous	numeric-1.0	9719	10079	Freedom of representation
770	<a href="#">b2bq4d</a>	Right to life, liberty and security	continuous	numeric-1.0	9719	10079	Right to life, liberty and security
771	<a href="#">b2bq4e</a>	Racial and other discriminations	continuous	numeric-1.0	9706	10092	Racial and other discriminations
772	<a href="#">b2bq4f</a>	Freedom from slavery and servitude	continuous	numeric-1.0	9701	10097	Freedom from slavery and servitude
773	<a href="#">b2q4g</a>	Freedom from torture, cruelty and in-human treatment	continuous	numeric-1.0	9511	10287	Freedom from torture, cruelty and in-human treatment
774	<a href="#">b2bq5</a>	Concerns of communities	continuous	numeric-1.0	18815	983	-
775	<a href="#">b2bq6a</a>	Enhance enforcement of the laws	continuous	numeric-1.0	18704	1094	Enhance enforcement of the laws
776	<a href="#">b2bq6b</a>	Conduct human rights awareness campaign	continuous	numeric-1.0	18752	1046	Conduct human rights awareness campaign
777	<a href="#">b2bq6c</a>	Promote civil awareness	continuous	numeric-1.0	18698	1100	Promote civil awareness
778	<a href="#">b2bq6d</a>	Review human rights laws	continuous	numeric-1.0	18707	1091	Review human rights laws
779	<a href="#">b2bq6e</a>	Sanction corporations that abuse human rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	18634	1164	Sanction corporations that abuse human rights
780	<a href="#">b2bq6f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12267	7531	Others (specify)
781	<a href="#">b2q71a</a>	State-owned corporations-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19321	477	State-owned corporations-Labour law
782	<a href="#">b2q71b</a>	State-owned corporations-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	19301	497	State-owned corporations-Human right
783	<a href="#">b2q72a</a>	Large Manufacturing industries -Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19308	490	Large Manufacturing industries -Labour law
784	<a href="#">b2q72b</a>	Large Manufacturing industries -Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	19306	492	Large Manufacturing industries -Human right
785	<a href="#">b2q73a</a>	Small and Medium Enterprises-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19312	486	Small and Medium Enterprises-Labour law
786	<a href="#">b2q73b</a>	Small and Medium Enterprises-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	19302	496	Small and Medium Enterprises-Human right
787	<a href="#">b2q74a</a>	Industries in Export Processing Zones-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19298	500	Industries in Export Processing Zones-Labour law
788	<a href="#">b2q74b</a>	Industries in Export Processing Zones-Human	continuous	numeric-1.0	19292	506	Industries in Export Processing Zones-Human right

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		right					
789	<a href="#">b2q75a</a>	Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19301	497	Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Labour law
790	<a href="#">b2q75b</a>	Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	19294	504	Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Human right
791	<a href="#">b2q76a</a>	Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19299	499	Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Labour law
792	<a href="#">b2q76b</a>	Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	19295	503	Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Human right
793	<a href="#">b2q77a</a>	Agriculture and forestry industries-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	19261	537	Agriculture and forestry industries-Labour law
794	<a href="#">b2q77b</a>	Agriculture and forestry industries-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18743	1055	Agriculture and forestry industries-Human right
795	<a href="#">b2q78a</a>	Horticultural industry-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18922	876	Horticultural industry-Labour law
796	<a href="#">b2q78b</a>	Horticultural industry-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18902	896	Horticultural industry-Human right
797	<a href="#">b2q79a</a>	Building and construction-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18906	892	Building and construction-Labour law
798	<a href="#">b2q79b</a>	Building and construction-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18900	898	Building and construction-Human right
799	<a href="#">b2q710a</a>	Minning and quarrying-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18887	911	Minning and quarrying-Labour law
800	<a href="#">b2q710b</a>	Minning and quarrying-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18876	922	Minning and quarrying-Human right
801	<a href="#">b2q711a</a>	Private security services-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18870	928	Private security services-Labour law
802	<a href="#">b2q711b</a>	Private security services-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18867	931	Private security services-Human right
803	<a href="#">b2q712a</a>	Medical services-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18872	926	Medical services-Labour law
804	<a href="#">b2q712b</a>	Medical services-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18863	935	Medical services-Human right
805	<a href="#">b2q713a</a>	Cooperatives-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18786	1012	Cooperatives-Labour law
806	<a href="#">b2q713b</a>	Cooperative-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	18697	1101	Cooperative-Human right
807	<a href="#">b2q714a</a>	Others (specify)-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	11838	7960	Others (specify)-Labour law
808	<a href="#">b2q714b</a>	Others (specify)-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	11457	8341	Others (specify)-Human right
809	<a href="#">b2bq8</a>	Is workers adequately protected	continuous	numeric-1.0	19063	735	-
810	<a href="#">ob2q9a</a>	Workers ignorance of their rights under labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	10632	9166	Workers ignorance of their rights under labour law
811	<a href="#">ob2q9b</a>	No proper enforcement of	continuous	numeric-1.0	10638	9160	No proper enforcement of the labour

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the labour law					law
812	<a href="#">ob2q9c</a>	Employers who violates the labour law are not sanctioned	continuous	numeric-1.0	10590	9208	Employers who violates the labour law are not sanctioned
813	<a href="#">ob2q9d</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	8117	11681	Others (specify)
814	<a href="#">ob2q101a</a>	Degradation of environment-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18413	1385	Degradation of environment-Labour law
815	<a href="#">ob2q101b</a>	Degradation of environment-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	17665	2133	Degradation of environment-Human right
816	<a href="#">ob2q102a</a>	Water pollution-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18426	1372	Water pollution-Labour law
817	<a href="#">ob2q102b</a>	Water pollution-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	17639	2159	Water pollution-Human right
818	<a href="#">ob2q103a</a>	Air pollution-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18390	1408	Air pollution-Labour law
819	<a href="#">ob2q103b</a>	Air pollution-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	17592	2206	Air pollution-Human right
820	<a href="#">ob2q104a</a>	Noise pollution-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18335	1463	Noise pollution-Labour law
821	<a href="#">ob2q104b</a>	Noise pollution-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	17475	2323	Noise pollution-Human right
822	<a href="#">ob2q105a</a>	Deforestation-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	14517	5281	Deforestation-Labour law
823	<a href="#">ob2q105b</a>	Deforestation-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	10558	9240	Deforestation-Human right
824	<a href="#">ob2q106a</a>	Depletion of water resources-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	10920	8878	Depletion of water resources-Labour law
825	<a href="#">ob2q106b</a>	Depletion of water resources-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	10084	9714	Depletion of water resources-Human right
826	<a href="#">ob2q107a</a>	Sea incursion-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	10796	9002	Sea incursion-Labour law
827	<a href="#">ob2q107b</a>	Sea incursion-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	10037	9761	Sea incursion-Human right
828	<a href="#">ob2q108a</a>	Refuse disposal/sanitation-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	10737	9061	Refuse disposal/sanitation-Labour law
829	<a href="#">ob2q108b</a>	Refuse disposal/sanitation-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	9982	9816	Refuse disposal/sanitation-Human right
830	<a href="#">ob2q109a</a>	Others (specify)-Labour law	continuous	numeric-1.0	5878	13920	Others (specify)-Labour law
831	<a href="#">ob2q109b</a>	Others (specify)-Human right	continuous	numeric-1.0	5540	14258	Others (specify)-Human right
832	<a href="#">b2bq11</a>	Adequate laws and institutions to protect the environment	continuous	numeric-1.0	18513	1285	-
833	<a href="#">b2bq12a</a>	Environmental Protection Law	continuous	numeric-1.0	8626	11172	Environmental Protection Law
834	<a href="#">b2bq12b</a>	Enforcement of the law	continuous	numeric-1.0	8601	11197	Enforcement of the law
835	<a href="#">b2bq12c</a>	Environmental awareness campaign	continuous	numeric-1.0	8576	11222	Environmental awareness campaign
836	<a href="#">b2bq12d</a>	Ministry of Environment	continuous	numeric-1.0	8579	11219	Ministry of Environment

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
837	<a href="#">b2bq12e</a>	Environmental NGO's	continuous	numeric-1.0	8543	11255	Environmental NGO's
838	<a href="#">b2bq12f</a>	Federal/State Environmental Protection Agency	continuous	numeric-1.0	8558	11240	Federal/State Environmental Protection Agency
839	<a href="#">b2bq12g</a>	LGA Environmental sanitation officers	continuous	numeric-1.0	8554	11244	LGA Environmental sanitation officers
840	<a href="#">b2bq12h</a>	WAI Brigade	continuous	numeric-1.0	8463	11335	War Against Indiscipline (WAI) Brigade
841	<a href="#">b2bq12i</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	4803	14995	Others (specify)
842	<a href="#">b2q13a</a>	Use of plastics/polythene bags	continuous	numeric-1.0	17345	2453	Use of plastics/polythene bags
843	<a href="#">b2q13b</a>	Solid waste disposal	continuous	numeric-1.0	17279	2519	Solid waste disposal
844	<a href="#">b2q13c</a>	Quarrying and mining	continuous	numeric-1.0	17199	2599	Quarrying and mining
845	<a href="#">b2q13d</a>	Uplanned settlements	continuous	numeric-1.0	17175	2623	Uplanned settlements
846	<a href="#">b2q13e</a>	Allocation of and settlement in forest areas	continuous	numeric-1.0	17142	2656	Allocation of and settlement in forest areas
847	<a href="#">b2q13f</a>	Manufacturing	continuous	numeric-1.0	17143	2655	Manufacturing
848	<a href="#">b2q13g</a>	Transport	continuous	numeric-1.0	17113	2685	Transport
849	<a href="#">b2q13h</a>	Building and logging industry	continuous	numeric-1.0	17088	2710	Building and logging industry
850	<a href="#">b2q13i</a>	Agriculture	continuous	numeric-1.0	17116	2682	Agriculture
851	<a href="#">b2q13j</a>	Extensive use of wood as fuel	continuous	numeric-1.0	17114	2684	Extensive use of wood as fuel
852	<a href="#">b2q13k</a>	Tourism	continuous	numeric-1.0	17051	2747	Tourism
853	<a href="#">b2q13l</a>	Fishing and marine activities	continuous	numeric-1.0	16867	2931	Fishing and marine activities
854	<a href="#">b2q13m</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9396	10402	Others (specify)
855	<a href="#">b2q14</a>	Environmental pollution	continuous	numeric-1.0	18633	1165	-
856	<a href="#">b3qc</a>	Currently engaged in a formal employment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19122	676	-
857	<a href="#">b3qd</a>	Level of corruption in your organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	3064	16734	-
858	<a href="#">b3q3h</a>	Bribery	continuous	numeric-1.0	3200	16598	Bribery
859	<a href="#">b3q3i</a>	Nepotism	continuous	numeric-1.0	3128	16670	Nepotism
860	<a href="#">b3q3j</a>	Tribalism	continuous	numeric-1.0	3115	16683	Tribalism
861	<a href="#">b3q3k</a>	Tax evasion	continuous	numeric-1.0	3081	16717	Tax evasion
862	<a href="#">b3q3l</a>	Favouritism	continuous	numeric-1.0	3130	16668	Favouritism
863	<a href="#">b3q3m</a>	Sexual harassment	continuous	numeric-1.0	3050	16748	Sexual harassment
864	<a href="#">b3q3n</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1730	18068	Others (specify)
865	<a href="#">b3q4</a>	Overall assessment of corruption in the country	continuous	numeric-1.0	19213	585	-
866	<a href="#">b3qe</a>	Is corruption increasing or	continuous	numeric-1.0	19206	592	-

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		decreasing					
867	<a href="#">b3q6i</a>	Federal Government overall	continuous	numeric-1.0	19214	584	Federal Government overall
868	<a href="#">b3q6j</a>	State Government overall	continuous	numeric-1.0	19213	585	State Government overall
869	<a href="#">b3q6k</a>	Government Agencies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19155	643	Government Agencies
870	<a href="#">b3q6l</a>	Private sector overall	continuous	numeric-1.0	19134	664	Private sector overall
871	<a href="#">b3q6m</a>	Local government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19188	610	Local government
872	<a href="#">b3q6n</a>	State owned corporations	continuous	numeric-1.0	19141	657	State owned corporations
873	<a href="#">b3q6o</a>	Nigeria Police Force	continuous	numeric-1.0	19190	608	Nigeria Police Force
874	<a href="#">b3q6p</a>	Nigeria Customs Service	continuous	numeric-1.0	19145	653	Nigeria Customs Service
875	<a href="#">b3q6q</a>	Nigeria Prisons Service	continuous	numeric-1.0	19110	688	Nigeria Prisons Service
876	<a href="#">b3q6r</a>	Nigeria Immigration Service	continuous	numeric-1.0	19108	690	Nigeria Immigration Service
877	<a href="#">b3q6s</a>	National Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19129	669	National Assembly
878	<a href="#">b3q6t</a>	Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	18970	828	Judiciary
879	<a href="#">b3q6u</a>	Othes (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10459	9339	Othes (specify)
880	<a href="#">b3q7f</a>	EFCC	continuous	numeric-1.0	18970	828	Economic & Financial Crimes Commission
881	<a href="#">b3q7g</a>	ICPC	continuous	numeric-1.0	18900	898	Independent Corrupt Practices Commission
882	<a href="#">b3q7h</a>	Code of conduct bureau	continuous	numeric-1.0	18795	1003	Code of conduct bureau
883	<a href="#">b3q7i</a>	Due process office	continuous	numeric-1.0	18541	1257	Due process office
884	<a href="#">b3q7j</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9862	9936	Others (specify)
885	<a href="#">b3q8k</a>	Past Military regimes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19208	590	Past Military regimes
886	<a href="#">b3q8l</a>	Current Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19209	589	Current Government
887	<a href="#">b3q8m</a>	Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19144	654	Judiciary
888	<a href="#">b3q8n</a>	Police	continuous	numeric-1.0	19178	620	Police
889	<a href="#">b3q8o</a>	Businessmen	continuous	numeric-1.0	19085	713	Businessmen
890	<a href="#">b3q8p</a>	Professionals	continuous	numeric-1.0	19071	727	Professionals
891	<a href="#">b3q8q</a>	Public Officers	continuous	numeric-1.0	19081	717	Public Officers
892	<a href="#">b3q8r</a>	Politicians	continuous	numeric-1.0	19087	711	Politicians
893	<a href="#">b3q8s</a>	Ordinary Citizens	continuous	numeric-1.0	18855	943	Ordinary Citizens
894	<a href="#">b3q8t</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10057	9741	Others (specify)
895	<a href="#">b3q9a</a>	Lack of political will to fight corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	19040	758	Lack of political will to fight corruption
896	<a href="#">b3q9b</a>	Lack of capacity in government, judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19010	788	Lack of capacity in government, judiciary
897	<a href="#">b3q9c</a>	Poverty	continuous	numeric-1.0	19148	650	Poverty
898	<a href="#">b3q9d</a>	Poorly remunerated civil service	continuous	numeric-1.0	19061	737	Poorly remunerated civil service

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
899	<a href="#">b3q9e</a>	Job insecurity	continuous	numeric-1.0	18931	867	Job insecurity
900	<a href="#">b3q9f</a>	Unclear separation of power between executive, legislative a	continuous	numeric-1.0	18868	930	Unclear separation of power between executive, legislative a
901	<a href="#">b3q9g</a>	Ineffective laws	continuous	numeric-1.0	18812	986	Ineffective laws
902	<a href="#">b3q9h</a>	The private/corporate sector	continuous	numeric-1.0	18521	1277	The private/corporate sector
903	<a href="#">b3q9i</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10756	9042	Others (specify)
904	<a href="#">b3q1o</a>	War against corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	18785	1013	-
905	<a href="#">b4qa</a>	Do you own shares in any company	continuous	numeric-1.0	19247	551	-
906	<a href="#">b4qb</a>	Do you get regular information from your companies	continuous	numeric-1.0	416	19382	-
907	<a href="#">b4qc</a>	If yes	continuous	numeric-1.0	251	19547	-
908	<a href="#">b4qd</a>	If No	continuous	numeric-1.0	182	19616	-
909	<a href="#">b4q5</a>	Respect and Fairness	continuous	numeric-1.0	209	19589	-
910	<a href="#">b4qe</a>	Stakeholders grievances	continuous	numeric-1.0	317	19481	-
911	<a href="#">b4q7a</a>	Quit the organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	303	19495	Quit the organisation
912	<a href="#">b4q7b</a>	Petition the government to intervene	continuous	numeric-1.0	203	19595	Petition the government to intervene
913	<a href="#">b4q7c</a>	Protest to shareholders association	continuous	numeric-1.0	194	19604	Protest to shareholders association
914	<a href="#">b4q7d</a>	Raise the issue during an AGM	continuous	numeric-1.0	197	19601	Raise the issue during an AGM
915	<a href="#">b4q7e</a>	Lobby to have directors voted out	continuous	numeric-1.0	189	19609	Lobby to have directors voted out
916	<a href="#">b4q7f</a>	Go to the press	continuous	numeric-1.0	186	19612	Go to the press
917	<a href="#">b4q7g</a>	Seek legal redress/go to court	continuous	numeric-1.0	183	19615	Seek legal redress/go to court
918	<a href="#">b4q7h</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	144	19654	Others (specify)
919	<a href="#">b4q8a</a>	Employees	continuous	numeric-1.0	277	19521	Employees
920	<a href="#">b4q8b</a>	Directors	continuous	numeric-1.0	277	19521	Directors
921	<a href="#">b4q8c</a>	Creditors (secured)	continuous	numeric-1.0	277	19521	Creditors (secured)
922	<a href="#">b4q8d</a>	Creditors (unsecured)	continuous	numeric-1.0	281	19517	Creditors (unsecured)
923	<a href="#">b4q8e</a>	Consumers	continuous	numeric-1.0	275	19523	Consumers
924	<a href="#">b4q8f</a>	Suppliers	continuous	numeric-1.0	275	19523	Suppliers
925	<a href="#">b4q8g</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	281	19517	Government
926	<a href="#">b4q8h</a>	Local communities	continuous	numeric-1.0	240	19558	Local communities
927	<a href="#">b4q8i</a>	Pensioners	continuous	numeric-1.0	226	19572	Pensioners
928	<a href="#">b4q8j</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	242	19556	Others (specify)
929	<a href="#">b5q1</a>	Company Information	continuous	numeric-1.0	357	19441	-

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
930	<a href="#">b5qa</a>	Do you receive audited accounts from your company every year	continuous	numeric-1.0	340	19458	-
931	<a href="#">b5q3</a>	Do the accounts represent the correct position of the compan	continuous	numeric-1.0	329	19469	-
932	<a href="#">b5q4</a>	Do you any other information apart from the accounts	continuous	numeric-1.0	327	19471	-
933	<a href="#">b5q5a</a>	Future business prospect and operating climate	continuous	numeric-1.0	245	19553	Future business prospect and operating climate
934	<a href="#">b5q5b</a>	Human resource reports	continuous	numeric-1.0	241	19557	Human resource reports
935	<a href="#">b5q5c</a>	Activities in local communities	continuous	numeric-1.0	239	19559	Activities in local communities
936	<a href="#">b5q5d</a>	Competition	continuous	numeric-1.0	236	19562	Competition
937	<a href="#">b5q5e</a>	Major planned investments	continuous	numeric-1.0	233	19565	Major planned investments
938	<a href="#">b5q5f</a>	Disclosure of any business	continuous	numeric-1.0	231	19567	Disclosure of any business
939	<a href="#">b5q5g</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	154	19644	Others (specify)
940	<a href="#">b5q6a</a>	Shareholders	continuous	numeric-1.0	284	19514	Shareholders
941	<a href="#">b5q6b</a>	Management	continuous	numeric-1.0	278	19520	Management
942	<a href="#">b5q6c</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	275	19523	Government
943	<a href="#">b5q6d</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	197	19601	Others (specify)
944	<a href="#">b5qb</a>	Are you satisfied with the role of Auditors	continuous	numeric-1.0	303	19495	-
945	<a href="#">b5q8</a>	Audit supervisory committee	continuous	numeric-1.0	307	19491	-
946	<a href="#">b5q9</a>	Failure to file statutory reports	continuous	numeric-1.0	291	19507	-
947	<a href="#">b5q10a</a>	Shareholders	continuous	numeric-1.0	284	19514	Shareholders
948	<a href="#">b5q10b</a>	Management	continuous	numeric-1.0	278	19520	Management
949	<a href="#">b5q10c</a>	Board of Directors	continuous	numeric-1.0	278	19520	Board of Directors
950	<a href="#">b5q10d</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	278	19520	Government
951	<a href="#">b5q10e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	178	19620	Others (specify)
952	<a href="#">b5q11a</a>	Shareholders	continuous	numeric-1.0	260	19538	Shareholders
953	<a href="#">b5q11b</a>	Management	continuous	numeric-1.0	255	19543	Management
954	<a href="#">b5q11c</a>	Board of Directors	continuous	numeric-1.0	255	19543	Board of Directors
955	<a href="#">b5q11d</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	258	19540	Government
956	<a href="#">b5q11e</a>	Others specify	continuous	numeric-1.0	175	19623	Others specify
957	<a href="#">b5q12a</a>	Shareholders	continuous	numeric-1.0	281	19517	Shareholders
958	<a href="#">b5q12b</a>	Management	continuous	numeric-1.0	274	19524	Management
959	<a href="#">b5q12c</a>	Board of Directors	continuous	numeric-1.0	276	19522	Board of Directors
960	<a href="#">b5q12d</a>	Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	271	19527	Government

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
961	<a href="#">b5q12e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	191	19607	Others (specify)
962	<a href="#">b5q13</a>	Role and functions of the chairman and directors	continuous	numeric-1.0	288	19510	-
963	<a href="#">b5q14</a>	Most experienced personnel	continuous	numeric-1.0	287	19511	-
964	<a href="#">b5q15a</a>	Local leader	continuous	numeric-1.0	126	19672	Local leader
965	<a href="#">b5q15b</a>	Political connection	continuous	numeric-1.0	119	19679	Political connection
966	<a href="#">b5q15c</a>	Old boy/girl networks	continuous	numeric-1.0	120	19678	Old boy/girl networks
967	<a href="#">b5q15d</a>	Recommendation from previous board	continuous	numeric-1.0	119	19679	Recommendation from previous board
968	<a href="#">b5q15e</a>	Representative of group interest	continuous	numeric-1.0	104	19694	Representative of group interest
969	<a href="#">b5q16</a>	In your opinion, how would you rate the boards of directors / management committees you are aware of in steering the companies to success?	continuous	numeric-1.0	288	19510	-
970	<a href="#">b5q17</a>	How do you rate boards of directors/management committees	continuous	numeric-1.0	287	19511	-
971	<a href="#">b5q18a</a>	Searching for business opportunities	continuous	numeric-1.0	205	19593	Searching for business opportunities
972	<a href="#">b5q18b</a>	Formulating policies to improve quality of services	continuous	numeric-1.0	203	19595	Formulating policies to improve quality of services
973	<a href="#">b5q18c</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	171	19627	Others (specify)
974	<a href="#">b5q19</a>	Conflict of interest	continuous	numeric-1.0	287	19511	-
975	<a href="#">b5q20</a>	Does the board of directors/management committee comply with	continuous	numeric-1.0	341	19457	-
976	<a href="#">ob1q1a</a>	Ethnicity	continuous	numeric-1.0	19329	469	Ethnicity
977	<a href="#">ob1q1b</a>	Religious intolerance	continuous	numeric-1.0	19317	481	Religious intolerance
978	<a href="#">ob1q1c</a>	Inequality in distribution of resources	continuous	numeric-1.0	19286	512	Inequality in distribution of resources
979	<a href="#">ob1q1d</a>	Unequal economic opportunities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19262	536	Unequal economic opportunities
980	<a href="#">ob1q1e</a>	Political exclusion/Marginalisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19282	516	Political exclusion/Marginalisation
981	<a href="#">ob1q1f</a>	Poverty and unemployment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19326	472	Poverty and unemployment
982	<a href="#">ob1q1g</a>	Political manipulation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18713	1085	Political manipulation
983	<a href="#">ob1q2f</a>	Establishment of inter-religion council	continuous	numeric-1.0	19353	445	Establishment of inter-religion council
984	<a href="#">ob1q2g</a>	Rapid intervention in conflict situation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19346	452	Rapid intervention in conflict situation



African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
985	<a href="#">ob1q2h</a>	Training and empowerment of conflict resolution	continuous	numeric-1.0	19315	483	Training and empowerment of conflict resolution
986	<a href="#">ob1q2i</a>	Encouragement of international conflict resolution intervent	continuous	numeric-1.0	19317	481	Encouragement of international conflict resolution intervent
987	<a href="#">ob1q2j</a>	Enhancement of capacity of internal security agencies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19316	482	Enhancement of capacity of internal security agencies
988	<a href="#">ob1q2k</a>	Using of Traditional rulers/opinion leaders	continuous	numeric-1.0	18925	873	Using of Traditional rulers/opinion leaders
989	<a href="#">ob1q3f</a>	Traditional rulers	continuous	numeric-1.0	19399	399	Traditional rulers
990	<a href="#">ob1q3g</a>	Socio-cultural bodies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19388	410	Socio-cultural bodies
991	<a href="#">ob1q3h</a>	Politicians	continuous	numeric-1.0	19393	405	Politicians
992	<a href="#">ob1q3i</a>	Religious organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	19367	431	Religious organisations
993	<a href="#">ob1q3j</a>	Opinion leaders	continuous	numeric-1.0	19335	463	Opinion leaders
994	<a href="#">ob1q3k</a>	Labour leaders	continuous	numeric-1.0	19334	464	Labour leaders
995	<a href="#">ob1q3l</a>	Media	continuous	numeric-1.0	19138	660	Media
996	<a href="#">ob1q3m</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12052	7746	Others (specify)
997	<a href="#">ob1qc</a>	Lives and property of citizens	continuous	numeric-1.0	18281	1517	-
998	<a href="#">ob1q5f</a>	Colonial boundaries	continuous	numeric-1.0	19033	765	Colonial boundaries
999	<a href="#">ob1q5g</a>	Racial difference	continuous	numeric-1.0	18979	819	Racial difference
1000	<a href="#">ob1q5h</a>	Illicit arm trade	continuous	numeric-1.0	18972	826	Illicit arm trade
1001	<a href="#">ob1q5i</a>	Cross-border crime	continuous	numeric-1.0	18993	805	Cross-border crime
1002	<a href="#">ob1q5j</a>	Political difference	continuous	numeric-1.0	18992	806	Political difference
1003	<a href="#">ob1q5k</a>	Resource control	continuous	numeric-1.0	18812	986	Resource control
1004	<a href="#">ob1q5l</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10393	9405	Others (specify)
1005	<a href="#">ob1q6l</a>	Ethnicity	continuous	numeric-1.0	18331	1467	Ethnicity
1006	<a href="#">ob1q6m</a>	Religious intolerance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18286	1512	Religious intolerance
1007	<a href="#">ob1q6n</a>	Inequality in distribution of resources	continuous	numeric-1.0	18264	1534	Inequality in distribution of resources
1008	<a href="#">ob1q6o</a>	Unequal economic opportunities	continuous	numeric-1.0	18279	1519	Unequal economic opportunities
1009	<a href="#">ob1q6p</a>	Political exclusion/marginalisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18275	1523	Political exclusion/marginalisation
1010	<a href="#">ob1q6q</a>	Poverty and unemployment	continuous	numeric-1.0	18288	1510	Poverty and unemployment
1011	<a href="#">ob1q6r</a>	Political manipulation	continuous	numeric-1.0	17659	2139	Political manipulation e.g. Political incitement
1012	<a href="#">b2q1</a>	Positive impact on the lives of Nigerians	continuous	numeric-1.0	19490	308	-
1013	<a href="#">b2q21a</a>	Development-Counciliors	continuous	numeric-1.0	19412	386	Development-Counciliors

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1014	<a href="#">b2q21b</a>	Development-S/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19416	382	Development-S/Assembly
1015	<a href="#">b2q21c</a>	Development-N/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19418	380	Development-N/Assembly
1016	<a href="#">b2q22a</a>	Organizing Security-Councilors	continuous	numeric-1.0	19387	411	Organizing Security-Councilors
1017	<a href="#">b2q22b</a>	Organizing Security-S/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19377	421	Organizing Security-S/Assembly
1018	<a href="#">b2q22c</a>	Organizing Security-N/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19351	447	Organizing Security-N/Assembly
1019	<a href="#">b2q23a</a>	Revolving Conflicts-Councilors	continuous	numeric-1.0	19344	454	Revolving Conflicts-Councilors
1020	<a href="#">b2q23b</a>	Revolving Conflicts-S/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19340	458	Revolving Conflicts-S/Assembly
1021	<a href="#">b2q23c</a>	Revolving Conflicts-N/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19344	454	Revolving Conflicts-N/Assembly
1022	<a href="#">b2q24a</a>	Representation in Parliament-Councilors	continuous	numeric-1.0	19306	492	Representation in Parliament-Councilors
1023	<a href="#">b2q24b</a>	Representation in Parliament-S/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19331	467	Representation in Parliament-S/Assembly
1024	<a href="#">b2q24c</a>	Representation in Parliament-N/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19329	469	Representation in Parliament-N/Assembly
1025	<a href="#">b2q25a</a>	Attending to Constituents personal needs-Councilors	continuous	numeric-1.0	19174	624	Attending to Constituents personal needs-Councilors
1026	<a href="#">b2q25b</a>	Attending to Constituents personal needs-S/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19169	629	Attending to Constituents personal needs-S/Assembly
1027	<a href="#">b2q25c</a>	Attending to Constituents personal needs-N/Assembly	continuous	numeric-1.0	19053	745	Attending to Constituents personal needs-N/Assembly
1028	<a href="#">b2q3</a>	Rule of law	continuous	numeric-1.0	18943	855	-
1029	<a href="#">b2q4h</a>	Wealth	continuous	numeric-1.0	16099	3699	Wealth
1030	<a href="#">b2q4i</a>	Corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	16105	3693	Corruption
1031	<a href="#">b2q4j</a>	Personal Vendetta	continuous	numeric-1.0	15961	3837	Personal Vendetta
1032	<a href="#">b2q4k</a>	Political connection	continuous	numeric-1.0	15971	3827	Political connection
1033	<a href="#">b2q4l</a>	Social status/respect	continuous	numeric-1.0	15839	3959	Social status/respect
1034	<a href="#">b2q4m</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12841	6957	Others (specify)
1035	<a href="#">b2qc</a>	Creation of states and LGA	continuous	numeric-1.0	19539	259	-
1036	<a href="#">b2qd</a>	Constitutional responsibilities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19526	272	-
1037	<a href="#">b2q7n</a>	Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19267	531	Federal
1038	<a href="#">b2q7o</a>	State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19251	547	State
1039	<a href="#">b2q7p</a>	Local	continuous	numeric-1.0	19229	569	Local
1040	<a href="#">b2q8a</a>	State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19367	431	State

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1041	<a href="#">b2q8b</a>	Local	continuous	numeric-1.0	19358	440	Local
1042	<a href="#">b3qf</a>	Multi Ethnic composition	continuous	numeric-1.0	19490	308	-
1043	<a href="#">b3q2a</a>	Local Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19557	241	Local Government
1044	<a href="#">b3q2b</a>	State Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19550	248	State Legislature
1045	<a href="#">b3q2c</a>	Governorship	continuous	numeric-1.0	19551	247	Governorship
1046	<a href="#">b3q2d</a>	Federal Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19539	259	Federal Legislature
1047	<a href="#">b3q2e</a>	Presidential	continuous	numeric-1.0	19235	563	Presidential
1048	<a href="#">b3q3o</a>	State legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19553	245	State legislature
1049	<a href="#">b3q3p</a>	Governorship	continuous	numeric-1.0	19545	253	Governorship
1050	<a href="#">b3q3q</a>	Federal legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19535	263	Federal legislature
1051	<a href="#">b3q3r</a>	Presidential	continuous	numeric-1.0	19417	381	Presidential
1052	<a href="#">b3qg</a>	Evaluate LGA elections conducted in 2004	continuous	numeric-1.0	19362	436	-
1053	<a href="#">b3q5e</a>	Ethnicity	continuous	numeric-1.0	19258	540	Ethnicity
1054	<a href="#">b3q5f</a>	Excessive use of money	continuous	numeric-1.0	19286	512	Excessive use of money
1055	<a href="#">b3q5g</a>	God fatherism	continuous	numeric-1.0	19250	548	God fatherism
1056	<a href="#">b3q5h</a>	Incumbency factor	continuous	numeric-1.0	19227	571	Incumbency factor
1057	<a href="#">b3q5i</a>	Lack of political education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19202	596	Lack of political education
1058	<a href="#">b3q5j</a>	Manipulation of election bodies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19222	576	Manipulation of election bodies
1059	<a href="#">b3q5k</a>	Manipulation of religion	continuous	numeric-1.0	19153	645	Manipulation of religion
1060	<a href="#">b3q5l</a>	Weak political parties	continuous	numeric-1.0	18999	799	Weak political parties
1061	<a href="#">b3q5m</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10855	8943	Others (specify)
1062	<a href="#">b3qh</a>	Dominance of one powerful political party	continuous	numeric-1.0	19447	351	-
1063	<a href="#">b3qi</a>	The process of policy and decision making	continuous	numeric-1.0	19438	360	-
1064	<a href="#">b3q8u</a>	Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19400	398	Federal
1065	<a href="#">b3q8v</a>	State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19396	402	State
1066	<a href="#">b3q8w</a>	Local	continuous	numeric-1.0	19374	424	Local
1067	<a href="#">b3qj</a>	Community leaders actively involved in the democratic proces	continuous	numeric-1.0	19486	312	-
1068	<a href="#">b3q1p</a>	Relevant of traditional rulers to democratic governnace	continuous	numeric-1.0	19426	372	-
1069	<a href="#">b3q11a</a>	Aparthy and lack of trust	continuous	numeric-1.0	19318	480	Aparthy and lack of trust
1070	<a href="#">b3q11b</a>	Electoral irregularities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19340	458	Electoral irregularities
1071	<a href="#">b3q11c</a>	Ethno-religious intolerance	continuous	numeric-1.0	19312	486	Ethno-religious intolerance
1072	<a href="#">b3q11d</a>	Illitracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19323	475	Illitracy

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1073	<a href="#">b3q11e</a>	Manipulation by political elite	continuous	numeric-1.0	19317	481	Manipulation by political elite
1074	<a href="#">b3q11f</a>	Monetized politics	continuous	numeric-1.0	19293	505	Monetized politics
1075	<a href="#">b3q11g</a>	Weak political culture	continuous	numeric-1.0	19284	514	Weak political culture
1076	<a href="#">b3q11h</a>	Weak political parties	continuous	numeric-1.0	19264	534	Weak political parties
1077	<a href="#">b3q11i</a>	Involvement of Traditional rulers	continuous	numeric-1.0	19244	554	Involvement of Traditional rulers
1078	<a href="#">b3q11j</a>	Manipulation of electoral bodies	continuous	numeric-1.0	18390	1408	Manipulation of electoral bodies
1079	<a href="#">b3q12i</a>	Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19339	459	Federal
1080	<a href="#">b3q12j</a>	State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19335	463	State
1081	<a href="#">b3q12k</a>	Local	continuous	numeric-1.0	19318	480	Local
1082	<a href="#">b3q13k</a>	Civil rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	19316	482	Civil rights
1083	<a href="#">b3q13l</a>	Cultural rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	19310	488	Cultural rights
1084	<a href="#">b3q13m</a>	Economic rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	19312	486	Economic rights
1085	<a href="#">b3q13n</a>	Political rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	19309	489	Political rights
1086	<a href="#">b3q13o</a>	Social rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	18967	831	Social rights
1087	<a href="#">b3q14g</a>	Government institutions	continuous	numeric-1.0	19308	490	Government institutions
1088	<a href="#">b3q14h</a>	Labour unions	continuous	numeric-1.0	19305	493	Labour unions
1089	<a href="#">b3q14i</a>	Media	continuous	numeric-1.0	19277	521	Media
1090	<a href="#">b3q14j</a>	Civil Society Organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19242	556	Civil Society Organisation
1091	<a href="#">b3q14k</a>	Professional Bodies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19233	565	Professional Bodies
1092	<a href="#">b3q14l</a>	Religious organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19257	541	Religious organisation
1093	<a href="#">b3q14m</a>	Traditional Institutions	continuous	numeric-1.0	19225	573	Traditional Institutions
1094	<a href="#">b3q14n</a>	Non-Government Organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	19183	615	Non-Government Organisations
1095	<a href="#">b3q14o</a>	Community Based Organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	18703	1095	Community Based Organisations
1096	<a href="#">b3q15h</a>	Corporate Organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	19025	773	Corporate Organisation
1097	<a href="#">b3q15i</a>	Ethnic militias and vigilantes	continuous	numeric-1.0	19052	746	Ethnic militias and vigilantes
1098	<a href="#">b3q15j</a>	Family units	continuous	numeric-1.0	19030	768	Family units
1099	<a href="#">b3q15k</a>	Government officials	continuous	numeric-1.0	19018	780	Government officials
1100	<a href="#">b3q15l</a>	Other employers of labour	continuous	numeric-1.0	18975	823	Other employers of labour
1101	<a href="#">b3q15m</a>	Private individuals	continuous	numeric-1.0	18969	829	Private individuals
1102	<a href="#">b3q15n</a>	Security agencies	continuous	numeric-1.0	18972	826	Security agencies
1103	<a href="#">b3q15o</a>	Traditional rulers	continuous	numeric-1.0	18491	1307	Traditional rulers
1104	<a href="#">b3q1q</a>	Public decision making organs by all citizens	continuous	numeric-1.0	19221	577	-
1105	<a href="#">b3q17a</a>	Federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19391	407	Federal

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1106	<a href="#">b3q17b</a>	State	continuous	numeric-1.0	19382	416	State
1107	<a href="#">b3q17c</a>	Local	continuous	numeric-1.0	19361	437	Local
1108	<a href="#">b4q11a</a>	Federal Executive Arm-Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19437	361	Federal Executive Arm-Legislature
1109	<a href="#">b4q11b</a>	Federal Executive Arm-Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19440	358	Federal Executive Arm-Judiciary
1110	<a href="#">b4q12a</a>	State Executive Arm-Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19430	368	State Executive Arm-Legislature
1111	<a href="#">b4q12b</a>	State Executive Arm-Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19423	375	State Executive Arm-Judiciary
1112	<a href="#">b4q13a</a>	Local Government Executive Arm-Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19403	395	Local Government Executive Arm-Legislature
1113	<a href="#">b4q13b</a>	Local Government Executive Arm-Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19405	393	Local Government Executive Arm-Judiciary
1114	<a href="#">b4qf</a>	Judiciary always operates independently	continuous	numeric-1.0	19439	359	-
1115	<a href="#">b4qg</a>	Rate the performance of the judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19292	506	-
1116	<a href="#">b4q4a</a>	Federal Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19467	331	Federal Legislature
1117	<a href="#">b4q4b</a>	State Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19461	337	State Legislature
1118	<a href="#">b4q4c</a>	Local government councils	continuous	numeric-1.0	19429	369	Local government councils
1119	<a href="#">b4qh</a>	Do legislature in practice, represent and protect the intere	continuous	numeric-1.0	19453	345	-
1120	<a href="#">b4q6a</a>	Federal Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19210	588	Federal Legislature
1121	<a href="#">b4q6b</a>	State legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19212	586	State legislature
1122	<a href="#">b4q6c</a>	Local government councils	continuous	numeric-1.0	19193	605	Local government councils
1123	<a href="#">b5qc</a>	Do you agree that sufficient measures exist	continuous	numeric-1.0	19511	287	-
1124	<a href="#">b5qd</a>	Do you agree on continuous accountability of elected public o	continuous	numeric-1.0	19494	304	-
1125	<a href="#">b5qe</a>	Evaluation of the performance of civil servants	continuous	numeric-1.0	19513	285	-
1126	<a href="#">b5qf</a>	Public officers/servants based on merit	continuous	numeric-1.0	19465	333	-
1127	<a href="#">b5qg</a>	Well-performing civil servants	continuous	numeric-1.0	8523	11275	-
1128	<a href="#">b6qa</a>	Fighting corruption	continuous	numeric-1.0	19461	337	-
1129	<a href="#">b6q2a</a>	Close associates/friends of the government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19503	295	Close associates/friends of the government
1130	<a href="#">b6q2b</a>	Members of the cabinet	continuous	numeric-1.0	19519	279	Members of the cabinet
1131	<a href="#">b6q2c</a>	Governors considered unfriendly to the federal	continuous	numeric-1.0	19519	279	Governors considered unfriendly to the federal government

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		government					
1132	<a href="#">b6q2d</a>	Business executives	continuous	numeric-1.0	19440	358	Business executives
1133	<a href="#">b6q2e</a>	Political party leaders	continuous	numeric-1.0	19401	397	Political party leaders
1134	<a href="#">b6q2f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12397	7401	Others (specify)
1135	<a href="#">b6q3</a>	Do you agree that government has the will to fight corruptio	continuous	numeric-1.0	19524	274	-
1136	<a href="#">b6qb</a>	Level of corruption in Nigeria today	continuous	numeric-1.0	19495	303	-
1137	<a href="#">b6q5f</a>	Inadequate welfare	continuous	numeric-1.0	19389	409	Inadequate welfare
1138	<a href="#">b6q5g</a>	lack of job security	continuous	numeric-1.0	19430	368	lack of job security
1139	<a href="#">b6q5h</a>	Lack of job security	continuous	numeric-1.0	19414	384	Lack of job security
1140	<a href="#">b6q5i</a>	Poverty	continuous	numeric-1.0	19426	372	Poverty
1141	<a href="#">b6q5j</a>	Societal/cultural values	continuous	numeric-1.0	19328	470	Societal/cultural values
1142	<a href="#">b6q5k</a>	Unequal distribution of rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	18368	1430	Unequal distribution of rights
1143	<a href="#">b6q6a</a>	ICPC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19408	390	Independent Corrupt Practices and other related offences Commission (ICPC)
1144	<a href="#">b6q6b</a>	EFCC	continuous	numeric-1.0	19395	403	Economic and Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)
1145	<a href="#">b6q6c</a>	CCB	continuous	numeric-1.0	19334	464	Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB)
1146	<a href="#">b6q6d</a>	Due process	continuous	numeric-1.0	19170	628	Due Process
1147	<a href="#">b6q6e</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11647	8151	Others (Specify)
1148	<a href="#">b7q1</a>	Promote gender equality in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19512	286	-
1149	<a href="#">b7q2a</a>	Economic empowerment	continuous	numeric-1.0	19328	470	Economic empowerment
1150	<a href="#">b7q2b</a>	Equal access to education	continuous	numeric-1.0	19333	465	Equal access to education
1151	<a href="#">b7q2c</a>	Redress of violations of rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	19303	495	Redress of violations of rights
1152	<a href="#">b7q2d</a>	Special quota for female	continuous	numeric-1.0	19311	487	Special quota for female
1153	<a href="#">b7q2e</a>	Appointment into positions	continuous	numeric-1.0	19242	556	Appointment into positions
1154	<a href="#">b7q2f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11692	8106	Others (specify)
1155	<a href="#">b7q31a</a>	Federal-Executive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19338	460	Federal-Executive
1156	<a href="#">b7q31b</a>	Federal-Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19334	464	Federal-Legislature
1157	<a href="#">b7q32a</a>	State-Executive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19330	468	State-Executive
1158	<a href="#">b7q32b</a>	State-Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19331	467	State-Legislature
1159	<a href="#">b7q33a</a>	Local-Executive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19310	488	Local-Executive
1160	<a href="#">b7q33b</a>	Local-Legislature	continuous	numeric-1.0	19312	486	Local-Legislature
1161	<a href="#">b7q34a</a>	Federal-Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19292	506	Federal-Judiciary
1162	<a href="#">b7q34b</a>	Federal-Executive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19297	501	Federal-Executive

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1163	<a href="#">b7q34c</a>	Federal-Bureaucracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19268	530	Federal-Bureaucracy
1164	<a href="#">b7q35a</a>	State-Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19284	514	State-Judiciary
1165	<a href="#">b7q35b</a>	State-Executive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19278	520	State-Executive
1166	<a href="#">b7q35c</a>	State-Bureaucracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19238	560	State-Bureaucracy
1167	<a href="#">b7q36a</a>	Local-Judiciary	continuous	numeric-1.0	19223	575	Local-Judiciary
1168	<a href="#">b7q36b</a>	Local-Executive	continuous	numeric-1.0	19228	570	Local-Executive
1169	<a href="#">b7q36c</a>	Local-Bureaucracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19140	658	Local-Bureaucracy
1170	<a href="#">b7q4a</a>	Culture and value systems	continuous	numeric-1.0	19209	589	Culture and value systems
1171	<a href="#">b7q4b</a>	High cost of politics	continuous	numeric-1.0	19195	603	High cost of politics
1172	<a href="#">b7q4c</a>	Illiteracy and lack of awareness	continuous	numeric-1.0	19161	637	Illiteracy and lack of awareness
1173	<a href="#">b7q4d</a>	lack of interest	continuous	numeric-1.0	19146	652	lack of interest
1174	<a href="#">b7q4e</a>	Low economic power	continuous	numeric-1.0	19126	672	Low economic power
1175	<a href="#">b7q4f</a>	Low educational qualification	continuous	numeric-1.0	19073	725	Low educational qualification
1176	<a href="#">b7q4g</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10946	8852	Others (specify)
1177	<a href="#">b8q1a</a>	Child crime	continuous	numeric-1.0	19366	432	Child crime
1178	<a href="#">b8q1b</a>	Child labour	continuous	numeric-1.0	19397	401	Child labour
1179	<a href="#">b8q1c</a>	Child prostitution	continuous	numeric-1.0	19421	377	Child prostitution
1180	<a href="#">b8q1d</a>	Child survey	continuous	numeric-1.0	19344	454	Child survey
1181	<a href="#">b8q1e</a>	Child trafficking	continuous	numeric-1.0	19278	520	Child trafficking
1182	<a href="#">b8q1f</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10911	8887	Others (specify)
1183	<a href="#">b8q2a</a>	Cultural and value systems	continuous	numeric-1.0	19334	464	Cultural and value systems
1184	<a href="#">b8q2b</a>	Illiteracy	continuous	numeric-1.0	19405	393	Illiteracy
1185	<a href="#">b8q2c</a>	Poverty	continuous	numeric-1.0	19418	380	Poverty
1186	<a href="#">b8q2d</a>	Unequal Opportunities	continuous	numeric-1.0	19349	449	Unequal Opportunities
1187	<a href="#">b8q2e</a>	Ignorance	continuous	numeric-1.0	18239	1559	Ignorance
1188	<a href="#">b8q3</a>	Protection and promotion of child rights	continuous	numeric-1.0	19433	365	-
1189	<a href="#">b8q4a</a>	Government agencies	continuous	numeric-1.0	19087	711	Government agencies
1190	<a href="#">b8q4b</a>	NGO's	continuous	numeric-1.0	19049	749	Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs)
1191	<a href="#">b8q4c</a>	Community Based Organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	19035	763	Community Based Organisations
1192	<a href="#">b8q4d</a>	Civil Society Organisation	continuous	numeric-1.0	18998	800	Civil Society Organisation
1193	<a href="#">b8q4e</a>	Professional bodies	continuous	numeric-1.0	18996	802	Professional bodies
1194	<a href="#">b8q4f</a>	Religious groups	continuous	numeric-1.0	19040	758	Religious groups
1195	<a href="#">b8q4g</a>	Women organisations	continuous	numeric-1.0	19025	773	Women organisations
1196	<a href="#">b8q4h</a>	Traditional institutions	continuous	numeric-1.0	18831	967	Traditional institutions

African Peer Review Mechanism Survey 2006 - Variables List

File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1197	<a href="#">b8q4i</a>	Others (specify)	continuous	numeric-1.0	11025	8773	Others (specify)
1198	<a href="#">b8q5</a>	Public decision-making organs that shape their future	continuous	numeric-1.0	19409	389	-
1199	<a href="#">b9q1a</a>	Federal Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19529	269	Federal Government
1200	<a href="#">b9q1b</a>	State Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19534	264	State Government
1201	<a href="#">b9q1c</a>	Local Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19513	285	Local Government
1202	<a href="#">b9q2a</a>	Federal Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19530	268	Federal Government
1203	<a href="#">b9q2b</a>	State Governement	continuous	numeric-1.0	19527	271	State Governement
1204	<a href="#">b9q2c</a>	Local Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19514	284	Local Government
1205	<a href="#">b9q3a</a>	Federal Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19416	382	Federal Government
1206	<a href="#">b9q3b</a>	State Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19404	394	State Government
1207	<a href="#">b9q3c</a>	Local Government	continuous	numeric-1.0	19381	417	Local Government
1208	<a href="#">b9q4</a>	Prospects of a lasting democracy in Nigeria	continuous	numeric-1.0	19582	216	Prospects of a lasting democracy in Nigeria



# Variables Description

Dataset contains 1231 variable(s)

File APRM-HH

#1 state: STATE	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-37] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	STATE NAME
Frequency table not shown (37 Modalities)	

#2 lga: LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-44] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=11.855 /-] [StdDev=8.684 /-]
Literal question	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY

#3 earic: ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8035] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1190.836 /-] [StdDev=882.808 /-]
Literal question	ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE

#4 eacode: ENUMERATION AREA CODE	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9122] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=316.723 /-] [StdDev=376.163 /-]
Literal question	ENUMERATION AREA CODE

#5 sector: SECTOR			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	SECTORS URBAN OR RURAL		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	urban	9145	46.9%
2	rural	10335	53.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#6 huno: HOUSEHOLD NUMBER	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]

**File APRM-HH** (cont.)

**#6 huno: HOUSEHOLD NUMBER** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=37.799 /-] [StdDev=63.442 /-]
Literal question	HOUSEHOLD NUMBER

**#7 response: RESPONSESTATUS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	RESPONSE STATUS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completed	18782	96.4%
2	partially comple	548	2.8%
3	not at home	43	0.2%
4	refusal	41	0.2%
5	Household not located	3	0.0%
6	moved away	51	0.3%
7	others	12	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#8 In: Line Number**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	INDIVIDUAL LINE NUMBER

**#9 sex: SEX**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19285 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]
Literal question	SEX

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	15995	82.9%
2	Female	3290	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#10 age: AGE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19175 /-] [Invalid=305 /-] [Mean=45.694 /-] [StdDev=15.437 /-]
Literal question	AGE

**File APRM-HH** (cont.)

**#11 relation: RELATION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19156 /-] [Invalid=324 /-]
Literal question	RELATIONSHIP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Head	18725	97.8%
2	Spouse	270	1.4%
3	Own Child	98	0.5%
4	Step Child	5	0.0%
5	Grand Child	13	0.1%
6	Brother/Sister	10	0.1%
7	Niece/Nephew	2	0.0%
8	Brother/Sister in Law	0	0.0%
9	Parent	1	0.0%
10	Parent in Law	11	0.1%
11	Other Relatives	7	0.0%
12	Maid/House Servant	1	0.0%
13	Non Relatives	13	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#12 mstatus: MSTATUS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19132 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]
Literal question	MARITAL STATUS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Married	2121	11.1%
2	Married	14639	76.5%
3	Divorced	225	1.2%
4	Separated	2147	11.2%
5	Widow	0	0.0%
6	Others	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#13 educ: EDUCATION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

**File APRM-HH** (cont.)

**#13 educ: EDUCATION** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	7932	40.7%
2	Nursery	249	1.3%
3	Primary	4560	23.4%
4	Secondary	4158	21.3%
5	Post Secondary	2581	13.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#14 empstat: Employment Status**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	EMPLOYMENT STATUS

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employer	731	3.8%
2	Employee	3255	16.7%
3	Own Account worker	12737	65.4%
4	Member of Producers Cooperative	101	0.5%
5	Unpaid Family Worker	694	3.6%
6	Others	1962	10.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#15 occup: OCCUPATION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-17] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	OCCUPATION

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Student	0	0.0%
1	Agric. Hunting Forestry	8212	42.2%
2	Fishing	350	1.8%
3	Mining	120	0.6%
4	Manufacturing	330	1.7%
5	Electricity, gas & water supply	232	1.2%
6	Construction	467	2.4%
7	Wholesale & Retail Trade	2704	13.9%
8	Hotel & Resturant	104	0.5%
9	Transport, Storage and Communication	686	3.5%
10	Financial Intermediate	119	0.6%

**File APRM-HH** (cont.)

**#15 occup: OCCUPATION** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Real Estate, Renting & Business Activies	158	0.8%
12	Public Administration & defence	1460	7.5%
13	Education	768	3.9%
14	Health and Social work	294	1.5%
15	Social & Personal Services	1119	5.7%
16	Private Household with employed person	123	0.6%
17	Others	2234	11.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#16 isector: ISECTOR**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18947 /-] [Invalid=533 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not applicable	167	0.9%
1	Public Company	823	4.3%
2	Private Company	2951	15.6%
3	Parastatals	425	2.2%
4	Ministries	2269	12.0%
5	Others	12312	65.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#17 religion: RELIGION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question	RELIGION
------------------	----------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Christian	10004	51.4%
2	Muslim	8673	44.5%
3	Traditional	462	2.4%
4	Others	341	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#18 mrespond: REPSONDENT**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19151 /-] [Invalid=329 /-]

Literal question	RESPONDENCE
------------------	-------------

**File APRM-HH** (cont.)

**#18 mrespond: RESPONDENT** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10605	55.4%
2	No	8543	44.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#19 agegrp: AGE GROUP OF 10**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19117 /-] [Invalid=363 /-]		
Literal question	AGE GROUP OF 10		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	15 - 24	1214	6.4%
2	25 - 34	3377	17.7%
3	35 - 44	4644	24.3%
4	45 - 54	4466	23.4%
5	55 - 64	2796	14.6%
6	65+	2620	13.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#20 zone: Geo-Political Zone**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	GEO POLITICAL ZONE		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	North Central	3697	19.0%
2	North West	3030	15.6%
3	North East	3713	19.1%
4	South East	2792	14.3%
5	South West	3270	16.8%
6	South South	2978	15.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#21 sd: Senatorial District**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	SENATORIAL DISTRICT		
Frequency table not shown (145 Modalities)			

**File APRM-HH** (cont.)

**#22 id: Identification**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1010101100201-37171710212801] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=19082416351804.5 /-] [StdDev=10444061993736.5 /-]
Recoding and Derivation	IDENTIFICATION CODE

**#23 dup: Duplicate**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Recoding and Derivation	DUPLICATE ID

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL**

**#1 state: STATE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-37] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	State Name
Frequency table not shown (37 Modalities)	

**#2 lga: LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-44] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=11.904 /-] [StdDev=8.674 /-]
Literal question	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY

**#3 earic: ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8035] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1196.414 /-] [StdDev=881.053 /-]
Literal question	ENUMERATION AREA REPLICATE IDENTIFICATION CODE

**#4 eacode: ENUMERATION AREA CODE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-9122] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-] [Mean=317.314 /-] [StdDev=380.931 /-]
Literal question	ENUMERATION AREA CODE

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#5 sector: SECTOR**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Sector		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	urban	9214	46.5%
2	rural	10584	53.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#6 huno: HOUSEHOLD NUMBER**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=37.082 /-] [StdDev=61.091 /-]
Literal question	HOUSEHOLD NUMBER

**#7 response: RESPONSESTATUS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]		
Literal question	Repondence Status		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	completed	19183	97.0%
2	partially comple	566	2.9%
3	not at home	9	0.0%
4	refusal	16	0.1%
5	Household not located	0	0.0%
6	moved away	6	0.0%
7	others	4	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#8 In: Line Number**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Repondence Line Number

**#9 sex: SEX**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19713 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#9 sex: SEX (cont.)**

Literal question		Sex of responsiveness	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	16582	84.1%
2	Female	3131	15.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#10 age: AGE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=* /99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19596 /-] [Invalid=202 /-] [Mean=45.903 /-] [StdDev=15.291 /-]
Literal question	Age of Responsence

**#11 relation: RELATION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19579 /-] [Invalid=219 /-]		
Literal question	Relation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Head	19578	100.0%
2	Spouse	1	0.0%
3	Own Child	0	0.0%
4	Step Child	0	0.0%
5	Grand Child	0	0.0%
6	Brother/Sister	0	0.0%
7	Niece/Nephew	0	0.0%
8	Brother/Sister in Law	0	0.0%
9	Parent	0	0.0%
10	Parent in Law	0	0.0%
11	Other Relatives	0	0.0%
12	Maid/House Servant	0	0.0%
13	Non Relatives	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#12 mstatus: MSTATUS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19552 /-] [Invalid=246 /-]
Literal question	Marital Status

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#12 mstatus: MSTATUS** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Married	2050	10.5%
2	Married	15091	77.2%
3	Divorced	216	1.1%
4	Separated	2195	11.2%
5	Widow	0	0.0%
6	Others	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#13 educ: EDUCATION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]
Literal question	Educational Background

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	8027	40.6%
2	Nursery	253	1.3%
3	Primary	4657	23.5%
4	Secondary	4202	21.2%
5	Post Secondary	2645	13.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#14 empstat: Employment Status**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]
Literal question	Employment Status

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employer	735	3.7%
2	Employee	3357	17.0%
3	Own Account worker	13160	66.5%
4	Member of Producers Cooperative	100	0.5%
5	Unpaid Family Worker	643	3.3%
6	Others	1789	9.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#15 occup: OCCUPATION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-17] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#15 occup: OCCUPATION** (cont.)

Literal question		Occupation	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Student	0	0.0%
1	Agric. Hunting Forestry	8502	43.0%
2	Fishing	357	1.8%
3	Mining	123	0.6%
4	Manufacturing	332	1.7%
5	Electricity, gas & water supply	246	1.2%
6	Construction	478	2.4%
7	Wholesale & Retail Trade	2777	14.0%
8	Hotel & Resturant	107	0.5%
9	Transport, Storage and Communication	709	3.6%
10	Financial Intermediate	123	0.6%
11	Real Estate, Renting & Business Activies	166	0.8%
12	Public Administration & defence	1497	7.6%
13	Education	786	4.0%
14	Health and Social work	312	1.6%
15	Social & Personal Services	1150	5.8%
16	Private Household with employed person	121	0.6%
17	Others	1998	10.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#16 isector: ISECTOR**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19380 /-] [Invalid=418 /-]
Literal question	Sector

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not applicable	167	0.9%
1	Public Company	857	4.4%
2	Private Company	2998	15.5%
3	Parastatals	439	2.3%
4	Ministries	2316	12.0%
5	Others	12603	65.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#17 religion: RELIGION**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#17 religion: RELIGION** (cont.)

Literal question		Religion	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Christian	10120	51.2%
2	Muslim	8960	45.3%
3	Traditional	479	2.4%
4	Others	225	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#18 mrespond: REPSONDENT**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19572 /-] [Invalid=226 /-]	
Literal question		Responsence	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10738	54.9%
2	No	8830	45.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#19 agegrp: AGE GROUP OF 10**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19543 /-] [Invalid=255 /-]	
Literal question		Age group	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	15 - 24	1116	5.7%
2	25 - 34	3422	17.5%
3	35 - 44	4847	24.8%
4	45 - 54	4583	23.5%
5	55 - 64	2888	14.8%
6	65+	2687	13.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#20 zone: Geo-Political Zone**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]	
Literal question		GeoPolitical Zone	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	North Central	3790	19.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#20 zone: Geo-Political Zone** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	North West	3182	16.1%
3	North East	3780	19.1%
4	South East	2759	13.9%
5	South West	3292	16.6%
6	South South	2981	15.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#21 sd: Senatorial District**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19784 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Senatorial District
Frequency table not shown (136 Modalities)	

**#22 id: Identification**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10101011002-3007070611310] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=190115701109.838 /-] [StdDev=106878115445.622 /-]
Literal question	Identification Code

**#23 dup: Duplicate**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19798 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Duplicate Value

**#24 gnq1: Have you heard about the APRM**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19670 /-] [Invalid=128 /-]		
Literal question	Have you heard about the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM)?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2115	10.8%
2	No	17550	89.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#25 gnq2a: Newspaper**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1988 /-] [Invalid=17810 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Newspaper.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	668	33.6%
2	No	1318	66.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#26 gnq2b: Radio**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2009 /-] [Invalid=17789 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Radio.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1586	78.9%
2	No	423	21.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#27 gnq2c: Television**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1953 /-] [Invalid=17845 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Television.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	658	33.7%
2	No	1295	66.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#28 gnq2d: Conference**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1931 /-] [Invalid=17867 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Conference.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	105	5.4%
2	No	1826	94.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#29 gnq2e: Workshop**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1929 /-] [Invalid=17869 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Workshop.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	125	6.5%
2	No	1804	93.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#30 gnq2f: Market Place**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1930 /-] [Invalid=17868 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Market Place.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	195	10.1%
2	No	1735	89.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#31 gnq2g: Taxi Union**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1894 /-] [Invalid=17904 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Tax Union.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	98	5.2%
2	No	1796	94.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#32 gnq2h: Others**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1564 /-] [Invalid=18234 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, through what medium or media? - Others.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	179	11.4%
2	No	1385	88.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#33 gnq3: Are you aware**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2207 /-] [Invalid=17591 /-]		
Literal question	Are you aware that Nigeria has agreed to the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM)? (Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1280	58.1%
2	No	924	41.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#34 isq4: Measures**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=17486 /-]		
Literal question	To what extent has Nigeria taken measures to sign, ratify, adopt and comply with the international and regional instruments and standards listed in the APRM Master Questionnaire? (Enter code) 1=High extent;2 = Moderate extent;3 = Low extent;4 = Very low extent; Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High extent	499	21.6%
2	Moderate extent	620	26.8%
3	Low extent	329	14.2%
4	Very low extent	374	16.2%
5	Don't know	490	21.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#35 isq5: Do you think the Nigerian government is complying**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19624 /-] [Invalid=174 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think the Nigerian government is complying with the objectives of the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) in its national development plan? (Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3176	16.2%
2	No	6176	31.5%
3	Don't know	10267	52.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#36 isq6: Enforcing the ACP**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19488 /-] [Invalid=310 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#36 isq6: Enforcing the ACPD** (cont.)

Literal question      In your view, is the Nigerian government enforcing the African Charter for Popular Participation of 1990?(Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2302	11.8%
2	No	4973	25.5%
3	Don't know	12209	62.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#37 isq7: To what extent would you rank**

Information      [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W]      [Valid=17587 /-] [Invalid=2211 /-]

Literal question      To what extent would you rank the Nigerian government in complying with the principles of ownership and broad-based participation of the New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) in its development policy and projects? (Enter code)  
1 = Good; 2 = Fair; 3 = Poor; 4 = Very Poor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	1957	11.1%
2	Fair	7222	41.1%
3	Poor	4441	25.3%
4	Very poor	3958	22.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#38 isq8: NEPAD**

Information      [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W]      [Valid=19560 /-] [Invalid=238 /-]

Literal question      Have you heard about NEPAD? 1=Yes; 2=No (Enter code)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4567	23.3%
2	No	14992	76.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#39 isq9: If yes**

Information      [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W]      [Valid=5132 /-] [Invalid=14666 /-]

Literal question      If yes, do you understand the objectives of NEPAD?  
(Enter code) 1 = Yes; 2 = No (If No go to thematic 1)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1991	38.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#39 isq9: If yes (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	3138	61.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#40 isq10a: Corruptions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1904 /-] [Invalid=17894 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Corruption		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1760	92.5%
2	No	142	7.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#41 isq10b: Political Insecurity/Instability**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1844 /-] [Invalid=17954 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Political Insecurity/Instability		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1289	69.9%
2	No	555	30.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#42 isq10c: Illiteracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1827 /-] [Invalid=17971 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Illitracy		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1097	60.0%
2	No	730	40.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#43 isq10d: Indiscipline**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
-------------	---	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#43 isq10d: Indiscipline** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1833 /-] [Invalid=17965 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Indiscipline		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1260	68.7%
2	No	573	31.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#44 isq10e: Don't know**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1720 /-] [Invalid=18078 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Don't No		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	223	13.0%
2	No	1497	87.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#45 isq10f: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=18225 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, in your opinion, what are the challenges facing the Nigerian government in implementing the NEPAD initiative? - Other [Specify]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	87	5.5%
2	No	1485	94.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#46 ob1q1: Poverty Alleviation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19633 /-] [Invalid=165 /-]		
Literal question	In your opinion, how effective are the government's poverty alleviation programmes and projects? 1 = Very effective; 2 = Effective; 3 = Not effective; 4 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1595	8.1%
2	Effective	6912	35.2%
3	Not effective	7422	37.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#46 ob1q1: Poverty Alleviation (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Don't know	3703	18.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#47 ob1q2a: National Agency for Poverty Eradication Programme**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18390 /-] [Invalid=1408 /-]		
Literal question	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {NAPEP}		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13655	74.3%
2	No	4719	25.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#48 ob1q2b: National Directorate of Employment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18318 /-] [Invalid=1480 /-]		
Literal question	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {NDE}		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12255	66.9%
2	No	6058	33.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#49 ob1q2c: Agric Development Programme**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18248 /-] [Invalid=1550 /-]		
Literal question	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {ADP}		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10436	57.2%
2	No	7804	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#50 ob1q2d: Youth Employment Programme**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18138 /-] [Invalid=1660 /-]		
Literal question	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {YEP}		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#50 ob1q2d: Youth Employment Programme** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7354	40.6%
2	No	10777	59.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#51 ob1q2e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14909 /-] [Invalid=4889 /-]		
Literal question	What are the poverty-reduction programmes and projects that you know? {Others Specify}		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1750	11.7%
2	No	13149	88.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#52 ob1q3a: Enlightenment/Sensitization**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18369 /-] [Invalid=1429 /-]		
Literal question	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Enlightenment/Sensitization		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12524	68.2%
2	No	5843	31.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#53 ob1q3b: Employment Generation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18384 /-] [Invalid=1414 /-]		
Literal question	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Employment Generation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13475	73.3%
2	No	4907	26.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#54 ob1q3c: Practical Implementation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#54 ob1q3c: Practical Implementation** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18307 /-] [Invalid=1491 /-]		
Literal question	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Practical Implementation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12209	66.8%
2	No	6076	33.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#55 ob1q3d: External monitoring by the government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18087 /-] [Invalid=1711 /-]		
Literal question	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - External Monitoring by the Government.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8805	48.7%
2	No	9268	51.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#56 ob1q3e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14791 /-] [Invalid=5007 /-]		
Literal question	What are your suggestions for improving on the poverty-reduction programmes and projects - Other Specify		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1650	11.2%
2	No	13129	88.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#57 ob1q4: Needs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19634 /-] [Invalid=164 /-]		
Literal question	Are you aware of the government's National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS)? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4848	24.7%
2	No	8711	44.4%
3	Don't know	6075	30.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#58 ob1q5: Seeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19670 /-] [Invalid=128 /-]		
Literal question	Are you aware of the government's National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (Seeds)? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4510	22.9%
2	No	8932	45.4%
3	Don't know	6228	31.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#59 ob1q6: Leeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19633 /-] [Invalid=165 /-]		
Literal question	Are you aware of the government's National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (LEEDS)? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4485	22.8%
2	No	8925	45.5%
3	Don't know	6223	31.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#60 ob1q71a: Rural Poor Needs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18884 /-] [Invalid=914 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2894	15.3%
2	No	6243	33.1%
3	Don't know	9746	51.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#61 ob1q71b: Rural Poor Seeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18864 /-] [Invalid=934 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#61 ob1q71b: Rural Poor Seeds** (cont.)

1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2861	15.2%
2	No	6017	31.9%
3	Don't know	9986	52.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#62 ob1q71c: Rural Poor Leeds**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18875 /-] [Invalid=923 /-]

Literal question In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group?  
1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2817	14.9%
2	No	6092	32.3%
3	Don't know	9966	52.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#63 ob1q72a: Urban Poor Needs**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18789 /-] [Invalid=1009 /-]

Literal question In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group?  
1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2887	15.4%
2	No	5713	30.4%
3	Don't know	10189	54.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#64 ob1q72b: Urban Poor Seeds**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18781 /-] [Invalid=1017 /-]

Literal question In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group?  
1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#64 ob1q72b: Urban Poor Seeds** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2896	15.4%
2	No	5720	30.5%
3	Don't know	10165	54.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#65 ob1q72c: Urban Poor Leeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18783 /-] [Invalid=1015 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2495	13.3%
2	No	6130	32.6%
3	Don't know	10158	54.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#66 ob1q73a: The Private Sector Needs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18758 /-] [Invalid=1040 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2235	11.9%
2	No	5991	31.9%
3	Don't know	10532	56.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#67 ob1q73b: The Private Sector Seeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18755 /-] [Invalid=1043 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2089	11.1%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#67 ob1q73b: The Private Sector Seeds** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	6019	32.1%
3	Don't know	10647	56.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#68 ob1q73c: The Private Sector Leads**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18765 /-] [Invalid=1033 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2015	10.7%
2	No	6200	33.0%
3	Don't know	10550	56.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#69 ob1q74a: Civil Society group Needs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18607 /-] [Invalid=1191 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2329	12.5%
2	No	5774	31.0%
3	Don't know	10504	56.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#70 ob1q74b: Civil Society group Seeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18601 /-] [Invalid=1197 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2223	12.0%
2	No	5710	30.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#70 ob1q74b: Civil Society group Seeds** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Don't know	10668	57.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#71 ob1q74c: Civil Society group Leeds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18570 /-] [Invalid=1228 /-]		
Literal question	In your view, do the NEEDS, SEEDS and LEEDS have impact on the immediate community to reflect the needs of the poor, the private sector and the civil society group? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2158	11.6%
2	No	5831	31.4%
3	Don't know	10581	57.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#72 ob1q8a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19661 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Education		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	16357	83.2%
2	No	2888	14.7%
3	Don't know	415	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#73 ob1q8b: Health**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19603 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Health		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13055	66.6%
2	No	6065	30.9%
3	Don't know	483	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#74 ob1q8c: Potable water and sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19551 /-] [Invalid=247 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Potable water and sanitation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9025	46.2%
2	No	9897	50.6%
3	Don't know	628	3.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#75 ob1q8d: Electricity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19548 /-] [Invalid=250 /-]		
Literal question	o you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Electricity		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11150	57.0%
2	No	7859	40.2%
3	Don't know	539	2.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#76 ob1q8e: Financial Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19135 /-] [Invalid=663 /-]		
Literal question	o you have educational, health, water and sanitation, electricity and financial services in this community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know - Financial Services		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6497	34.0%
2	No	11463	59.9%
3	Don't know	1175	6.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#77 ob1q9: If yes, are you aware**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17644 /-] [Invalid=2154 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't Know		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#77 ob1q9: If yes, are you aware (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7956	45.1%
2	No	6841	38.8%
3	Don't know	2844	16.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#78 ob1q10a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8765 /-] [Invalid=11033 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Education		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Govt.	7239	82.6%
2	Dev partner	410	4.7%
3	NGOs	103	1.2%
4	CBOs	795	9.1%
5	Others	218	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#79 ob1q10b: Health**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8291 /-] [Invalid=11507 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Health		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Govt.	6242	75.3%
2	Dev partner	658	7.9%
3	NGOs	231	2.8%
4	CBOs	556	6.7%
5	Others	604	7.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#80 ob1q10c: Potable water and sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7973 /-] [Invalid=11825 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Potable Water and Sanitation		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#80 ob1q10c: Potable water and sanitation** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Govt.	4910	61.6%
2	Dev partner	994	12.5%
3	NGOs	277	3.5%
4	CBOs	536	6.7%
5	Others	1256	15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#81 ob1q10d: Electricity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7933 /-] [Invalid=11865 /-]
Literal question	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Electricity

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Govt.	5792	73.0%
2	Dev partner	934	11.8%
3	NGOs	161	2.0%
4	CBOs	296	3.7%
5	Others	750	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#82 ob1q10e: Financial Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7119 /-] [Invalid=12679 /-]
Literal question	If yes, are you aware of how the funds used to set up these social services were raised? - Financial Services.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Govt.	2823	39.7%
2	Dev partner	1636	23.0%
3	NGOs	741	10.4%
4	CBOs	398	5.6%
5	Others	1521	21.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#83 ob1q11a: Not interested**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13642 /-] [Invalid=6156 /-]
Literal question	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). Not Interested

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#83 ob1q11a: Not interested (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3347	24.6%
2	No	10280	75.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#84 ob1q11b: Not informed**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13657 /-] [Invalid=6141 /-]		
Literal question	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). Not Informed		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5991	43.9%
2	No	7650	56.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#85 ob1q11c: Not given opportunity to participate**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13577 /-] [Invalid=6221 /-]		
Literal question	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). - Not given Opportunity to participate.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5238	38.6%
2	No	8326	61.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#86 ob1q11d: Lack the capacity to be involved**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13459 /-] [Invalid=6339 /-]		
Literal question	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). -Lack the capability to be involve		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4145	30.8%
2	No	9298	69.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#87 ob1q11e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#87 ob1q11e: Others (Specify)** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9796 /-] [Invalid=10002 /-]		
Literal question	If you are not aware of how the resources used in the facilities are mobilized, state the reason(s). - Others specify		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1242	12.7%
2	No	8546	87.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#88 ob1q12a: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19036 /-] [Invalid=762 /-]		
Literal question	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Government		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	2701	14.2%
2	Good	6447	33.9%
3	Fair	5392	28.3%
4	Poor	2675	14.1%
5	Very poor	1821	9.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#89 ob1q12b: Development partner**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18624 /-] [Invalid=1174 /-]		
Literal question	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Development Partner.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	768	4.1%
2	Good	4202	22.6%
3	Fair	5542	29.8%
4	Poor	4784	25.7%
5	Very poor	3328	17.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#90 ob1q12c: Non-Government Organisations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18656 /-] [Invalid=1142 /-]
Literal question	Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2=



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#90 ob1q12c: Non-Government Organisations** (cont.)

Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Non Government Organisation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	814	4.4%
2	Good	4140	22.2%
3	Fair	5628	30.2%
4	Poor	4623	24.8%
5	Very poor	3451	18.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#91 ob1q12d: Community**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18676 /-] [Invalid=1122 /-]

Literal question Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Community

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1353	7.2%
2	Good	5688	30.5%
3	Fair	5723	30.6%
4	Poor	3537	18.9%
5	Very poor	2375	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#92 ob1q12e: Others (Specify)**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=10346 /-] [Invalid=9452 /-]

Literal question Please rank the performance of the different stakeholders in the provision of these services? 1= Excellent; 2= Good; 3= Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor - Other - other specify

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	555	5.4%
2	Good	2623	25.4%
3	Fair	2073	20.0%
4	Poor	1533	14.8%
5	Very poor	3562	34.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#93 ob1q13a1: Education Setting-up**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#93 ob1q13a1: Education Setting-up** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19239 /-] [Invalid=559 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Education Setting -up		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	2281	11.9%
2	Active	5315	27.6%
3	Moderate	5316	27.6%
4	Weak	3670	19.1%
5	Very weak	2657	13.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#94 ob1q13a2: Education Maintenance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19235 /-] [Invalid=563 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Education maintenance		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	1700	8.8%
2	Active	4771	24.8%
3	Moderate	5547	28.8%
4	Weak	4118	21.4%
5	Very weak	3098	16.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#95 ob1q13b1: Health Setting-up**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18991 /-] [Invalid=807 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Health Setting -up		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	1682	8.9%
2	Active	4609	24.3%
3	Moderate	4476	23.6%
4	Weak	4343	22.9%
5	Very weak	3881	20.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#96 ob1q13b2: Health Maintenance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18991 /-] [Invalid=807 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Health maintenance		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	1230	6.5%
2	Active	3971	20.9%
3	Moderate	4943	26.0%
4	Weak	4484	23.6%
5	Very weak	4363	23.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#97 ob1q13c1: Potable water and sanitation Setting-up**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18724 /-] [Invalid=1074 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Portable Water and Sanitation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	1087	5.8%
2	Active	3548	18.9%
3	Moderate	4163	22.2%
4	Weak	4760	25.4%
5	Very weak	5165	27.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#98 ob1q13c2: Potable water and sanitation Maintenance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18704 /-] [Invalid=1094 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Portable water and sanitation maintenance		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	951	5.1%
2	Active	3684	19.7%
3	Moderate	4294	23.0%
4	Weak	4696	25.1%
5	Very weak	5078	27.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#98 ob1q13c2: Potable water and sanitation Maintenance** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#99 ob1q13d1: Electricity Setting-up**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18670 /-] [Invalid=1128 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Electricity Setting-Up.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	979	5.2%
2	Active	3402	18.2%
3	Moderate	3799	20.3%
4	Weak	5194	27.8%
5	Very weak	5296	28.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#100 ob1q13d2: Electricity Maintenance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18659 /-] [Invalid=1139 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Electricity Setting Maintenance.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	714	3.8%
2	Active	2979	16.0%
3	Moderate	3946	21.1%
4	Weak	5031	27.0%
5	Very weak	5988	32.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#101 ob1q13e1: Financial Services Setting-up**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17848 /-] [Invalid=1950 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Financial Services Setting-Up.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	802	4.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#101 ob1q13e1: Financial Services Setting-up** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Active	2710	15.2%
3	Moderate	3093	17.3%
4	Weak	4377	24.5%
5	Very weak	6865	38.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#102 ob1q13e2: Financial Services Maintenance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17736 /-] [Invalid=2062 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the role of the community in setting up and the maintenance of these facilities, by recording the code for one of these options against the social services. 1 = Very active 2 = Active 3 = Moderate 4 = Weak 5 = Very weak - Financial Services Maintenance.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very active	542	3.1%
2	Active	2735	15.4%
3	Moderate	2940	16.6%
4	Weak	4261	24.0%
5	Very weak	7257	40.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#103 ob1q14a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19311 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Literal question	Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Education 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3547	18.4%
2	No	13983	72.4%
3	Don't know	1780	9.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#104 ob1q14b: Health**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19135 /-] [Invalid=663 /-]		
Literal question	Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Health		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#104 ob1q14b: Health** (cont.)

1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2790	14.6%
2	No	14252	74.5%
3	Don't know	2093	10.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#105 ob1q14c: Potable water and Sanitation**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19012 /-] [Invalid=786 /-]

Literal question Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Portable Water  
1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2142	11.3%
2	No	14589	76.8%
3	Don't know	2273	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#106 ob1q14d: Electricity**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18978 /-] [Invalid=820 /-]

Literal question Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Electricity  
1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1783	9.4%
2	No	14969	78.9%
3	Don't know	2221	11.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#107 ob1q14e: Financial Services**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18256 /-] [Invalid=1542 /-]

Literal question Are there opportunities open to you to influence the decisions regarding availability and provision the following services in this community? - Financial Services  
1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#107 ob1q14e: Financial Services** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1228	6.7%
2	No	14220	77.9%
3	Don't know	2800	15.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#108 ob1q15a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4133 /-] [Invalid=15665 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Education 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	2390	58.1%
2	Weak	1231	29.9%
3	Very weak	496	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#109 ob1q15b: Health**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3570 /-] [Invalid=16228 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Health 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	1818	51.0%
2	Weak	1218	34.2%
3	Very weak	528	14.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#110 ob1q15c: Potable water and Sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3206 /-] [Invalid=16592 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Potable water and Sanitation 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	1361	42.6%
2	Weak	1013	31.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#110 ob1q15c: Potable water and Sanitation** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Very weak	818	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#111 ob1q15d: Electricity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2977 /-] [Invalid=16821 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Electricity 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	971	32.7%
2	Weak	1123	37.8%
3	Very weak	878	29.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#112 ob1q15e: Financial Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2648 /-] [Invalid=17150 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, rank your effort in empowering more people to participate in the decision-making process. - Financial Services 1 = Moderate; 2 = Weak; 3 = Very weak .		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	791	30.0%
2	Weak	1009	38.3%
3	Very weak	837	31.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#113 ob1q16a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19015 /-] [Invalid=783 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Education 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	3510	18.5%
2	Good	9160	48.2%
3	Fair	4007	21.1%
4	Poor	1322	7.0%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#113 ob1q16a: Education** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very poor	1016	5.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#114 ob1q16b: Health**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18760 /-] [Invalid=1038 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Health 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	2662	14.2%
2	Good	7975	42.5%
3	Fair	4051	21.6%
4	Poor	2078	11.1%
5	Very poor	1994	10.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#115 ob1q16c: Potable water and Sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18567 /-] [Invalid=1231 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Potable Water and Sanitation 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1764	9.5%
2	Good	5677	30.6%
3	Fair	3812	20.5%
4	Poor	3379	18.2%
5	Very poor	3935	21.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#116 ob1q16d: Electricity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18572 /-] [Invalid=1226 /-]		
Literal question	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Electricity 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#116 ob1q16d: Electricity** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1571	8.5%
2	Good	5014	27.0%
3	Fair	3920	21.1%
4	Poor	3803	20.5%
5	Very poor	4264	23.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#117 ob1q16e: Financial Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17776 /-] [Invalid=2022 /-]
Literal question	Rank the benefits of these facilities to you or the community. Recording the code for one of these options against the facilities: - Financial Services 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Very poor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1213	6.8%
2	Good	4770	26.8%
3	Fair	3179	17.9%
4	Poor	3142	17.7%
5	Very poor	5472	30.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#118 ob1q17: Is there any Community Based Organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19477 /-] [Invalid=321 /-]
Literal question	Is there any Community-Based Organisation (CBO) in your community? 1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6841	35.1%
2	No	9299	47.7%
3	Don't know	3335	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#119 ob1q18a: Security of lives and properties**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6822 /-] [Invalid=12976 /-]
Literal question	If yes, what are the objectives?

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#119 ob1q18a: Security of lives and properties** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6063	88.9%
2	No	756	11.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#120 ob1q18b: Maintenance of social facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6798 /-] [Invalid=13000 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4637	68.2%
2	No	2159	31.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#121 ob1q18c: Assist in Implementation of government policies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6768 /-] [Invalid=13030 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3054	45.1%
2	No	3712	54.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#122 ob1q18d: Improve the standard of education in the community**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6776 /-] [Invalid=13022 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3796	56.0%
2	No	2978	44.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#123 ob1q18e: Provide financial aids/assistance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6706 /-] [Invalid=13092 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2780	41.5%
2	No	3926	58.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#124 ob1q18f: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5555 /-] [Invalid=14243 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	628	11.3%
2	No	4927	88.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#125 ob1q19a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6866 /-] [Invalid=12932 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3719	54.2%
2	No	3147	45.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#126 ob1q19b: Health Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6849 /-] [Invalid=12949 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2756	40.2%
2	No	4093	59.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#127 ob1q19c: Water/Sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6834 /-] [Invalid=12964 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2896	42.4%
2	No	3938	57.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#128 ob1q19d: Financial Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6795 /-] [Invalid=13003 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#128 ob1q19d: Financial Services** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1776	26.1%
2	No	5017	73.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#129 ob1q19e: Others** (Specify)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5080 /-] [Invalid=14718 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1193	23.5%
2	No	3881	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#130 ob1q20a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6905 /-] [Invalid=12893 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large exten	1225	17.7%
2	Large extent	2952	42.8%
3	Lesser extent	1499	21.7%
4	Not at all	719	10.4%
5	Don't know	508	7.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#131 ob1q20b: Health Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6859 /-] [Invalid=12939 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large exten	688	10.0%
2	Large extent	2781	40.6%
3	Lesser extent	1645	24.0%
4	Not at all	1091	15.9%
5	Don't know	652	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#132 ob1q20c: Water/Sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6775 /-] [Invalid=13023 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large exten	542	8.0%
2	Large extent	2316	34.2%
3	Lesser extent	1651	24.4%
4	Not at all	1502	22.2%
5	Don't know	762	11.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#133 ob1q20d: Financial Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6688 /-] [Invalid=13110 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large exten	442	6.6%
2	Large extent	1563	23.4%
3	Lesser extent	1446	21.6%
4	Not at all	2124	31.8%
5	Don't know	1111	16.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#134 ob1q20e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4629 /-] [Invalid=15169 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large exten	297	6.4%
2	Large extent	962	20.8%
3	Lesser extent	488	10.5%
4	Not at all	831	18.0%
5	Don't know	2050	44.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#135 ob1q21a: Internalized into the development process**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18803 /-] [Invalid=995 /-]		
Literal question	Could you, rank the extent to which the NEEDS, SEEDS, LEEDS have been accepted and incorporated into the development process and help to promote service delivery and sustain local livelihoods? - Internalized into the		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#135 ob1q21a: Internalized into the development process** (cont.)

		development process	
		1 = Moderate; 2 = Poor; 3 = Very poor; 4 = Not applicable	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	3059	16.3%
2	Poor	3447	18.3%
3	Very poor	3030	16.1%
4	Not applicable	9264	49.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#136 ob1q21b: Help to promote service delivery**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18799 /-] [Invalid=999 /-]		
Literal question	Could you, rank the extent to which the NEEDS, SEEDS, LEEDS have been accepted and incorporated into the development process and help to promote service delivery and sustain local livelihoods? - Help to Promote Service Delivery.  1 = Moderate; 2 = Poor; 3 = Very poor; 4 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	3119	16.6%
2	Poor	3475	18.5%
3	Very poor	2966	15.8%
4	Not applicable	9239	49.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#137 ob1q21c: Sustain Local Livelihood**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18784 /-] [Invalid=1014 /-]		
Literal question	Could you, rank the extent to which the NEEDS, SEEDS, LEEDS have been accepted and incorporated into the development process and help to promote service delivery and sustain local livelihoods? - Sustain local livelihood.  1 = Moderate; 2 = Poor; 3 = Very poor; 4 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderate	3142	16.7%
2	Poor	3444	18.3%
3	Very poor	3111	16.6%
4	Not applicable	9085	48.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#138 b2q1a: Subsistence agriculture**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18753 /-] [Invalid=1045 /-]		
Literal question	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Subsistence agriculture 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	7719	41.2%
2	Important	3403	18.1%
3	Moderate	2392	12.8%
4	Not important	716	3.8%
5	Not applicable	4523	24.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#139 b2q1b: Commercial agriculture**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18365 /-] [Invalid=1433 /-]		
Literal question	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Commercial agriculture 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2376	12.9%
2	Important	3641	19.8%
3	Moderate	2597	14.1%
4	Not important	1494	8.1%
5	Not applicable	8257	45.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#140 b2q1c: Small-scale business**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18542 /-] [Invalid=1256 /-]		
Literal question	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Small-scale Business 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	3386	18.3%
2	Important	3446	18.6%
3	Moderate	3046	16.4%
4	Not important	1466	7.9%
5	Not applicable	7198	38.8%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#140 b2q1c: Small-scale business** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#141 b2q1d: Formal employment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18270 /-] [Invalid=1528 /-]		
Literal question	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Formal Employment 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2501	13.7%
2	Important	2519	13.8%
3	Moderate	1827	10.0%
4	Not important	2121	11.6%
5	Not applicable	9302	50.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#142 b2q1e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12164 /-] [Invalid=7634 /-]		
Literal question	What is/are your main source(s) of livelihoods? - Others Specify 1 = Very important; 2=Important; 3=Moderate; 4=Not important;5 = Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1684	13.8%
2	Important	1888	15.5%
3	Moderate	989	8.1%
4	Not important	731	6.0%
5	Not applicable	6872	56.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#143 b2q2a: Subsistence agriculture**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18513 /-] [Invalid=1285 /-]		
Literal question	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Subsistence agriculture 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined; 5 = No impact		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#143 b2q2a: Subsistence agriculture** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	4756	25.7%
2	Moderate	5779	31.2%
3	Constant	2230	12.0%
4	Declined	889	4.8%
5	No impact	4859	26.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#144 b2q2b: Commercial agriculture**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18027 /-] [Invalid=1771 /-]
Literal question	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Commercial agriculture 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	1617	9.0%
2	Moderate	4690	26.0%
3	Constant	2160	12.0%
4	Declined	999	5.5%
5	No impact	8559	47.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#145 b2q2c: Small-scale business**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18231 /-] [Invalid=1567 /-]
Literal question	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Small-scale business 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	2576	14.1%
2	Moderate	4376	24.0%
3	Constant	2261	12.4%
4	Declined	1365	7.5%
5	No impact	7651	42.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#146 b2q2d: Formal employment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#146 b2q2d: Formal employment** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17828 /-] [Invalid=1970 /-]		
Literal question	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Formal employment 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	1836	10.3%
2	Moderate	3118	17.5%
3	Constant	1952	10.9%
4	Declined	1258	7.1%
5	No impact	9664	54.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#147 b2q2e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11603 /-] [Invalid=8195 /-]		
Literal question	In what way(s) have your sources of livelihood changed your life in the last five years? - Others (specify) 1=Significant; 2=Moderate; 3=Constant; 4=Declined;		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	1226	10.6%
2	Moderate	2099	18.1%
3	Constant	961	8.3%
4	Declined	622	5.4%
5	No impact	6695	57.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#148 b2q3a: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19451 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Education 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	4471	23.0%
2	Moderate	7823	40.2%
3	Constant	3200	16.5%
4	Declined	1521	7.8%
5	No impact	2436	12.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#149 b2q3b: Health**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19426 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Health 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	3273	16.8%
2	Moderate	7580	39.0%
3	Constant	3412	17.6%
4	Declined	1855	9.5%
5	No impact	3306	17.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#150 b2q3c: Water and Sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19355 /-] [Invalid=443 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Water and sanitation 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	1861	9.6%
2	Moderate	5193	26.8%
3	Constant	3713	19.2%
4	Declined	3303	17.1%
5	No impact	5284	27.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#151 b2q3d: Productive Resources like land and capital**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19261 /-] [Invalid=537 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Productive resources like land and capital 1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	863	4.5%
2	Moderate	3725	19.3%
3	Constant	3774	19.6%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#151 b2q3d: Productive Resources like land and capital** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Declined	3540	18.4%
5	No impact	7359	38.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#152 b2q3e: Employment and Income Earning Opportunities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19281 /-] [Invalid=517 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Employment and income earning opportunities  1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	906	4.7%
2	Moderate	3822	19.8%
3	Constant	3400	17.6%
4	Declined	3862	20.0%
5	No impact	7291	37.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#153 b2q3f: Information and Political Participation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19228 /-] [Invalid=570 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Information and political participation  1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact  1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	1086	5.6%
2	Moderate	4451	23.1%
3	Constant	3608	18.8%
4	Declined	2966	15.4%
5	No impact	7116	37.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#154 b2q3g: Proper housing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19153 /-] [Invalid=645 /-]		
Literal question	<p>How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Proper housing</p> <p>1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact</p> <p>1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact</p>		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	714	3.7%
2	Moderate	3374	17.6%
3	Constant	3402	17.8%
4	Declined	3834	20.0%
5	No impact	7828	40.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#155 b2q3h: Energy supply (Electricity)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17928 /-] [Invalid=1870 /-]		
Literal question	<p>How would you rate the changes with respect to your access to the following in the last five years? - Energy supply (electricity)</p> <p>1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact</p> <p>1 = Significant; 2 = Moderate; 3 = Constant; 4 = Declined Moderately; 5 = No Significant impact</p>		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Significant	924	5.2%
2	Moderate	3785	21.1%
3	Constant	2805	15.6%
4	Declined	3915	21.8%
5	No impact	6499	36.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#156 b2q4: Are you aware of programmes created by the government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19500 /-] [Invalid=298 /-]		
Literal question	Are you aware of programmes created by the government or development partner(s) to accelerate socio-economic development and poverty eradication in Nigeria?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#156 b2q4: Are you aware of programmes created by the government (cont.)**

1 = Yes; 2 = No; 3 = Don't know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8010	41.1%
2	No	7084	36.3%
3	Don't know	4401	22.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#157 b2q5a: The Landless**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=10536 /-] [Invalid=9262 /-]

Literal question If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The landless  
1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	843	8.0%
2	Significant	1795	17.0%
3	Moderate	2258	21.4%
4	Weak	3134	29.8%
5	Very weak	2502	23.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#158 b2q5b: The subsistence farmers**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=10531 /-] [Invalid=9267 /-]

Literal question If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The subsistence farmers  
1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	991	9.4%
2	Significant	2435	23.1%
3	Moderate	3240	30.8%
4	Weak	2351	22.3%
5	Very weak	1512	14.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#159 b2q5c: The pastoralists**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=\*]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#159 b2q5c: The pastoralists** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=9349 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The pastoralists 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	521	5.0%
2	Significant	1756	16.8%
3	Moderate	3025	29.0%
4	Weak	2969	28.4%
5	Very weak	2176	20.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#160 b2q5d: The unskilled casual workers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10483 /-] [Invalid=9315 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The unskilled casual workers 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	766	7.3%
2	Significant	1766	16.8%
3	Moderate	2871	27.4%
4	Weak	3062	29.2%
5	Very weak	2016	19.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#161 b2q5e: The female-headed houtholds**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10460 /-] [Invalid=9338 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The female-headed houtholds 1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	748	7.2%
2	Significant	1656	15.8%
3	Moderate	2545	24.3%
4	Weak	3120	29.8%
5	Very weak	2389	22.8%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#161 b2q5e: The female-headed households** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#162 b2q5f: The people with disabilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10486 /-] [Invalid=9312 /-]
Literal question	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The people with disabilities  1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	831	7.9%
2	Significant	1944	18.5%
3	Moderate	2748	26.2%
4	Weak	2748	26.2%
5	Very weak	2213	21.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#163 b2q5g: The youth**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10444 /-] [Invalid=9354 /-]
Literal question	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - The youth  1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	921	8.8%
2	Significant	2163	20.7%
3	Moderate	3040	29.1%
4	Weak	2362	22.6%
5	Very weak	1956	18.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#164 b2q5h: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6312 /-] [Invalid=13486 /-]
Literal question	If yes, indicate how they have benefited the following categories of people, using these options; - Others (specify)  1 = Very significant; 2 = Significant; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Weak; 5 = Very weak

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#164 b2q5h: Others (Specify) (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very significant	532	8.4%
2	Significant	1361	21.6%
3	Moderate	1252	19.8%
4	Weak	967	15.3%
5	Very weak	2198	34.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#165 b2q6: How would you rate the level of poverty in your community**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18651 /-] [Invalid=1147 /-]
Literal question	How would you rate the level of poverty in your community? 1 = Very High; 2 = High; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Low

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10390	55.7%
2	High	5887	31.6%
3	Moderate	1717	9.2%
4	Low	656	3.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#166 b2q7a: Unemployment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19303 /-] [Invalid=495 /-]
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	17615	91.3%
2	No	1673	8.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#167 b2q7b: Low level of education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19169 /-] [Invalid=629 /-]
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Low Level of Education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13587	70.9%
2	No	5567	29.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#168 b2q7c: No access to loan**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19165 /-] [Invalid=633 /-]		
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - No access to loan		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13971	72.9%
2	No	5183	27.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#169 b2q7d: Absence of social infrastructure**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19121 /-] [Invalid=677 /-]		
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Absence of social infrastructure		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13444	70.4%
2	No	5665	29.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#170 b2q7e: Inflation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19055 /-] [Invalid=743 /-]		
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Inflation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12812	67.3%
2	No	6232	32.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#171 b2q7f: Lack of farm input**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18890 /-] [Invalid=908 /-]		
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Lack of farm input		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12168	64.5%
2	No	6709	35.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#172 b2q7g: Others**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15079 /-] [Invalid=4719 /-]		
Literal question	What are the factors responsible for poverty in your community? - Others		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2802	18.6%
2	No	12274	81.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#173 b3q1: Attending primary schools**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19435 /-] [Invalid=363 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have children attending primary schools? 1 = Yes; 2 = No		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7914	40.7%
2	No	11520	59.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#174 b3q2: How many**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-73] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7690 /-] [Invalid=12108 /-] [Mean=4.427 /-] [StdDev=7.728 /-]		
Literal question	If yes, how many?		

**#175 b3q3: How would you rate the government policy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18506 /-] [Invalid=1292 /-]		
Literal question	How would you rate the government policy on primary education 1 = Very good; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Bad		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	2055	11.1%
2	Good	7647	41.3%
3	Fair	6521	35.2%
4	Bad	2277	12.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#176 b3q4a: Introduction of UBE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18815 /-] [Invalid=983 /-] [Mean=1.27 /-] [StdDev=0.495 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Introduction of UBE

**#177 b3q4b: Qualified Teachers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18770 /-] [Invalid=1028 /-] [Mean=1.328 /-] [StdDev=0.54 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Qualified teachers

**#178 b3q4c: Good Remuneration**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18705 /-] [Invalid=1093 /-] [Mean=1.391 /-] [StdDev=0.551 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Good remuneration

**#179 b3q4d: Improvement on the welfare of the pupils**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18701 /-] [Invalid=1097 /-] [Mean=1.384 /-] [StdDev=0.584 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Improvement on the welfare of the pupils

**#180 b3q4e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17605 /-] [Invalid=2193 /-] [Mean=1.633 /-] [StdDev=0.57 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Others (specify)

**#181 b3q4f: Poor remuneration of teachers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18623 /-] [Invalid=1175 /-] [Mean=1.318 /-] [StdDev=0.58 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? - Poor remuneration of teachers

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#182 b3q4g: Ineffective Monitoring/Supervision**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18574 /-] [Invalid=1224 /-] [Mean=1.442 /-] [StdDev=0.601 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Ineffective monitoring/supervision

**#183 b3q4h: Inadequate Infrastructure/facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18457 /-] [Invalid=1341 /-] [Mean=1.407 /-] [StdDev=0.626 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Inadequate infrastructure/facilities

**#184 b3q4i: Public school not deliver good service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15917 /-] [Invalid=3881 /-] [Mean=1.706 /-] [StdDev=0.577 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Public school not deliver good service

**#185 b3q4j: others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14831 /-] [Invalid=4967 /-] [Mean=1.926 /-] [StdDev=0.442 /-]
Literal question	What do you regard as the main strength and weakness of the current policy on primary education in Nigeria? -Others (specify)

**#186 b3q5: Attending secondary schools**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19321 /-] [Invalid=477 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have children attending secondary schools? 1 = Yes; 2 = No		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5217	27.0%
2	No	14099	73.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#187 b3q6: How many**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-73] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5071 /-] [Invalid=14727 /-] [Mean=3.688 /-] [StdDev=6.549 /-]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#187 b3q6: How many** (cont.)

Literal question	If yes, how many?
------------------	-------------------

**#188 b3q7: Government Policy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18152 /-] [Invalid=1646 /-]
--------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	How would you rate the government current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?
--------------	---

Literal question	1 = Very good; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Bad
------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1324	7.3%
2	Good	7742	42.7%
3	Fair	6908	38.1%
4	Bad	2175	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#189 b3q8a: Construction and maintenance of school**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18556 /-] [Invalid=1242 /-]
--------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?
--------------	--

Literal question	Construction and maintenance of school buildings
------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12025	64.9%
2	No	6504	35.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#190 b3q8b: Maintenance of discipline in the school**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18556 /-] [Invalid=1242 /-]
--------------------	------------------------------------

Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?
--------------	--

Literal question	Maintenance of school buildings
------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12926	69.8%
2	No	5600	30.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#191 b3q8c: UBE Programmes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18543 /-] [Invalid=1255 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Ube programmes		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11693	63.1%
2	No	6831	36.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#192 b3q8d: Employment of qualified teachers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18546 /-] [Invalid=1252 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Employment of qualified teachers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13208	71.3%
2	No	5318	28.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#193 b3q8e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17494 /-] [Invalid=2304 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Specify		
Post-question	Others		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8487	48.6%
2	No	8990	51.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#194 b3q8f: Ineffective Implementation and Monitoring of the Policy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18508 /-] [Invalid=1290 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#194 b3q8f: Ineffective Implementation and Monitoring of the Policy** (cont.)

Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Ineffective Implementation and Monitoring of Policy		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12615	68.2%
2	No	5875	31.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#195 b3q8g: Examination malpractices**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18479 /-] [Invalid=1319 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Examination malpractices		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12200	66.1%
2	No	6259	33.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#196 b3q8h: Lack of Qualified teachers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18422 /-] [Invalid=1376 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Lack of Qualified Teachers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12160	66.1%
2	No	6232	33.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#197 b3q8i: Lack of science equipment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15931 /-] [Invalid=3867 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Lack of science equipment		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#197 b3q8i: Lack of science equipment** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5849	36.7%
2	No	10073	63.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#198 b3q8j: Others (Specify**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14796 /-] [Invalid=5002 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you regard as the main strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on secondary education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Specify		
Post-question	Others		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1675	11.3%
2	No	13115	88.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#199 b3q9: Attending Universities, Technology**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18590 /-] [Invalid=1208 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you have children Attending Universities and/ or colleges of Technology?Attending Universities, Technology?		
Literal question	1=Yes; 2=No		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1451	7.8%
2	No	17135	92.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#200 b3q10: How many**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-52] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1384 /-] [Invalid=18414 /-] [Mean=4.158 /-] [StdDev=6.719 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you have children Attending Universities and/ or colleges of Technology?Attending Universities, Technology?		
Literal question	How many?		
Post-question	If yes, specify		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#201 b3q11: Rate government current policies on tertiary and university			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17063 /-] [Invalid=2735 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you Rate government current policies on tertiary and university education in Nigeria?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1052	6.2%
2	Good	6828	40.0%
3	Fair	6782	39.8%
4	Bad	2393	14.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#202 b3q12a: It makes the tertiary institutions more enterprising			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18156 /-] [Invalid=1642 /-]		
Pre-question	Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	It makes the tertiary institutions more enterprising		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11488	63.3%
2	No	6650	36.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#203 b3q12b: Academic staff/Non Academic Staff Union Strike action reduce			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18226 /-] [Invalid=1572 /-]		
Pre-question	Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Academic staff/Non Academic Staff Union Strike action reduced		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13963	76.7%
2	No	4251	23.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#204 b3q12c: Improved quality of teaching			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18141 /-] [Invalid=1657 /-]		
Pre-question	Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#204 b3q12c: Improved quality of teaching** (cont.)

Literal question		Improved quality of teaching	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11876	65.5%
2	No	6254	34.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#205 b3q12d: Others (Specify)**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=17144 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]	
Pre-question		Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?	
Post-question		Others (Specify)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8634	50.4%
2	No	8491	49.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#206 b3q12e: High tuition fees**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=18114 /-] [Invalid=1684 /-]	
Pre-question		Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?	
Literal question		High tuition fees	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12288	67.9%
2	No	5816	32.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#207 b3q12f: Facilities are in bad condition**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=18167 /-] [Invalid=1631 /-]	
Pre-question		Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?	
Literal question		Facilities are in bad condition	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12963	71.4%
2	No	5188	28.6%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#207 b3q12f: Facilities are in bad condition** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#208 b3q12g: Inability to curtail cultism/other social vices**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15562 /-] [Invalid=4236 /-]
Pre-question	Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?
Literal question	Inability to curtail cultism/other social vices

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5779	37.1%
2	No	9781	62.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#209 b3q12h: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14488 /-] [Invalid=5310 /-]
Pre-question	Briefly Comment on what you consider as the major strengths and weaknesses of the current policy on Education in Nigeria?
Post-question	Others (Specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1635	11.3%
2	No	12849	88.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#210 b3q13a: Government hospital**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19299 /-] [Invalid=499 /-]
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?
Literal question	Government hospital
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9871	51.2%
2	No	9427	48.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#211 b3q13b: Government health care centre**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19216 /-] [Invalid=582 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Government health care centre		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5735	29.8%
2	No	13479	70.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#212 b3q13c: Government dispensary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19198 /-] [Invalid=600 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Government dispensary		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3609	18.8%
2	No	15587	81.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#213 b3q13d: Traditional herbal homes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19219 /-] [Invalid=579 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Traditional herbal homes		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5262	27.4%
2	No	13955	72.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#214 b3q13e: Mission hospital/clinic**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19162 /-] [Invalid=636 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#214 b3q13e: Mission hospital/clinic** (cont.)

Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Mission hospital/clinic		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2201	11.5%
2	No	16957	88.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#215 b3q13f: Private hospital/clinic**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19183 /-] [Invalid=615 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Private hospital/clinic		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6361	33.2%
2	No	12821	66.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#216 b3q13g: Pharmacy/chemist**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19200 /-] [Invalid=598 /-] [Mean=1.553 /-] [StdDev=0.507 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Pharmacy/chemist		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		

**#217 b3q13h: Mobile clinic**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19119 /-] [Invalid=679 /-]		
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?		
Literal question	Mobile clinic		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1868	9.8%
2	No	17247	90.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#217 b3q13h: Mobile clinic** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#218 b3q13i: Community health centre**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19054 /-] [Invalid=744 /-]
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?
Literal question	Community health centre
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if facility/outlet mentioned or code 2 if facility/outlet is not mentioned

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3385	17.8%
2	No	15667	82.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#219 b3q13j: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12657 /-] [Invalid=7141 /-]
Pre-question	Where do you receive Medical treatment?
Interviewer's instructions	Others (Specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1180	9.3%
2	No	11468	90.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#220 b3q14: Are you generally satisfied**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19365 /-] [Invalid=433 /-]
Pre-question	Are you generally satisfied with the services you receive from the outlet(s) mentioned in Q.13?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4546	23.5%
2	Just satisfied	9966	51.5%
3	Not satisfied	4850	25.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#221 b3q15: Primary healthcare in your community**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
-------------	--



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#221 b3q15: Primary healthcare in your community** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19276 /-] [Invalid=522 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the government policy and actions on Primary healthcare in your community?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1536	8.0%
2	Good	5708	29.6%
3	Fair	7364	38.2%
4	Bad	4657	24.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#222 b3q16: Source of drinking**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19401 /-] [Invalid=397 /-]		
Pre-question	What is the main Source of drinking water in your household?		
Interviewer's instructions	Others (Specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Piped water(dwel	1238	6.4%
2	Piped water(plot	1457	7.5%
3	Public tap	2455	12.7%
4	Open well In Com	1985	10.2%
5	Open public well	2066	10.7%
6	Covered well com	2027	10.5%
7	Cov.public well	914	4.7%
8	Spring	213	1.1%
9	River/stream	4116	21.2%
10	Pond/lake	279	1.4%
11	Dam	104	0.5%
12	Rain water	275	1.4%
13	Bottled water	39	0.2%
14	Others (Specify)	2221	11.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#223 b3q17: Kind of toilet Facility**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19552 /-] [Invalid=246 /-]
Pre-question	What kind of toilet facility does your household use?
Interviewer's instructions	Others(specify)

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#223 b3q17: Kind of toilet Facility** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Flush toilet	3082	15.8%
2	Traditional pit	9384	48.0%
3	Vent.improv.pit	1785	9.1%
4	Bush/field	4101	21.0%
5	Bucket	39	0.2%
6	Surface water	443	2.3%
7	No facility	574	2.9%
8	Others (Specify)	144	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#224 b3q18: Access to proper and hygienic sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19266 /-] [Invalid=532 /-]
Pre-question	How would you rate the performance of the government in ensuring access to proper and hygienic sanitation?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	650	3.4%
2	Good	3796	19.7%
3	Fair	7379	38.3%
4	Bad	7432	38.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#225 b3q19: Major type of fuel used**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19553 /-] [Invalid=245 /-]
Pre-question	What is the major type of fuel used by your household for cooking?
Interviewer's instructions	Others (Specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Electricity	398	2.0%
2	LPG?Natural gas	887	4.5%
3	Biogas	302	1.5%
4	Paraffin/Kerosen	4660	23.8%
5	Coal,Lignite	122	0.6%
6	Chorcoal from wo	791	4.0%
7	Firewood straw	12278	62.8%
8	Dung	33	0.2%
9	Others (Specify)	82	0.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#225 b3q19: Major type of fuel used** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#226 b3q20: Affordable energy for domestic use**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19333 /-] [Invalid=465 /-]

Pre-question How would you rank the performance of the government in ensuring access to affordable energy for domestic use?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	574	3.0%
2	Good	3827	19.8%
3	Fair	6499	33.6%
4	Bad	8430	43.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#227 b3q21: Household alternative to energy source**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19505 /-] [Invalid=293 /-]

Pre-question What is your household alternative to energy source?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Generating plant	896	4.6%
2	Kerosene lantern	17036	87.3%
3	Candle	1032	5.3%
4	Rechargeable lam	541	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#228 b3q22: Family acquired credit in last 12 months**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19408 /-] [Invalid=390 /-]

Pre-question Has any member of your family acquired credit from any financial institution in the last 12 months?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	989	5.1%
2	No	16820	86.7%
3	Don't know	1591	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#229 b3q23: If yes, which financial institution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1105 /-] [Invalid=18693 /-]		
Pre-question	which financial institution?		
Interviewer's instructions	If yes, specify		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Commercial Bank	298	27.0%
2	Cooperative	370	33.5%
3	Non Banking financial institution	110	10.0%
4	Micro-Finance institution	119	10.8%
5	Others	208	18.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#230 b3q24: Credit is available to those who need it**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18856 /-] [Invalid=942 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the performance of the government in ensuring that affordable credit is available to those who need it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	797	4.2%
2	Good	2562	13.6%
3	Fair	4904	26.0%
4	Bad	5976	31.7%
5	Very bad	4614	24.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#231 b3q25: Type of tenure**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19388 /-] [Invalid=410 /-]		
Pre-question	What is the type of tenure of the structure your household live in?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Owner Occupier	12581	64.9%
2	Rented/leased	4760	24.6%
3	Nominal/Subsidized rent	983	5.1%
4	Pays no rent (squatting)	1062	5.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#232 b3q26: Own Land**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18514 /-] [Invalid=1284 /-]		
Pre-question	Does household own the land on which your house is built?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Owner	12780	69.0%
2	Rented/leased	4052	21.9%
3	No rent	1678	9.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#233 b3q27: Government performance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19413 /-] [Invalid=385 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the performance of the government in ensuring adequate housing for the rural and urban poor?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1201	6.2%
2	Good	3970	20.5%
3	Fair	5697	29.3%
4	Bad	8543	44.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#234 b3q28: Government Policy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17458 /-] [Invalid=2340 /-]		
Pre-question	In your view, is the government policy on land pro-poor?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2282	13.1%
2	No	9339	53.5%
3	Don't know	5830	33.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#235 b3q29a: A telephone or mobile phone**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19559 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	1. A telephone or mobile phone		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#235 b3q29a: A telephone or mobile phone** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10021	51.2%
2	No	9537	48.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#236 b3q29b: Email facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19529 /-] [Invalid=269 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	2. E-mail facilities		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2533	13.0%
2	No	16994	87.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#237 b3q29c: Computer facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19533 /-] [Invalid=265 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	3. Computer facilities		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3821	19.6%
2	No	15712	80.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#238 b3q29d: Electricity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19548 /-] [Invalid=250 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	4. Electricity		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#238 b3q29d: Electricity (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10515	53.8%
2	No	9033	46.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#239 b3q29e: Generating Plant**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19522 /-] [Invalid=276 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	5. Generating Plant		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3757	19.2%
2	No	15765	80.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#240 b3q29f: Refrigerator**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19537 /-] [Invalid=261 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	6. Refrigerator		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6254	32.0%
2	No	13282	68.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#241 b3q29g: Television**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19537 /-] [Invalid=261 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	7. Television		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9143	46.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#241 b3q29g: Television** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	10394	53.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#242 b3q29h: Motor Vehicle**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19526 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	8. Motor Vehicle		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7355	37.7%
2	No	12170	62.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#243 b3q29i: Motor Cycle**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19519 /-] [Invalid=279 /-] [Mean=1.601 /-] [StdDev=0.495 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	9. Motor Cycle		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		

**#244 b3q29j: Boat/Canoe**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19500 /-] [Invalid=298 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	10. Boat/Canoe		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2257	11.6%
2	No	17243	88.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#245 b3q29k: Gas Cooker**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
-------------	---	--	--



## File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)

## #245 b3q29k: Gas Cooker (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19509 /-] [Invalid=289 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	11. Gas Cooker		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2425	12.4%
2	No	17084	87.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

## #246 b3q29l: Modern Stove

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19519 /-] [Invalid=279 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	12. Modern Stove		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7527	38.6%
2	No	11992	61.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

## #247 b3q29m: Donkey

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19451 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		
Literal question	13. Donkey		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2317	11.9%
2	No	17134	88.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

## #248 b3q29n: Camel

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19034 /-] [Invalid=764 /-]		
Pre-question	Does your household have access to the following?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#248 b3q29n: Camel** (cont.)

Literal question	14. Camel		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if there is access or code; 2 if there is no access to the facility.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1205	6.3%
2	No	17829	93.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#249 b4q1a: Shelter**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19573 /-] [Invalid=225 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:		
Literal question	1. Shelter		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	392	2.0%
2	high	1291	6.6%
3	medium	2985	15.3%
4	low	5790	29.6%
5	very low	7370	37.7%
6	don't know	1745	8.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#250 b4q1b: Energy/Light**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19565 /-] [Invalid=233 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:		
Literal question	2. Energy/Light		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	325	1.7%
2	high	2009	10.3%
3	medium	4902	25.1%
4	low	5096	26.0%
5	very low	6124	31.3%
6	don't know	1109	5.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#250 b4q1b: Energy/Light** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#251 b4q1c: Potable water**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19578 /-] [Invalid=220 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:
Literal question	3. Potable water
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	483	2.5%
2	high	2345	12.0%
3	medium	4602	23.5%
4	low	4557	23.3%
5	very low	6536	33.4%
6	don't know	1055	5.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#252 b4q1d: Health Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19574 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:
Literal question	4. Health Services
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	845	4.3%
2	high	3790	19.4%
3	medium	6201	31.7%
4	low	3764	19.2%
5	very low	4070	20.8%
6	don't know	904	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#253 b4q1e: Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19574 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#253 b4q1e: Education** (cont.)

Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:		
Literal question	5. Education		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	1406	7.2%
2	high	5138	26.2%
3	medium	5908	30.2%
4	low	2968	15.2%
5	very low	3198	16.3%
6	don't know	956	4.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#254 b4q1f: Sanitation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19548 /-] [Invalid=250 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:		
Literal question	6. Sanitation		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	445	2.3%
2	high	2342	12.0%
3	medium	4850	24.8%
4	low	5290	27.1%
5	very low	5396	27.6%
6	don't know	1225	6.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#255 b4q1g: Land to all citizens**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19533 /-] [Invalid=265 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:		
Literal question	7. Land to all citizens		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#255 b4q1g: Land to all citizens** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	234	1.2%
2	high	1160	5.9%
3	medium	2360	12.1%
4	low	5081	26.0%
5	very low	8226	42.1%
6	don't know	2472	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#256 b4q1h: Micro-finance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19479 /-] [Invalid=319 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:
Literal question	8. Micro-finance (SME)
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	225	1.2%
2	high	1082	5.6%
3	medium	2889	14.8%
4	low	5108	26.2%
5	very low	7027	36.1%
6	don't know	3148	16.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#257 b4q1i: Employment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19493 /-] [Invalid=305 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:
Literal question	9. Employment
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	306	1.6%
2	high	1218	6.2%
3	medium	3028	15.5%
4	low	4939	25.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#257 b4q1i: Employment** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	very low	8595	44.1%
6	don't know	1407	7.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#258 b4q1j: Information and Communications Technology**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18887 /-] [Invalid=911 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate government's performance in the provision of the following basic needs, using these options:		
Literal question	7. Information and Communications Technology (ICT)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	very high	1986	10.5%
2	high	4043	21.4%
3	medium	3361	17.8%
4	low	2828	15.0%
5	very low	4070	21.6%
6	don't know	2598	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#259 b4q2a: Universal Basic Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19520 /-] [Invalid=278 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you aware of the following policies initiated by government to ensure access to the basic needs in Q.1 above?		
Literal question	1. Universal Basic Education (UBE)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13679	70.1%
2	No	3109	15.9%
3	Don't know	2731	14.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#260 b4q2b: National Health Insurance scheme**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19475 /-] [Invalid=323 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you aware of the following policies initiated by government to ensure access to the		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#260 b4q2b: National Health Insurance scheme (cont.)**

	basic needs in Q.1 above?		
Literal question	2. National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6494	33.3%
2	No	8542	43.9%
3	Don't know	4439	22.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#261 b4q2c: National Directorate of Employment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19473 /-] [Invalid=325 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you aware of the following policies initiated by government to ensure access to the basic needs in Q.1 above?		
Literal question	3. National Directorate of Employment (NDE)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9539	49.0%
2	No	6346	32.6%
3	Don't know	3588	18.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#262 b4q2d: Information and Communication Technology**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19413 /-] [Invalid=385 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you aware of the following policies initiated by government to ensure access to the basic needs in Q.1 above?		
Literal question	4. Information and Communications Technology (ICT)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7638	39.3%
2	No	7220	37.2%
3	Don't know	4555	23.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#263 b4q2e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12218 /-] [Invalid=7580 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you aware of the following policies initiated by government to ensure access to the basic needs in Q.1 above?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#263 b4q2e: Others (Specify)** (cont.)

Literal question		Others (Specify)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1684	13.8%
2	No	4358	35.7%
3	Don't know	6173	50.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#264 b4q3: Commercialization**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19128 /-] [Invalid=670 /-]	
Pre-question		In your opinion, what is the impact of the commercialization of public utilities like power and water on the wellbeing of the people?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly positive	931	4.9%
2	Positive	5223	27.3%
3	Negative	4799	25.1%
4	Highly negative	3736	19.5%
5	Don't know	4430	23.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#265 b4q41a: Housing Federal**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19541 /-] [Invalid=257 /-]	
Pre-question		Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:	
Literal question		Housing Federal	
Interviewer's instructions		Enter Code only	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1073	5.5%
2	High	2152	11.0%
3	Medium	3012	15.4%
4	Low	4746	24.3%
5	Very low	5260	26.9%
6	Don't know	3298	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#266 b4q41b: Housing State**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
-------------	--	--	--



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#266 b4q41b: Housing State** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19535 /-] [Invalid=263 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Housing State		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	701	3.6%
2	High	1933	9.9%
3	Medium	3566	18.3%
4	Low	5041	25.8%
5	Very low	5562	28.5%
6	Don't know	2732	14.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#267 b4q41c: Housing LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19532 /-] [Invalid=266 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Housing LG		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	571	2.9%
2	High	1339	6.9%
3	Medium	2400	12.3%
4	Low	5280	27.0%
5	Very low	7036	36.0%
6	Don't know	2906	14.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#268 b4q42a: Energy Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19530 /-] [Invalid=268 /-] [Mean=3.778 /-] [StdDev=1.396 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Energy Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#269 b4q42b: Energy State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19536 /-] [Invalid=262 /-] [Mean=3.931 /-] [StdDev=1.32 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Energy State
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

**#270 b4q42c: Energy LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19532 /-] [Invalid=266 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Energy LG
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	481	2.5%
2	High	1538	7.9%
3	Medium	3619	18.5%
4	Low	5090	26.1%
5	Very low	6152	31.5%
6	Don't know	2652	13.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#271 b4q43a: Potable water Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19516 /-] [Invalid=282 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Potable water Federal
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1024	5.2%
2	High	2878	14.7%
3	Medium	4142	21.2%
4	Low	4235	21.7%
5	Very low	4539	23.3%
6	Don't know	2698	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#272 b4q43b: Potable water State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19524 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Potable water State
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	652	3.3%
2	High	2574	13.2%
3	Medium	4714	24.1%
4	Low	4470	22.9%
5	Very low	4837	24.8%
6	Don't know	2277	11.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#273 b4q43c: Potable water LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19515 /-] [Invalid=283 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Potable water LG
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	492	2.5%
2	High	1948	10.0%
3	Medium	3657	18.7%
4	Low	4880	25.0%
5	Very low	6068	31.1%
6	Don't know	2470	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#274 b4q44a: Sanitation Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19508 /-] [Invalid=290 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Sanitation Federal
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#274 b4q44a: Sanitation Federal** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	803	4.1%
2	High	2254	11.6%
3	Medium	4457	22.8%
4	Low	4619	23.7%
5	Very low	4431	22.7%
6	Don't know	2944	15.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#275 b4q44b: Sanitation State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19510 /-] [Invalid=288 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Sanitation State
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	583	3.0%
2	High	2303	11.8%
3	Medium	4693	24.1%
4	Low	4990	25.6%
5	Very low	4548	23.3%
6	Don't know	2393	12.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#276 b4q44c: Sanitation LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19513 /-] [Invalid=285 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Sanitation LG
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	525	2.7%
2	High	1944	10.0%
3	Medium	3671	18.8%
4	Low	5165	26.5%
5	Very low	5683	29.1%
6	Don't know	2525	12.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#276 b4q44c: Sanitation LG** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#277 b4q45a: Access to affordable land Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19489 /-] [Invalid=309 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Access to affordable land Federal
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	768	3.9%
2	High	1569	8.1%
3	Medium	2727	14.0%
4	Low	5017	25.7%
5	Very low	5961	30.6%
6	Don't know	3447	17.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#278 b4q45b: Access to affordable land State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19478 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Access to affordable land State
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	600	3.1%
2	High	1692	8.7%
3	Medium	2944	15.1%
4	Low	5182	26.6%
5	Very low	5943	30.5%
6	Don't know	3117	16.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#279 b4q45c: Access to affordable land LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19486 /-] [Invalid=312 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#279 b4q45c: Access to affordable land LG** (cont.)

Literal question	Access to affordable land LG		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	464	2.4%
2	High	1239	6.4%
3	Medium	2420	12.4%
4	Low	5371	27.6%
5	Very low	6930	35.6%
6	Don't know	3062	15.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#280 b4q46a: Loans and Finances Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19448 /-] [Invalid=350 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Loans and Finances Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	816	4.2%
2	High	1721	8.8%
3	Medium	2878	14.8%
4	Low	4789	24.6%
5	Very low	5794	29.8%
6	Don't know	3450	17.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#281 b4q46b: Loans and Finances State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19448 /-] [Invalid=350 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Loans and Finances State		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	464	2.4%
2	High	1606	8.3%
3	Medium	3059	15.7%
4	Low	5103	26.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#281 b4q46b: Loans and Finances State** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low	6074	31.2%
6	Don't know	3142	16.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#282 b4q46c: Loans and Finances LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19446 /-] [Invalid=352 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Loans and Finances LG		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	401	2.1%
2	High	1325	6.8%
3	Medium	2473	12.7%
4	Low	5130	26.4%
5	Very low	6875	35.4%
6	Don't know	3242	16.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#283 b4q47a: ICT Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19016 /-] [Invalid=782 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	ICT Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2162	11.4%
2	High	3475	18.3%
3	Medium	3223	16.9%
4	Low	3232	17.0%
5	Very low	3574	18.8%
6	Don't know	3350	17.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#284 b4q47b: ICT State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19002 /-] [Invalid=796 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	ICT State
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1332	7.0%
2	High	2827	14.9%
3	Medium	3366	17.7%
4	Low	3939	20.7%
5	Very low	4084	21.5%
6	Don't know	3454	18.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#285 b4q47c: ICT LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18973 /-] [Invalid=825 /-]
Pre-question	Rank your affordability of the services provided by the government in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	ICT LG
Interviewer's instructions	Enter Code only

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1136	6.0%
2	High	2304	12.1%
3	Medium	2899	15.3%
4	Low	4056	21.4%
5	Very low	5099	26.9%
6	Don't know	3479	18.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#286 b5q1a1: Decision Making Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19426 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Decision Making Federal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1331	6.9%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#286 b5q1a1: Decision Making Federal** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good	5234	26.9%
3	Fair	7833	40.3%
4	Bad	3088	15.9%
5	Very bad	1936	10.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#287 b5q1a2: Decision Making State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19424 /-] [Invalid=374 /-]
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Decision Making State

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	740	3.8%
2	Good	4316	22.2%
3	Fair	8240	42.4%
4	Bad	4004	20.6%
5	Very bad	2124	10.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#288 b5q1a3: Decision Making LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19402 /-] [Invalid=396 /-]
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Decision Making LG

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	649	3.3%
2	Good	3149	16.2%
3	Fair	6974	35.9%
4	Bad	5417	27.9%
5	Very bad	3213	16.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#289 b5q1b1: Education system Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#289 b5q1b1: Education system Federal** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19406 /-] [Invalid=392 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Education system Federal		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1447	7.5%
2	Good	6167	31.8%
3	Fair	6707	34.6%
4	Bad	3023	15.6%
5	Very bad	2060	10.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#290 b5q1b2: Education system State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19409 /-] [Invalid=389 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Education system State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1012	5.2%
2	Good	5610	28.9%
3	Fair	7372	38.0%
4	Bad	3318	17.1%
5	Very bad	2094	10.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#291 b5q1b3: Education system LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19029 /-] [Invalid=769 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Education system LG		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	813	4.3%
2	Good	4505	23.7%
3	Fair	6713	35.3%
4	Bad	3876	20.4%
5	Very bad	3119	16.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#291 b5q1b3: Education system LG** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#292 b5q1c1: Employment Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19397 /-] [Invalid=401 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Employment Federal		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	808	4.2%
2	Good	4148	21.4%
3	Fair	6736	34.7%
4	Bad	4654	24.0%
5	Very bad	3050	15.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#293 b5q1c2: Employment State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19396 /-] [Invalid=402 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Employment State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	601	3.1%
2	Good	3654	18.8%
3	Fair	7216	37.2%
4	Bad	4916	25.3%
5	Very bad	3008	15.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#294 b5q1c3: Employment LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19418 /-] [Invalid=380 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Employment LG		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#294 b5q1c3: Employment LG** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	501	2.6%
2	Good	3021	15.6%
3	Fair	6742	34.7%
4	Bad	5339	27.5%
5	Very bad	3814	19.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#295 b5q1d1: Medicare Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19379 /-] [Invalid=419 /-]
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Medicare Federal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1181	6.1%
2	Good	5019	25.9%
3	Fair	7086	36.6%
4	Bad	3432	17.7%
5	Very bad	2659	13.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#296 b5q1d2: Medicare State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19380 /-] [Invalid=418 /-]
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:
Literal question	Medicare State

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	911	4.7%
2	Good	4534	23.4%
3	Fair	7431	38.3%
4	Bad	4028	20.8%
5	Very bad	2474	12.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#297 b5q1d3: Medicare LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19021 /-] [Invalid=777 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Medicare LG		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	761	4.0%
2	Good	3873	20.4%
3	Fair	6525	34.3%
4	Bad	4446	23.4%
5	Very bad	3415	18.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#298 b5q1e1: Legal Institutional Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19318 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Legal Institutional Federal		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	808	4.2%
2	Good	3858	20.0%
3	Fair	7038	36.4%
4	Bad	4474	23.2%
5	Very bad	3139	16.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#299 b5q1e2: Legal Institutional State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19317 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Legal Institutional State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	571	3.0%
2	Good	3542	18.3%
3	Fair	7201	37.3%
4	Bad	4976	25.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#299 b5q1e2: Legal Institutional State** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very bad	3026	15.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#300 b5q1e3: Legal Institutional LG**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19299 /-] [Invalid=499 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Legal Institutional LG		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	451	2.3%
2	Good	2740	14.2%
3	Fair	6438	33.4%
4	Bad	5802	30.1%
5	Very bad	3867	20.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#301 b5q1f1: Others (Specify) Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11247 /-] [Invalid=8551 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question	Others (Specify) Federal		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	372	3.3%
2	Good	1995	17.7%
3	Fair	3594	32.0%
4	Bad	1999	17.8%
5	Very bad	3287	29.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#302 b5q1f2: Others (Specify) state**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11177 /-] [Invalid=8621 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#302 b5q1f2: Others (Specify) state** (cont.)

Literal question		Others (Specify) State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very good	309	2.8%	
2	Good	1715	15.3%	
3	Fair	3566	31.9%	
4	Bad	2199	19.7%	
5	Very bad	3388	30.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#303 b5q1f3: Others (Specify) LG**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10870 /-] [Invalid=8928 /-]		
Pre-question		Rank how government's policy and programmes have promoted gender equality in the following areas, using these indicators:		
Literal question		Others (Specify) LG		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very good	289	2.7%	
2	Good	1427	13.1%	
3	Fair	3137	28.9%	
4	Bad	2172	20.0%	
5	Very bad	3845	35.4%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#304 b6q1: Planning and development**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19642 /-] [Invalid=156 /-]		
Pre-question		In your view, what path is the Nigerian government taking to planning and development?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Ground up	2970	15.1%	
2	Top down	9971	50.8%	
3	Don't know	6701	34.1%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#305 b6q2: Participation**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19613 /-] [Invalid=185 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#305 b6q2: Participation** (cont.)

Pre-question	Is the government encouraging broad-based participation and ownership of the development process by the people?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4496	22.9%
2	No	7148	36.4%
3	Don't know	7969	40.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#306 b6q3a: NAPEP**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4643 /-] [Invalid=15155 /-]		
Pre-question	In your own opinion, what are the mechanism, policy and/or institutional framework for implementation		
Literal question	1. National Poverty Eradication Programme		
Post-question	If yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4007	86.5%
2	No	625	13.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#307 b6q3b: NHIS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4624 /-] [Invalid=15174 /-]		
Pre-question	In your own opinion, what are the mechanism, policy and/or institutional framework for implementation		
Literal question	2. National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS)		
Post-question	If yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2831	61.3%
2	No	1789	38.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#308 b6q3c: NDE**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4626 /-] [Invalid=15172 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#308 b6q3c: NDE** (cont.)

Pre-question	In your own opinion, what are the mechanism, policy and/or institutional framework for implementation		
Literal question	3. National directorate of Employment (NDE)		
Post-question	If yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3475	75.2%
2	No	1149	24.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#309 b6q3d: NAFDAC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4611 /-] [Invalid=15187 /-]		
Pre-question	In your own opinion, what are the mechanism, policy and/or institutional framework for implementation		
Literal question	4. National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC)		
Post-question	If yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3580	77.7%
2	No	1030	22.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#310 b6q3e: NDLEA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4543 /-] [Invalid=15255 /-]		
Pre-question	In your own opinion, what are the mechanism, policy and/or institutional framework for implementation		
Literal question	5. National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)		
Post-question	If yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3019	66.5%
2	No	1521	33.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#311 b6q3f: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3602 /-] [Invalid=16196 /-]		
Pre-question	In your own opinion, what are the mechanism, policy and/or institutional framework for implementation		
Literal question	6. Others (Specify)		
Post-question	If yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	545	15.2%
2	No	3050	84.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#312 b6q4: Budgeting**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19454 /-] [Invalid=344 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, are the budgeting processes broad-based?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2132	11.0%
2	No	9671	49.7%
3	Don't know	7650	39.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#313 b6q5a: God-fatherism is inherent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10019 /-] [Invalid=9779 /-]		
Pre-question	Could you give reasons?		
Literal question	1. God-fatherism is inherent		
Post-question	If No,		
Interviewer's instructions	Please, tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7802	77.9%
2	No	2207	22.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#314 b6q5b: Bribery and corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#314 b6q5b: Bribery and corruption** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10019 /-] [Invalid=9779 /-]
Pre-question	Could you give reasons?
Literal question	2. Bribery and corruption is rampant
Post-question	If No,
Interviewer's instructions	Please, tick as many

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8749	87.3%
2	No	1269	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#315 b6q5c: Political influence**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9983 /-] [Invalid=9815 /-]
Pre-question	Could you give reasons?
Literal question	3. Political influence
Post-question	If No,
Interviewer's instructions	Please, tick as many

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8244	82.6%
2	No	1738	17.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#316 b6q5d: Lack of transparency**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9936 /-] [Invalid=9862 /-]
Pre-question	Could you give reasons?
Literal question	4. Lack of transparency
Post-question	If No,
Interviewer's instructions	Please, tick as many

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7982	80.4%
2	No	1951	19.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#317 b6q5e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8006 /-] [Invalid=11792 /-]
Pre-question	Could you give reasons?
Literal question	5. Others (Specify)
Post-question	If No,
Interviewer's instructions	Please, tick as many

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1346	16.8%
2	No	6651	83.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#318 b1q1: Nigerian economy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19643 /-] [Invalid=155 /-]
Pre-question	What has been the trend in Prices of commodities in the Nigerian economy in the last five years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increasing	15419	78.5%
2	Static	2053	10.5%
3	Decreasing	1497	7.6%
4	Don't know	674	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#319 b1q2: Trend in Exchange rate**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19623 /-] [Invalid=175 /-]
Pre-question	What has been the trend in the movement of the exchange rate of the Naira in relation to other currencies in the last five years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increasing	7279	37.1%
2	Static	2388	12.2%
3	Decreasing	4928	25.1%
4	Don't know	5028	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#320 b1q3: Goods and Services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#320 b1q3: Goods and Services** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19510 /-] [Invalid=288 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think that the Nigerian economy has produced more goods and services in the last five years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6637	34.1%
2	No	5903	30.3%
3	Don't know	6937	35.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#321 b1q4: Imported goods and services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19502 /-] [Invalid=296 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think the volume of imported goods and services exceed the volume of exported goods and services in the last five years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a greater ext	2562	13.1%
2	To a great exten	4846	24.8%
3	To a lesser ext	4098	21.0%
4	Not at all	1686	8.6%
5	Don't know	6310	32.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#322 b1q5: Locally made products**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19446 /-] [Invalid=352 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you depended more on imported goods than locally made products in the last five years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4678	24.1%
2	No	12908	66.4%
3	Don't know	1854	9.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#323 b1q6a: Imported goods are cheaper**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4727 /-] [Invalid=15071 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you do so?		
Literal question	1. The imported goods are cheaper		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#323 b1q6a: Imported goods are cheaper (cont.)**

Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1047	22.1%
2	Agree	1175	24.9%
3	Agree to an ext	643	13.6%
4	Not at all	1699	35.9%
5	Don't know	163	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#324 b1q6b: Imported goods is better**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4744 /-] [Invalid=15054 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you do so?		
Literal question	2. The quality of imported goods is better		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2541	53.6%
2	Agree	1528	32.2%
3	Agree to an ext	424	8.9%
4	Not at all	170	3.6%
5	Don't know	81	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#325 b1q6c: Locally goods are inferior**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4693 /-] [Invalid=15105 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you do so?		
Literal question	3. The locally produced goods are inferior		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1455	31.0%
2	Agree	1618	34.5%
3	Agree to an ext	1125	24.0%
4	Not at all	339	7.2%
5	Don't know	154	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#326 b1q6d: Locally goods are sub-standard**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4668 /-] [Invalid=15130 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you do so?		
Literal question	4. The locally produced goods are sub-standard		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1287	27.6%
2	Agree	1725	37.0%
3	Agree to an ext	1122	24.0%
4	Not at all	320	6.9%
5	Don't know	214	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#327 b1q6e: Locally goods are less durable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4560 /-] [Invalid=15238 /-]		
Pre-question	Why do you do so?		
Literal question	5. The locally produced goods are less durable		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1375	30.2%
2	Agree	1738	38.1%
3	Agree to an ext	924	20.3%
4	Not at all	300	6.6%
5	Don't know	223	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#328 b1q7: Spending above the budget**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19510 /-] [Invalid=288 /-]		
Pre-question	In the last five years, do you think that the Nigerian government has been spending above its budget?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5134	26.3%
2	No	3657	18.8%
3	Don't know	10713	54.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#329 b1q8a: There is no check by the legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5276 /-] [Invalid=14522 /-]		
Pre-question	Why was govt spending more than the budget?		
Literal question	1. There is no check by the legislature		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2262	42.9%
2	Agree	1485	28.2%
3	Agree to an ext	860	16.3%
4	Not at all	331	6.3%
5	Don't know	337	6.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#330 b1q8b: Rulling party**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5203 /-] [Invalid=14595 /-]		
Pre-question	why was govt spending more than the budget?		
Literal question	2. The legislative house is dominated by the ruling party		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2346	45.1%
2	Agree	1742	33.5%
3	Agree to an ext	626	12.0%
4	Not at all	182	3.5%
5	Don't know	307	5.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#331 b1q8c: Executive power over the legislative**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5217 /-] [Invalid=14581 /-]		
Pre-question	why was govt spending more than the budget?		
Literal question	3. The Executive uses its executive power over the legislative		
Post-question	If yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1928	37.0%
2	Agree	1658	31.8%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#331 b1q8c: Executive power over the legislative** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Agree to an ext	894	17.1%
4	Not at all	339	6.5%
5	Don't know	398	7.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#332 b1q8d: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=16628 /-]		
Pre-question	why was govt spending more than the budget?		
Literal question	4. Others (Specify)		
Post-question	If yes,		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	701	22.1%
2	Agree	818	25.8%
3	Agree to an ext	258	8.1%
4	Not at all	96	3.0%
5	Don't know	1297	40.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#333 b1q9: Laws and Agencies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19427 /-] [Invalid=371 /-]		
Pre-question	Are there laws and agencies put in place to promote effective resource mobilisation?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8684	44.7%
2	No	2430	12.5%
3	Don't know	8311	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#334 b1q10a: EFCC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8778 /-] [Invalid=11020 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	1. Economic & Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#334 b1q10a: EFCC** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8085	92.1%
2	No	689	7.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#335 b1q10b: VAT**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8639 /-] [Invalid=11159 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	2. Value Added Tax (VAT)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4360	50.5%
2	No	4273	49.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#336 b1q10c: ICPC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8683 /-] [Invalid=11115 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	3. Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6616	76.3%
2	No	2055	23.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#337 b1q10d: NRMAFC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8595 /-] [Invalid=11203 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	4. National Revenue Mobilization Allocation and Fiscal Commission (NRMAFC)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3974	46.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#337 b1q10d: NRMAFC** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	4612	53.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#338 b1q10e: NDLEA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8608 /-] [Invalid=11190 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	5. National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5569	64.7%
2	No	3036	35.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#339 b1q10f: NAFDAC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8558 /-] [Invalid=11240 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	6. National Agency for Food and Drug Administration & Control (NAFDAC)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6673	78.0%
2	No	1884	22.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#340 b1q10g: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6763 /-] [Invalid=13035 /-]		
Pre-question	Mention some of these laws and agencies?		
Literal question	7. Others (Specify)		
Post-question	If yes to question 9,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	845	12.5%
2	No	5914	87.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#341 b1q11a: Current account balance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18916 /-] [Invalid=882 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the sources of shocks/Problems and constraints to the Nigerian economy?		
Literal question	1. Current account balance		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7129	37.7%
2	No	11784	62.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#342 b1q11b: Export and Import domestication measures**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18941 /-] [Invalid=857 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the sources of shocks/Problems and constraints to the Nigerian economy?		
Literal question	2. Export and import domestication measures		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8638	45.6%
2	No	10303	54.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#343 b1q11c: Terms of Trade**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18905 /-] [Invalid=893 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the sources of shocks/Problems and constraints to the Nigerian economy?		
Literal question	3. Terms of Trade		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8262	43.7%
2	No	10642	56.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#344 b1q11d: Changes in net foreign asset**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18765 /-] [Invalid=1033 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#344 b1q11d: Changes in net foreign asset (cont.)**

Pre-question	What are the sources of shocks/Problems and constraints to the Nigerian economy?		
Literal question	4. Changes in net foreign asset		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6090	32.5%
2	No	12672	67.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#345 b1q11e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13167 /-] [Invalid=6631 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the sources of shocks/Problems and constraints to the Nigerian economy?		
Literal question	5. Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2114	16.1%
2	No	11051	83.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#346 b1q12a: Production of more goods and services for export**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17929 /-] [Invalid=1869 /-]		
Pre-question	What steps has Nigeria taken to reduce the country's exposure to such shocks?		
Literal question	1. Production of more good and services for export.		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12329	68.8%
2	No	5590	31.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#347 b1q12b: Banning of importation goods**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17953 /-] [Invalid=1845 /-]		
Pre-question	What steps has Nigeria taken to reduce the country's exposure to such shocks?		
Literal question	2. Banning of importation of some goods that can be produced locally		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#347 b1q12b: Banning of importation goods** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13824	77.0%
2	No	4129	23.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#348 b1q12c: Establishment of monitoring and control unit**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17873 /-] [Invalid=1925 /-]		
Pre-question	What steps has Nigeria taken to reduce the country's exposure to such shocks?		
Literal question	3. Establishment of Monitoring and Control Unit		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10360	58.0%
2	No	7513	42.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#349 b1q12d: High tariffs on imported goods**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17775 /-] [Invalid=2023 /-]		
Pre-question	What steps has Nigeria taken to reduce the country's exposure to such shocks?		
Literal question	4. High tariffs on imported goods to improve terms of trade		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10068	56.6%
2	No	7707	43.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#350 b1q12e: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14484 /-] [Invalid=5314 /-]		
Pre-question	What steps has Nigeria taken to reduce the country's exposure to such shocks?		
Literal question	5. Others (Specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many)		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#350 b1q12e: Others (Specify)** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2171	15.0%
2	No	12313	85.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#351 b1q13a: Granting of agricultural credit facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18733 /-] [Invalid=1065 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures has government put in place to promote sound macro-economic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	1. Granting of agricultural credit facilities		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12837	68.5%
2	No	5894	31.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#352 b1q13b: Banning of importation of certain goods and services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18748 /-] [Invalid=1050 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures has government put in place to promote sound macro-economic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	2. Banning of importation of certain goods and services		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13961	74.5%
2	No	4786	25.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#353 b1q13c: Home produced goods and services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18710 /-] [Invalid=1088 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures has government put in place to promote sound macro-economic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	3. Encouraging exportation of home produced goods and services		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#353 b1q13c: Home produced goods and services (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12731	68.1%
2	No	5977	31.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#354 b1q13d: Privatization of public utilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18636 /-] [Invalid=1162 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures has government put in place to promote sound macro-economic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	4. Privatization of public Utilities		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10330	55.4%
2	No	8306	44.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#355 b1q13e: Reform of financial institutions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18309 /-] [Invalid=1489 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures has government put in place to promote sound macro-economic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	5. Reform of Financial Institutions e.g. Re-capitalization of Banks, Insurance etc.		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10075	55.0%
2	No	8233	45.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#356 b1q13f: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14743 /-] [Invalid=5055 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures has government put in place to promote sound macro-economic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	6. Others (Specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#356 b1q13f: Others (specify)** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1778	12.1%
2	No	12960	87.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#357 b1q14: How effective are these measures**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18789 /-] [Invalid=1009 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are these measures?		
Literal question	1 = Highly effective 2 = Moderately effective 3 = Ineffective		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly effective	2354	12.5%
2	Moderately effective	12649	67.4%
3	Ineffective	3777	20.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#358 b1q15a: Corruption of public officers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19227 /-] [Invalid=571 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting government attempt to implement sound macroeconomic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	1. Corruption of public officers		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	17518	91.1%
2	No	1708	8.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#359 b1q15b: Non-implementation of policies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19099 /-] [Invalid=699 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting government attempt to implement sound macroeconomic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	2. Non-implementation of policies		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#359 b1q15b: Non-implementation of policies** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13502	70.7%
2	No	5597	29.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#360 b1q15c: lack of infrastructures**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19089 /-] [Invalid=709 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting government attempt to implement sound macroeconomic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	3. Lack of infrastructures		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12987	68.0%
2	No	6102	32.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#361 b1q15d: Poor management of resources**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19082 /-] [Invalid=716 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting government attempt to implement sound macroeconomic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	4. Poor Management of resources		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15098	79.1%
2	No	3984	20.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#362 b1q15e: High foreign exchange rate**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18773 /-] [Invalid=1025 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting government attempt to implement sound macroeconomic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	5. High foreign exchange Rate		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#362 b1q15e: High foreign exchange rate (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8979	47.8%
2	No	9794	52.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#363 b1q15f: Others (Specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15462 /-] [Invalid=4336 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting government attempt to implement sound macroeconomic policies in Nigeria?		
Literal question	6. Others (Specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1859	12.0%
2	No	13596	88.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#364 b1q16: Macroeconomic outlook**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19173 /-] [Invalid=625 /-]		
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with the extent to which Government takes account of independent advice/analysis in assessing macroeconomic outlook?		
Literal question	1 = Highly satisfied 2 = Moderately satisfied 3 = Not satisfied		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly satisfied	811	4.2%
2	Moderately satisfied	8238	43.0%
3	Not satisfied	10122	52.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#365 b1q17a: Economic reform**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19436 /-] [Invalid=362 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	1. Economic reform		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	2543	13.1%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#365 b1q17a: Economic reform (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good	6618	34.1%
3	Fair	6181	31.8%
4	Poor	2496	12.8%
5	Very poor	1595	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#366 b1q17b: Unemployment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19464 /-] [Invalid=334 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?
Literal question	2. Unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	560	2.9%
2	Good	2438	12.5%
3	Fair	4686	24.1%
4	Poor	6449	33.1%
5	Very poor	5329	27.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#367 b1q17c: Disasters**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19383 /-] [Invalid=415 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?
Literal question	3. Disasters (e.g. floods, fire, famine)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	578	3.0%
2	Good	3286	17.0%
3	Fair	7255	37.4%
4	Poor	5416	27.9%
5	Very poor	2845	14.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#368 b1q17d: Transparency**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#368 b1q17d: Transparency** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19387 /-] [Invalid=411 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	4. Management of local Government by State Govt. (ensuring accountability and transparency)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	572	3.0%
2	Good	2929	15.1%
3	Fair	6121	31.6%
4	Poor	6120	31.6%
5	Very poor	3644	18.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#369 b1q17e: Industrial disputes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19325 /-] [Invalid=473 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	5. Industrial disputes and labour issues (employee strikes)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	523	2.7%
2	Good	3730	19.3%
3	Fair	7055	36.5%
4	Poor	5462	28.3%
5	Very poor	2554	13.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#370 b1q17f: Infrastructure development**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19307 /-] [Invalid=491 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	6. Infrastructure development (e.g. roads, electricity, Telecommunications)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	1015	5.3%
2	Good	4322	22.4%
3	Fair	6754	35.0%
4	Poor	4573	23.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#370 b1q17f: Infrastructure development** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very poor	2642	13.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#371 b1q17g: Environmental management**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19259 /-] [Invalid=539 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	7. Environmental management (e.g. deforestation, erosion, land degradation, pollution)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	514	2.7%
2	Good	2950	15.3%
3	Fair	6298	32.7%
4	Poor	6243	32.4%
5	Very poor	3252	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#372 b1q17h: land reform and ownership**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19192 /-] [Invalid=606 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	8. Land reform and ownership (e.g. Settling squatters)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	639	3.3%
2	Good	2411	12.6%
3	Fair	5496	28.6%
4	Poor	6651	34.7%
5	Very poor	3993	20.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#373 b1q17i: Corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19310 /-] [Invalid=488 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#373 b1q17i: Corruption** (cont.)

	issues?		
Literal question	9. Corruption		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	1725	8.9%
2	Good	3556	18.4%
3	Fair	4675	24.2%
4	Poor	4382	22.7%
5	Very poor	4971	25.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#374 b1q17j: Insecurity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18642 /-] [Invalid=1156 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate government performance in the past 2 years in dealing with the following issues?		
Literal question	10. Insecurity		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good/excellent	966	5.2%
2	Good	2490	13.4%
3	Fair	4819	25.9%
4	Poor	5404	29.0%
5	Very poor	4960	26.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#375 b1q18: Income**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19335 /-] [Invalid=463 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, has your income?		
Literal question	1 = Increased a lot; 2= Increased; 3= Not changed; 4 = Decreased; 5 = Decreased a lot		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased a lot	1173	6.1%
2	Increased	6145	31.8%
3	Not changed	6197	32.1%
4	Decreased	4301	22.2%
5	Decreased a lot	1518	7.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#376 b1q19: Value of Naira**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19489 /-] [Invalid=309 /-]
Pre-question	In your own judgement, would you say that the value of money (Naira) over the past 2 years has?
Literal question	1 = Increased a lot; 2 = Increased; 3 = Not changed; 4 = Decreased; 5 = Decreased a lot ; 6= Don't Know

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased a lot	1260	6.5%
2	Increased	3263	16.7%
3	Not changed	2838	14.6%
4	Decreased	6784	34.8%
5	Decreased a lot	3150	16.2%
6	Don't know	2194	11.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#377 b1q20: Cost of living**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19537 /-] [Invalid=261 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, would you say that the cost of living over the past 2 years based on prices of essential commodities (including flour, sugar, rent etc) has?
Literal question	1 = Decreased a lot ; 2 = Decreased; 3 = Not changed; 4 = Increased; 5 = Increased a lot

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased a lot	1187	6.1%
2	Increased	2748	14.1%
3	Not changed	2409	12.3%
4	Decreased	9027	46.2%
5	Decreased a lot	4166	21.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#378 b1q21: Standard of living**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19487 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, would you say that your living standard/quality of life you lived has?
Literal question	1 = Improved a lot; 2 = Improved; 3 = Not changed; 4 = Become worse; 5 = Become a lot worse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	1063	5.5%
2	Improved	5946	30.5%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#378 b1q21: Standard of living** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not changed	6355	32.6%
4	Become worse	4533	23.3%
5	Become a lot worse	1590	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#379 b1q22: Taxed**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19003 /-] [Invalid=795 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, over the past 2 years, would you say you are being taxed?		
Literal question	1=A lot less; 2=Less;3=Same as before; 4=More; 5=A lot more		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot less	2007	10.6%
2	Less	3509	18.5%
3	Same as before	7264	38.2%
4	More	4411	23.2%
5	A lot more	1803	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#380 b1q23: Credit/Loan**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19288 /-] [Invalid=510 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you obtained any credit/Loan over the past 2 years?		
Literal question	1 = Yes; 2 = No		
Interviewer's instructions	If No, go to Q.28		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1014	5.3%
2	No	18268	94.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#381 b1q24a: Bank**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1013 /-] [Invalid=18785 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	1. Bank		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#381 b1q24a: Bank (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	229	22.6%
2	No	783	77.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#382 b1q24b: Micro credit institution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=991 /-] [Invalid=18807 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	2. Micro credit institution		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	102	10.3%
2	No	886	89.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#383 b1q24c: Money lender**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=987 /-] [Invalid=18811 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	3. Money lender		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	90	9.1%
2	No	895	90.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#384 b1q24d: Relatives**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=985 /-] [Invalid=18813 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	4. Relatives		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	318	32.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#384 b1q24d: Relatives (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	667	67.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#385 b1q24e: Cooperative**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=987 /-] [Invalid=18811 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	5. Cooperative		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	291	29.5%
2	No	696	70.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#386 b1q24f: Friends**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=985 /-] [Invalid=18813 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	6. Friends		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	423	42.9%
2	No	562	57.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#387 b1q24g: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=748 /-] [Invalid=19050 /-]		
Pre-question	If yes in Q.23, What was/were the source(s) of credit/Loan?		
Literal question	7. Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the source is mentioned or 2 if the source is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	162	21.7%
2	No	586	78.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#388 b1q25: How much did you borrow**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=958 /-] [Invalid=18840 /-]		
Pre-question	How much did you borrow?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 100000	715	74.6%
2	100000 Or less than 200000	207	21.6%
3	300000 Or less than 400000	16	1.7%
4	400000 or less thans 500000	8	0.8%
5	500000 and above	12	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#389 b1q26a: Business**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=970 /-] [Invalid=18828 /-]		
Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	1. Business		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	331	34.1%
2	No	639	65.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#390 b1q26b: School fees**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=970 /-] [Invalid=18828 /-]		
Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	2. School fees		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	199	20.5%
2	No	771	79.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#391 b1q26c: Subsistence**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=967 /-] [Invalid=18831 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#391 b1q26c: Subsistence** (cont.)

Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	3. Subsistence		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	243	25.1%
2	No	724	74.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#392 b1q26d: House building**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=955 /-] [Invalid=18843 /-]		
Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	4. House building		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	116	12.1%
2	No	839	87.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#393 b1q26e: Medical care**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=937 /-] [Invalid=18861 /-]		
Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	5. Medical care		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	162	17.3%
2	No	775	82.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#394 b1q26f: Social expenses**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=929 /-] [Invalid=18869 /-]		
Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	6. Social expenses (e.g. Marriage, Funeral, etc.)		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#394 b1q26f: Social expenses** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	125	13.5%
2	No	804	86.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#395 b1q26g: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=743 /-] [Invalid=19055 /-]		
Pre-question	For what purpose did you borrow?		
Literal question	7. Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the purpose is mentioned or 2 if the purpose is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	116	15.6%
2	No	627	84.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#396 b1q27: Repay as interest**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=867 /-] [Invalid=18931 /-]		
Pre-question	How much did you repay as interest?		
Interviewer's instructions	Actual amount/percentage		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 1000	436	50.3%
2	1000 Or less than 2000	319	36.8%
3	3000 Or less than 4000	18	2.1%
4	4000 or less thans 5000	10	1.2%
5	5000 and above	84	9.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#397 b1q28a: Lack of colleteral**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18575 /-] [Invalid=1223 /-]		
Pre-question	If not borrowed, what is the main reason?		
Literal question	1. Lack of collateral		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the reason is mentioned or 2 if the reason is not mentioned.		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#397 b1q28a: Lack of collateral** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8171	44.0%
2	No	10393	56.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#398 b1q28b: Lack of guarantors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18549 /-] [Invalid=1249 /-]		
Pre-question	If not borrowed, what is the main reason?		
Literal question	2. Lack of guarantors		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the reason is mentioned or 2 if the reason is not mentioned.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6320	34.1%
2	No	12223	65.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#399 b1q28c: Lack of information**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18571 /-] [Invalid=1227 /-]		
Pre-question	If not borrowed, what is the main reason?		
Literal question	3. Lack of information on where one can borrow		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the reason is mentioned or 2 if the reason is not mentioned.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7912	42.6%
2	No	10658	57.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#400 b1q28d: Loan processing takes too long**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18506 /-] [Invalid=1292 /-]		
Pre-question	If not borrowed, what is the main reason?		
Literal question	4. Loan processing takes too long		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the reason is mentioned or 2 if the reason is not mentioned.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6890	37.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#400 b1q28d: Loan processing takes too long** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	11612	62.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#401 b1q28e: Interest charged is high**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18362 /-] [Invalid=1436 /-]		
Pre-question	If not borrowed, what is the main reason?		
Literal question	5. Interest charged is high		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the reason is mentioned or 2 if the reason is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6623	36.1%
2	No	11735	63.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#402 b1q28f: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12839 /-] [Invalid=6959 /-]		
Pre-question	If not borrowed, what is the main reason?		
Literal question	6. Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if the reason is mentioned or 2 if the reason is not mentioned.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2872	22.4%
2	No	9951	77.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#403 b1q29: Access to credit/loan**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19150 /-] [Invalid=648 /-]		
Pre-question	Please provide information about access to credit/loan over the past 2 years with respect to ease of obtaining credit/loan		
Literal question	1 = Very easy; 2 = Easy; 3 = Difficult ; 4 = Very difficult; 5 = Don't Know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	344	1.8%
2	Easy	1474	7.7%
3	Difficult	5762	30.1%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#403 b1q29: Access to credit/loan** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Very difficult	6767	35.3%
5	Don't know	4803	25.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#404 b1q30: Nearest to financial institution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17979 /-] [Invalid=1819 /-]		
Pre-question	Please provide information about access to credit/loan over the past 2 years with respect to distance to the nearest financial institution (e.g. banks, micro credit institutions) in kilometres		
Literal question	1 = 1-10 km; 2 = 11-20 km; 3= 21-30 km; 4 = 31-40 km; 5 = More than 40 km		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1-10 km	6768	37.6%
2	11-20 km	3281	18.3%
3	21-30 km	2052	11.4%
4	31-40 km	1482	8.2%
5	More than 40 km	4394	24.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#405 b1q31: Obtaining credit/loan**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18156 /-] [Invalid=1642 /-]		
Pre-question	Please provide information about access to credit/loan over the past 2 years with respect to the cost of credit/loan		
Literal question	1=Very easy; 2=Easy;3=Difficult ;4=Very difficult 5=Don't Know		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	493	2.7%
2	Easy	1660	9.1%
3	Difficult	5102	28.1%
4	Very difficult	6989	38.5%
5	Don't know	3912	21.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#406 b1q32a: Registration of business**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17432 /-] [Invalid=2366 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#406 b1q32a: Registration of business** (cont.)

Pre-question	How would you rate the time taken by public servants to provide the following services?		
Literal question	1. Registration of business		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too long	5602	32.1%
2	Long	5117	29.4%
3	Prompt	1907	10.9%
4	Short	1248	7.2%
5	Too short	3557	20.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#407 b1q32b: Issuance of licenses and permits**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16259 /-] [Invalid=3539 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the time taken by public servants to provide the following services?		
Literal question	2. Issuance of licenses and permits e.g. driving license, birth certificate, passports etc		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too long	4445	27.3%
2	Long	5190	31.9%
3	Prompt	2262	13.9%
4	Short	1514	9.3%
5	Too short	2848	17.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#408 b1q32c: Attend to patient in public hospital**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16168 /-] [Invalid=3630 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the time taken by public servants to provide the following services?		
Literal question	3. Attend to patients in public hospitals		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too long	4431	27.4%
2	Long	4947	30.6%
3	Prompt	2880	17.8%
4	Short	1846	11.4%
5	Too short	2064	12.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#409 b1q32d: Enrolments in schools**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16000 /-] [Invalid=3798 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the time taken by public servants to provide the following services?		
Literal question	4. Enrolment in schools		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too long	4045	25.3%
2	Long	4156	26.0%
3	Prompt	3070	19.2%
4	Short	2612	16.3%
5	Too short	2115	13.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#410 b1q32e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8957 /-] [Invalid=10841 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the time taken by public servants to provide the following services?		
Literal question	5. Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too long	1672	18.7%
2	Long	2341	26.1%
3	Prompt	1163	13.0%
4	Short	841	9.4%
5	Too short	2940	32.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#411 b1q33: Service delivery**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18497 /-] [Invalid=1301 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate overall service delivery, for the services you receive from the government over the last 2 years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	994	5.4%
2	Improved	6974	37.7%
3	Not changed	5652	30.6%
4	Become worse	3223	17.4%
5	Become a lot worse	1654	8.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#412 b1q34a: Flood**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19372 /-] [Invalid=426 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	1. Flood		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2307	11.9%
2	No	17061	88.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#413 b1q34b: Drought**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19348 /-] [Invalid=450 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	2. Drought		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1927	10.0%
2	No	17420	90.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#414 b1q34c: Famine**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19348 /-] [Invalid=450 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	3. Famine		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3514	18.2%
2	No	15831	81.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#415 b1q34d: Illness**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19354 /-] [Invalid=444 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	4. Illness		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#415 b1q34d: Illness** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8417	43.5%
2	No	10936	56.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#416 b1q34e: Drastic price increase**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19344 /-] [Invalid=454 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	5. Drastic price increases of essential commodities (e.g. sugar, flour etc)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9456	48.9%
2	No	9887	51.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#417 b1q34f: Fires**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19328 /-] [Invalid=470 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	6. Fires		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2603	13.5%
2	No	16724	86.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#418 b1q34g: Commodity price fluctuations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19326 /-] [Invalid=472 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	7. Commodity price fluctuations (e.g. coffee)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6834	35.4%
2	No	12492	64.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#419 b1q34h: International trade disputes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19312 /-] [Invalid=486 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	8. International trade disputes		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2127	11.0%
2	No	17184	89.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#420 b1q34i: Banning of commodity exports to International markets**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19286 /-] [Invalid=512 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	9. Banning of commodity exports to International markets		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2718	14.1%
2	No	16567	85.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#421 b1q34j: Ethnic clashes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19271 /-] [Invalid=527 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	10. Ethnic (Tribal) clashes		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2466	12.8%
2	No	16804	87.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#422 b1q34k: Theft of household asset/Robbery**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19095 /-] [Invalid=703 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	11. Theft of household assets/Robbery		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#422 b1q34k: Theft of household asset/Robbery** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2883	15.1%
2	No	16210	84.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#423 b1q34l: Other (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12477 /-] [Invalid=7321 /-]		
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, was your household affected negatively by the following events?		
Literal question	12. Other (specify)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1045	8.4%
2	No	11431	91.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#424 b1q35: Assistance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18359 /-] [Invalid=1439 /-]		
Pre-question	Did you receive any assistance?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No, skip to Q.38		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1193	6.5%
2	No	17164	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#425 b1q36a: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1404 /-] [Invalid=18394 /-]		
Pre-question	Who offered the assistance?		
Literal question	1. Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if assisted or 2 if not assisted		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	582	41.6%
2	No	818	58.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#426 b1q36b: Non-government organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1373 /-] [Invalid=18425 /-]		
Pre-question	Who offered the assistance?		
Literal question	2. Non-Governmental Organisation (NGO)		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if assisted or 2 if not assisted		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	383	27.9%
2	No	990	72.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#427 b1q36c: Church/Mosque**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1371 /-] [Invalid=18427 /-]		
Pre-question	Who offered the assistance?		
Literal question	3. Church/Mosque		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if assisted or 2 if not assisted		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	497	36.3%
2	No	874	63.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#428 b1q36d: Individuals**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1372 /-] [Invalid=18426 /-]		
Pre-question	Who offered the assistance?		
Literal question	4. Individuals		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if assisted or 2 if not assisted		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	867	63.2%
2	No	505	36.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#429 b1q36e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=18865 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#429 b1q36e: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Pre-question	Who offered the assistance?		
Literal question	5. Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code 1 if assisted or 2 if not assisted		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	291	31.2%
2	No	641	68.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#430 b1q37: Assistance offered**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1513 /-] [Invalid=18285 /-]		
Pre-question	Would you say the assistance offered was		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	146	9.7%
2	Adequate	607	40.2%
3	Fairly adequate	420	27.8%
4	Inadequate	229	15.2%
5	Very adequate	107	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#431 b1q38: Assisting victims of disasters/shocks**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19136 /-] [Invalid=662 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, would you say that government response with regard to assisting victims of disasters/shocks over the past 2 years has		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	579	3.0%
2	Improved	5672	29.6%
3	Not changed	4474	23.4%
4	Become worse	2906	15.2%
5	Become a lot worse	2071	10.8%
6	Don't know	3434	17.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#432 b1q39a: Flood**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#432 b1q39a: Flood** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19130 /-] [Invalid=668 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	1. Flood		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	7707	40.3%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4998	26.1%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3670	19.2%
4	Very vulnerable	2755	14.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#433 b1q39b: Drought**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19071 /-] [Invalid=727 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	2. Drought		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	8288	43.5%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4759	25.0%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3467	18.2%
4	Very vulnerable	2556	13.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#434 b1q39c: Famine**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19077 /-] [Invalid=721 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	3. Famine		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	7205	37.8%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4989	26.2%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3771	19.8%
4	Very vulnerable	3112	16.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#435 b1q39d: Illness**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19113 /-] [Invalid=685 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	4. Illness		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	5157	27.0%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	5704	29.8%
3	Fairly vulnerable	4982	26.1%
4	Very vulnerable	3270	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#436 b1q39e: Drastic price increase**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19007 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	5. Drastic price increases of essential commodities (e.g. sugar, flour etc)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	4787	25.2%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	5630	29.6%
3	Fairly vulnerable	4736	24.9%
4	Very vulnerable	3854	20.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#437 b1q39f: Fires**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18924 /-] [Invalid=874 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	6. Fires		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	7062	37.3%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4897	25.9%
3	Fairly vulnerable	4045	21.4%
4	Very vulnerable	2920	15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#438 b1q39g: Commodity price fluctuations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18915 /-] [Invalid=883 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	7. Commodity price fluctuations (e.g. coffee).		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	5733	30.3%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	5629	29.8%
3	Fairly vulnerable	4348	23.0%
4	Very vulnerable	3205	16.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#439 b1q39h: International trade disputes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18885 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	8. International trade disputes		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	7366	39.0%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4987	26.4%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3804	20.1%
4	Very vulnerable	2728	14.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#440 b1q39i: Banning of commodity exports to International markets**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18843 /-] [Invalid=955 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	9. Banning of commodity exports to International markets		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	7087	37.6%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	5133	27.2%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3802	20.2%
4	Very vulnerable	2821	15.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#441 b1q39j: Ethnic conflicts**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18825 /-] [Invalid=973 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	10. Ethnic conflicts		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	6902	36.7%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	5025	26.7%
3	Fairly vulnerable	4017	21.3%
4	Very vulnerable	2881	15.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#442 b1q39k: Theft of household assets/Robbery**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18607 /-] [Invalid=1191 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	11. Theft of household assets/Robbery		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	6437	34.6%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	5104	27.4%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3931	21.1%
4	Very vulnerable	3135	16.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#443 b1q39l: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15296 /-] [Invalid=4502 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	12. Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	5474	35.8%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4002	26.2%
3	Fairly vulnerable	2970	19.4%
4	Very vulnerable	2850	18.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#444 b1q39m: Ethnic clashes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16323 /-] [Invalid=3475 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	10. Ethnic (tribal) clashes		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	5559	34.1%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4535	27.8%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3322	20.4%
4	Very vulnerable	2907	17.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#445 b1q39n: Theft of household assets/Robbery**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15275 /-] [Invalid=4523 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	11. Theft of household assets/Robbery		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	5045	33.0%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	4182	27.4%
3	Fairly vulnerable	3128	20.5%
4	Very vulnerable	2920	19.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#446 b1q39o: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10781 /-] [Invalid=9017 /-]		
Pre-question	In the event of the following disasters/shocks, how would you rate your level of vulnerability on a scale of 1 to 4?		
Literal question	12. Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all vulnerable	3211	29.8%
2	Vulnerable to a small extent	2852	26.5%
3	Fairly vulnerable	1992	18.5%
4	Very vulnerable	2725	25.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#447 bq21a: Provision of soft loans			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18644 /-] [Invalid=1154 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		
Literal question	1. Provision of soft loans		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10163	54.6%
2	No	8464	45.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#448 bq21b: Provision of Infrastructures			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18640 /-] [Invalid=1158 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		
Literal question	2. Provision of Infrastructures		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10477	56.2%
2	No	8158	43.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#449 bq21c: Job creation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18602 /-] [Invalid=1196 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		
Literal question	3. Job creation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10172	54.7%
2	No	8416	45.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#450 bq21d: Development of Agriculture			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18648 /-] [Invalid=1150 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#450 bq21d: Development of Agriculture** (cont.)

Literal question	4. Development of Agriculture		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12457	66.8%
2	No	6189	33.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#451 bq21e: Fuel**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18533 /-] [Invalid=1265 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		
Literal question	5. Fuel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8403	45.4%
2	No	10123	54.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#452 bq21f: Housing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18426 /-] [Invalid=1372 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		
Literal question	6. Housing		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6710	36.4%
2	No	11708	63.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#453 bq21g: Communication**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17554 /-] [Invalid=2244 /-]		
Pre-question	What has been the focus of micro-economic policies and programme in Nigeria in the last five years?		
Literal question	7. Communication		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10637	60.6%
2	No	6906	39.4%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#453 bq21g: Communication** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#454 bq22a: Electricity supply**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19430 /-] [Invalid=368 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?		
Literal question	1. Electricity Supply		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	768	4.0%
2	Adequate	3802	19.6%
3	Inadequate	13266	68.3%
4	Don't Know	1594	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#455 bq22b: Good roads**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19444 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?		
Literal question	2. Good roads		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	958	4.9%
2	Adequate	5623	28.9%
3	Inadequate	11540	59.3%
4	Don't Know	1323	6.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#456 bq22c: Water supply**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19420 /-] [Invalid=378 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?		
Literal question	3. Water supply		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	848	4.4%
2	Adequate	4638	23.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#456 bq22c: Water supply** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Inadequate	12365	63.7%
4	Don't Know	1565	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#457 bq22d: Communications**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19438 /-] [Invalid=360 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?		
Literal question	4. Communications		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	3628	18.7%
2	Adequate	6587	33.9%
3	Inadequate	7687	39.5%
4	Don't Know	1535	7.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#458 bq22e: Health delivery services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19420 /-] [Invalid=378 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?		
Literal question	5. Health delivery services		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	1190	6.1%
2	Adequate	6663	34.3%
3	Inadequate	10265	52.9%
4	Don't Know	1301	6.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#459 bq22f: Housing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19246 /-] [Invalid=552 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?		
Literal question	6. Housing		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#459 bq22f: Housing** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	510	2.6%
2	Adequate	3204	16.6%
3	Inadequate	12777	66.4%
4	Don't Know	2755	14.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#460 bq22g: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11912 /-] [Invalid=7886 /-]
Pre-question	How would you assess the Nigerian economy in the last five years in terms of provision of these services using the following scale?
Literal question	7. Others (specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much adequate	466	3.9%
2	Adequate	1833	15.4%
3	Inadequate	4321	36.3%
4	Don't Know	5292	44.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#461 b2q3i: Job creation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19399 /-] [Invalid=399 /-]
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?
Literal question	1. Job creation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	2958	15.2%
2	Static	6028	31.1%
3	Worsening	10412	53.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#462 b2q3j: Good housing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19402 /-] [Invalid=396 /-]
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?
Literal question	2. Good housing

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#462 b2q3j: Good housing** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	2511	12.9%
2	Static	6534	33.7%
3	Worsening	10357	53.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#463 b2q3k: Good health care**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19412 /-] [Invalid=386 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	3. Good health care		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	7319	37.7%
2	Static	6208	32.0%
3	Worsening	5885	30.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#464 b2q3l: Qualitative education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19398 /-] [Invalid=400 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	4. Qualitative education		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	7806	40.2%
2	Static	5888	30.4%
3	Worsening	5704	29.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#465 b2q3m: Electricity or energy supply**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19398 /-] [Invalid=400 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	5. Electricity or energy supply		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	3978	20.5%
2	Static	5548	28.6%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#465 b2q3m: Electricity or energy supply** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Worsening	9871	50.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#466 b2q3n: Good roads**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19393 /-] [Invalid=405 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	6. Good roads		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	5763	29.7%
2	Static	5504	28.4%
3	Worsening	8126	41.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#467 b2q3o: Good transport facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19367 /-] [Invalid=431 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	7. Good transport facilities		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	4445	23.0%
2	Static	6745	34.8%
3	Worsening	8177	42.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#468 b2q3p: Good recreational facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19321 /-] [Invalid=477 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	8. Good recreational facilities		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly effective	3058	15.8%
2	Moderately effec	7107	36.8%
3	Ineffective	9156	47.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#469 b2q3q: Good nutrition**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19268 /-] [Invalid=530 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	9. Good nutrition		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	2592	13.5%
2	Static	6934	36.0%
3	Worsening	9741	50.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#470 b2q3r: Security of life and property**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18119 /-] [Invalid=1679 /-]		
Pre-question	How has the Nigerian government faired in relation to the following micro economic indices in the past five years?		
Literal question	10. Security of life and property		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving	2551	14.1%
2	Static	4761	26.3%
3	Worsening	10803	59.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#471 b2qa: Economic programmes and policies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18990 /-] [Invalid=808 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent does the Nigerian Government takes into account the different interest of male and female in its economic programmes and policies?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Greater extent	1238	6.5%
2	Great extent	5937	31.3%
3	Lesser extent	5864	30.9%
4	Not at all	1794	9.5%
5	Don't Know	4145	21.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#472 b2q5: Women's empowerment and development**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#472 b2q5: Women's empowerment and development** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19366 /-] [Invalid=432 /-]		
Pre-question	How favourable have the government's programmes and policies been to women's empowerment and development in the last five years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly favourable	1845	9.5%
2	Moderately favourable	10247	52.9%
3	Not favourable	3700	19.1%
4	Don't know	3570	18.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#473 b2q6a: Women in political offices**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19429 /-] [Invalid=369 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following govt measures in achieving gender equality?		
Literal question	1. Women in Political Offices		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	2471	12.7%
2	Moderately Effective	10879	56.0%
3	Ineffective	3157	16.2%
4	Don't know	2921	15.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#474 b2q6b: Establishment of Ministry of women affairs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19406 /-] [Invalid=392 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following govt measures in achieving gender equality?		
Literal question	2. Establishment of Ministry of Women Affairs		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	3708	19.1%
2	Moderately Effective	10213	52.6%
3	Ineffective	2485	12.8%
4	Don't know	2999	15.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#475 b2q6c: Promotion of Girl Child Education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#475 b2q6c: Promotion of Girl Child Education** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19380 /-] [Invalid=418 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following govt measures in achieving gender equality?		
Literal question	3. Promotion of Girl Child Education		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	3524	18.2%
2	Moderately Effective	9550	49.3%
3	Ineffective	3192	16.5%
4	Don't know	3113	16.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#476 b2q6d: Employment of women in public service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19319 /-] [Invalid=479 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following govt measures in achieving gender equality?		
Literal question	4. Employment of Women in Public Service		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	2993	15.5%
2	Moderately Effective	10406	53.9%
3	Ineffective	2941	15.2%
4	Don't know	2975	15.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#477 b2q6e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11828 /-] [Invalid=7970 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following govt measures in achieving gender equality?		
Literal question	5. Others (Specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	1294	10.9%
2	Moderately Effective	3741	31.6%
3	Ineffective	972	8.2%
4	Don't know	5820	49.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#478 b2q7h: Bribery and corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
-------------	--



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#478 b2q7h: Bribery and corruption** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19163 /-] [Invalid=635 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting the promotion of sustainable micro-economic policies and programmes in the last five years?		
Literal question	1. Bribery and corruption		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	17411	90.9%
2	No	1746	9.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#479 b2q7i: Lack of security**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19072 /-] [Invalid=726 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting the promotion of sustainable micro-economic policies and programmes in the last five years?		
Literal question	2. Lack of security		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13714	71.9%
2	No	5351	28.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#480 b2q7j: Indiscipline in the implementation of programmes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19000 /-] [Invalid=798 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting the promotion of sustainable micro-economic policies and programmes in the last five years?		
Literal question	3. Indiscipline in the implementation of programmes		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13775	72.6%
2	No	5201	27.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#481 b2q7k: Political instability**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18889 /-] [Invalid=909 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting the promotion of sustainable micro-economic policies and programmes in the last five years?		
Literal question	4. Political instability		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#481 b2q7k: Political instability (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12205	64.7%
2	No	6672	35.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#482 b2q7l: Poor infrastructure**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18756 /-] [Invalid=1042 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting the promotion of sustainable micro-economic policies and programmes in the last five years?		
Literal question	5. poor Infrastructure		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12230	65.3%
2	No	6509	34.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#483 b2q7m: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14931 /-] [Invalid=4867 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the main problems affecting the promotion of sustainable micro-economic policies and programmes in the last five years?		
Literal question	6. Others (Specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2112	14.1%
2	No	12815	85.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#484 b2q81a: Executive Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19435 /-] [Invalid=363 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Executive Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7475	38.5%
2	No	7645	39.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#484 b2q81a: Executive Effective** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Don't Know	4315	22.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#485 b2q81b: Executive Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19430 /-] [Invalid=368 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Executive Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3619	18.6%
2	No	10839	55.8%
3	Don't Know	4972	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#486 b2q81c: Executive Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19395 /-] [Invalid=403 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Executive Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3166	16.3%
2	No	9395	48.4%
3	Don't Know	6831	35.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#487 b2q82a: Legislative Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19417 /-] [Invalid=381 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Legislative Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#487 b2q82a: Legislative Effective** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6672	34.4%
2	No	8114	41.8%
3	Don't Know	4631	23.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#488 b2q82b: Legislative Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19426 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Legislative Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3343	17.2%
2	No	10903	56.1%
3	Don't Know	5179	26.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#489 b2q82c: Legislative Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19389 /-] [Invalid=409 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Legislative Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2842	14.7%
2	No	9736	50.2%
3	Don't Know	6808	35.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#490 b2q83a: Judiciary Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19425 /-] [Invalid=373 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Judiciary Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#490 b2q83a: Judiciary Effective** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6881	35.4%
2	No	7822	40.3%
3	Don't Know	4721	24.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#491 b2q83b: Judiciary Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19434 /-] [Invalid=364 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Judiciary Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3831	19.7%
2	No	10254	52.8%
3	Don't Know	5347	27.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#492 b2q83c: Judiciary Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19397 /-] [Invalid=401 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Judiciary Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3151	16.2%
2	No	9455	48.7%
3	Don't Know	6791	35.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#493 b2q84a: Federal Govt. Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19417 /-] [Invalid=381 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Federal Govt. Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#493 b2q84a: Federal Govt. Effective** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7775	40.0%
2	No	7202	37.1%
3	Don't Know	4440	22.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#494 b2q84b: Federal Govt. Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19422 /-] [Invalid=376 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Federal Govt. Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4289	22.1%
2	No	10143	52.2%
3	Don't Know	4990	25.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#495 b2q84c: Federal Govt. Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19373 /-] [Invalid=425 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Federal Govt. Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3459	17.9%
2	No	9508	49.1%
3	Don't Know	6402	33.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#496 b2q85a: State Govt. Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19067 /-] [Invalid=731 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	State Govt. Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#496 b2q85a: State Govt. Effective (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6508	34.1%
2	No	7929	41.6%
3	Don't Know	4630	24.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#497 b2q85b: State Govt. Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19403 /-] [Invalid=395 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	State Govt. Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3408	17.6%
2	No	11112	57.3%
3	Don't Know	4881	25.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#498 b2q85c: State Govt. Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19378 /-] [Invalid=420 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	State Govt. Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2951	15.2%
2	No	9900	51.1%
3	Don't Know	6525	33.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#499 b2q86a: Local Govt. Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19404 /-] [Invalid=394 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Local Govt. Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#499 b2q86a: Local Govt. Effective (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5744	29.6%
2	No	9286	47.9%
3	Don't Know	4374	22.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#500 b2q86b: Local Govt. Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19412 /-] [Invalid=386 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Local Govt. Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3316	17.1%
2	No	11180	57.6%
3	Don't Know	4916	25.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#501 b2q86c: Local Govt. Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19378 /-] [Invalid=420 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Local Govt. Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2837	14.6%
2	No	10261	53.0%
3	Don't Know	6279	32.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#502 b2q87a: Civil Service Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19398 /-] [Invalid=400 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Civil Service Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#502 b2q87a: Civil Service Effective** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6776	34.9%
2	No	7908	40.8%
3	Don't Know	4713	24.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#503 b2q87b: Civil Service Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19403 /-] [Invalid=395 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Civil Service Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4128	21.3%
2	No	10089	52.0%
3	Don't Know	5186	26.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#504 b2q87c: Civil Service Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19368 /-] [Invalid=430 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Civil Service Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3410	17.6%
2	No	9374	48.4%
3	Don't Know	6582	34.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#505 b2q88a: NNPC Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19399 /-] [Invalid=399 /-] [Mean=1.944 /-] [StdDev=0.749 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	NNPC Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#506 b2q88b: NNPC Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19404 /-] [Invalid=394 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	NNPC Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3164	16.3%
2	No	10782	55.6%
3	Don't Know	5458	28.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#507 b2q88c: NNPC Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19363 /-] [Invalid=435 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	NNPC Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2968	15.3%
2	No	9672	50.0%
3	Don't Know	6722	34.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#508 b2q89a: Police force Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19380 /-] [Invalid=418 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Police force Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4375	22.6%
2	No	10652	55.0%
3	Don't Know	4353	22.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#509 b2q89b: Police force Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19083 /-] [Invalid=715 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Police force Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2163	11.3%
2	No	11862	62.2%
3	Don't Know	5058	26.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#510 b2q89c: Police force Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19354 /-] [Invalid=444 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Police force Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2557	13.2%
2	No	10662	55.1%
3	Don't Know	6135	31.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#511 b2q810a: Customs service Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19376 /-] [Invalid=422 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Customs service Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5301	27.4%
2	No	8928	46.1%
3	Don't Know	5147	26.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#512 b2q810b: Customs service Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19393 /-] [Invalid=405 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Customs service Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2840	14.6%
2	No	11000	56.7%
3	Don't Know	5553	28.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#513 b2q810c: Customs service Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19355 /-] [Invalid=443 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Customs service Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2677	13.8%
2	No	9895	51.1%
3	Don't Know	6783	35.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#514 b2q811a: Immigration Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19366 /-] [Invalid=432 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Immigration Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5350	27.6%
2	No	8761	45.2%
3	Don't Know	5255	27.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#515 b2q811b: Immigration Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19371 /-] [Invalid=427 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Immigration Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2981	15.4%
2	No	10691	55.2%
3	Don't Know	5699	29.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#516 b2q811c: Immigration Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19334 /-] [Invalid=464 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Immigration Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2610	13.5%
2	No	9850	50.9%
3	Don't Know	6874	35.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#517 b2q812a: PHCN Effective**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19329 /-] [Invalid=469 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	PHCN Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4136	21.4%
2	No	10518	54.4%
3	Don't Know	4675	24.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#518 b2q812b: PHCN Transparent			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19326 /-] [Invalid=472 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	PHCN Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2279	11.8%
2	No	11957	61.9%
3	Don't Know	5090	26.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#519 b2q812c: PHCN Predictable			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19212 /-] [Invalid=586 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	PHCN Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2241	11.7%
2	No	10692	55.7%
3	Don't Know	6277	32.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#520 b2q813a: Others (specify) Effective			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11992 /-] [Invalid=7806 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify) Effective		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1288	10.7%
2	No	4414	36.8%
3	Don't Know	6290	52.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#521 b2q813b: Others (specify) Transparent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11857 /-] [Invalid=7941 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify) Transparent		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	845	7.1%
2	No	4708	39.7%
3	Don't Know	6304	53.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#522 b2q813c: Others (specify) Predictable**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11472 /-] [Invalid=8326 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the following institutions of public administration in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify) Predictable		
Interviewer's instructions	Fill in the boxes, using the scales stated		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	642	5.6%
2	No	4051	35.3%
3	Don't Know	6779	59.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#523 b2q9a: Timely**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19413 /-] [Invalid=385 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe the information you get about how government spend money?		
Literal question	1. Timely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2925	15.1%
2	No	6888	35.5%
3	Don't Know	9600	49.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#524 b2q9b: Comprehensive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*		
-------------	---	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#524 b2q9b: Comprehensive** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19352 /-] [Invalid=446 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe the information you get about how government spend money?		
Literal question	2. Comprehensive		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1522	7.9%
2	No	7967	41.2%
3	Don't Know	9863	51.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#525 b2q9c: Frequent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19325 /-] [Invalid=473 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you describe the information you get about how government spend money?		
Literal question	3. Frequent		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1973	10.2%
2	No	7336	38.0%
3	Don't Know	10016	51.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#526 b2q10a: Information through advert**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19275 /-] [Invalid=523 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following transparency measures are observed in government business in Nigeria?		
Literal question	1. Publishing Government's procurement information through advert in the Newspapers, Internet, Gazette etc.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6254	32.4%
2	No	3872	20.1%
3	Don't Know	9149	47.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#527 b2q10b: Consulting major stakeholders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19222 /-] [Invalid=576 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following transparency measures are observed in government business in Nigeria?
Literal question	2. Consulting major stake-holders, and civil society organisations and given them up to



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#527 b2q10b: Consulting major stakeholders (cont.)**

date and complete information on the governance processes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3159	16.4%
2	No	6196	32.2%
3	Don't Know	9867	51.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#528 b2q10c: Government project and expenditure**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19227 /-] [Invalid=571 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following transparency measures are observed in government business in Nigeria?		
Literal question	3. Giving the media and other stakeholders effective access to some internal documents on Government's projects and expenditure.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3597	18.7%
2	No	5807	30.2%
3	Don't Know	9823	51.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#529 b2q10d: Development project that effect peoples lives**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19212 /-] [Invalid=586 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following transparency measures are observed in government business in Nigeria?		
Literal question	4. Giving the people the opportunity to directly supervise and/or make inputs into development projects that affect their lives.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3083	16.0%
2	No	6664	34.7%
3	Don't Know	9465	49.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#530 b2q10e: Budget and expenditure procurements**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19053 /-] [Invalid=745 /-]		
Pre-question	Which of the following transparency measures are observed in government business in Nigeria?		
Literal question	5. Allowing civil society organization access to monitor and publish findings on public budgets, expenditures and procurements.		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#530 b2q10e: Budget and expenditure procurements (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2891	15.2%
2	No	6273	32.9%
3	Don't Know	9889	51.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#531 b2q11a: B2Q11A

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-33] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=19378 /-]		
Pre-question	Can you briefly suggest ways by which Government can effectively manage the Nigeria economy?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	a	314	78.5%
2	b	27	6.8%
3	c	39	9.8%
4	d	3	0.8%
5	e	2	0.5%
6	f	0	0.0%
7	g	0	0.0%
8	h	0	0.0%
9	i	0	0.0%
10	j	0	0.0%
11	k	9	2.3%
12	l	4	1.0%
13	m	1	0.3%
14	n	1	0.3%
15	o	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#532 b2q11b: B2Q11B

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=65 /-] [Invalid=19733 /-]		
Pre-question	Can you briefly suggest ways by which Government can effectively manage the Nigeria economy?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	a	5	7.8%
2	b	38	59.4%
3	c	5	7.8%
4	d	5	7.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#532 b2q11b: B2Q11B** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	e	0	0.0%
6	f	0	0.0%
7	g	0	0.0%
8	h	0	0.0%
9	i	0	0.0%
10	j	1	1.6%
11	k	9	14.1%
12	l	1	1.6%
13	m	0	0.0%
14	n	0	0.0%
15	o	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#533 b2q11c: B2Q11C**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42 /-] [Invalid=19756 /-]		
Pre-question	Can you briefly suggest ways by which Government can effectively manage the Nigeria economy?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	a	3	7.1%
2	b	2	4.8%
3	c	21	50.0%
4	d	3	7.1%
5	e	0	0.0%
6	f	1	2.4%
7	g	0	0.0%
8	h	0	0.0%
9	i	0	0.0%
10	j	1	2.4%
11	k	6	14.3%
12	l	5	11.9%
13	m	0	0.0%
14	n	0	0.0%
15	o	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#534 b2q12a: Access to agricultural inputs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#534 b2q12a: Access to agricultural inputs** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19164 /-] [Invalid=634 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	1. Access to agricultural inputs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	1358	7.1%
2	adequate	3915	20.4%
3	Fairly adequate	5959	31.1%
4	Inadequate	5952	31.1%
5	Very inadequate	1980	10.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#535 b2q12b: Marketing of agricultural produce**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19121 /-] [Invalid=677 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	2. Marketing of agricultural produce

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	1224	6.4%
2	adequate	3964	20.7%
3	Fairly adequate	5878	30.7%
4	Inadequate	6126	32.0%
5	Very inadequate	1929	10.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#536 b2q12c: Access to agricultural extension services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19111 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	3. Access to agricultural extension services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	960	5.0%
2	adequate	3270	17.1%
3	Fairly adequate	5425	28.4%
4	Inadequate	7145	37.4%
5	Very inadequate	2310	12.1%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#536 b2q12c: Access to agricultural extension services** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#537 b2q12d: Access to credit**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19110 /-] [Invalid=688 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	4. Access to credit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	629	3.3%
2	adequate	2771	14.5%
3	Fairly adequate	4454	23.3%
4	Inadequate	7872	41.2%
5	Very inadequate	3384	17.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#538 b2q12e: Marketing of products from small and micro enterprises**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19060 /-] [Invalid=738 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	5. Marketing of products from Small and Micro enterprises

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	599	3.1%
2	adequate	2886	15.1%
3	Fairly adequate	5155	27.0%
4	Inadequate	7535	39.5%
5	Very inadequate	2885	15.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#539 b2q12f: Marketing of livestock products**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19025 /-] [Invalid=773 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	6. Marketing of livestock products

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#539 b2q12f: Marketing of livestock products** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	650	3.4%
2	adequate	3329	17.5%
3	Fairly adequate	5459	28.7%
4	Inadequate	7011	36.9%
5	Very inadequate	2575	13.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#540 b2q12g: Fisheries**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18052 /-] [Invalid=1746 /-]
Pre-question	In your judgment, how would you rate the government's effort to improve and promote the growth of the following areas?
Literal question	7. Fisheries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	611	3.4%
2	adequate	3154	17.5%
3	Fairly adequate	4560	25.3%
4	Inadequate	6647	36.8%
5	Very inadequate	3080	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#541 b2q13: Do you save in financial institution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19273 /-] [Invalid=525 /-]
Pre-question	Do you save in a financial institution (i.e. bank, micro credit institutions, and cooperatives)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2993	15.5%
2	No	16269	84.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#542 b2q14a: Bank**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3026 /-] [Invalid=16772 /-]
Pre-question	where do you save?
Literal question	1. Bank

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#542 b2q14a: Bank** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	If yes,Enter code 1 if place of save or 2 if not place of save		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2628	86.9%
2	No	396	13.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#543 b2q14b: Micro credit institution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2891 /-] [Invalid=16907 /-]		
Pre-question	where do you save?		
Literal question	2. Micro credit institution		
Interviewer's instructions	If yes,Enter code 1 if place of save or 2 if not place of save		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	245	8.5%
2	No	2643	91.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#544 b2q14c: Cooperative**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2890 /-] [Invalid=16908 /-]		
Pre-question	where do you save?		
Literal question	3. Cooperative		
Interviewer's instructions	If yes,Enter code 1 if place of save or 2 if not place of save		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	564	19.5%
2	No	2326	80.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#545 b2q14d: Insurance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2873 /-] [Invalid=16925 /-]		
Pre-question	where do you save?		
Literal question	4. Insurance		
Interviewer's instructions	If yes,Enter code 1 if place of save or 2 if not place of save		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#545 b2q14d: Insurance** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	212	7.4%
2	No	2661	92.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#546 b2q14e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1984 /-] [Invalid=17814 /-]		
Pre-question	where do you save?		
Literal question	5. Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	If yes,Enter code 1 if place of save or 2 if not place of save		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	182	9.2%
2	No	1801	90.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#547 b2q15: Saving rate for over 2 years**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3195 /-] [Invalid=16603 /-]		
Pre-question	Would you say that your savings rate over the past 2 years has?		
Interviewer's instructions	Skip Q.16		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased a lot	263	8.2%
2	Increased	1172	36.7%
3	Not changed	1014	31.7%
4	Decreased	588	18.4%
5	Decreased a lot	158	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#548 b2q16: Why you do not save**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15956 /-] [Invalid=3842 /-]		
Pre-question	what is the main reason why you do not save?		
Interviewer's instructions	If no in Q 13,		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Level of minimum	548	3.4%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#548 b2q16: Why you do not save (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Distance of the nearest financial institution	1374	8.6%
3	I do not have extra money to save	13442	84.3%
4	others (specify)	590	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#549 b2q171a: Licensing of business - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19200 /-] [Invalid=598 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Licensing of business - State		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	1002	5.2%
2	Improved	4627	24.1%
3	Fairly improved	8216	42.8%
4	Become worse	3659	19.1%
5	Become a lot worse	1695	8.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#550 b2q171b: Licensing of business - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19208 /-] [Invalid=590 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Licensing of business - LGA		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	777	4.0%
2	Improved	3879	20.2%
3	Fairly improved	7331	38.2%
4	Become worse	4813	25.1%
5	Become a lot worse	2408	12.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#551 b2q172a: Maintenance of local markets - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19239 /-] [Invalid=559 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#551 b2q172a: Maintenance of local markets - State** (cont.)

Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Maintenance of local markets - State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	780	4.1%
2	Improved	4393	22.8%
3	Fairly improved	7587	39.4%
4	Become worse	4388	22.8%
5	Become a lot worse	2091	10.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#552 b2q172b: Maintenance of local markets - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19282 /-] [Invalid=516 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Maintenance of local markets - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	687	3.6%
2	Improved	4012	20.8%
3	Fairly improved	6936	36.0%
4	Become worse	4946	25.7%
5	Become a lot worse	2701	14.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#553 b2q173a: Provision for hawking - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19178 /-] [Invalid=620 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Provision for hawking - State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	662	3.5%
2	Improved	3514	18.3%
3	Fairly improved	7158	37.3%
4	Become worse	5283	27.5%
5	Become a lot worse	2561	13.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#554 b2q173b: Provision for hawking - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19207 /-] [Invalid=591 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Provision for hawking - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	531	2.8%
2	Improved	3005	15.6%
3	Fairly improved	6489	33.8%
4	Become worse	6037	31.4%
5	Become a lot worse	3145	16.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#555 b2q174a: Fire fighting - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19223 /-] [Invalid=575 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Fire fighting - State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	690	3.6%
2	Improved	3521	18.3%
3	Fairly improved	6261	32.6%
4	Become worse	5784	30.1%
5	Become a lot worse	2967	15.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#556 b2q174b: Fire fighting - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19227 /-] [Invalid=571 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Fire fighting - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	469	2.4%
2	Improved	2492	13.0%
3	Fairly improved	5779	30.1%
4	Become worse	6672	34.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#556 b2q174b: Fire fighting - LGA** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Become a lot worse	3815	19.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#557 b2q175a: Planning of cities/towns - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19256 /-] [Invalid=542 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Planning of cities/towns - State		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	901	4.7%
2	Improved	3866	20.1%
3	Fairly improved	6637	34.5%
4	Become worse	4906	25.5%
5	Become a lot worse	2946	15.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#558 b2q175b: Planning of cities/towns - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19242 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Planning of cities/towns - LGA		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	510	2.7%
2	Improved	2880	15.0%
3	Fairly improved	6120	31.8%
4	Become worse	6049	31.4%
5	Become a lot worse	3683	19.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#559 b2q176a: Water supply - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19264 /-] [Invalid=534 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#559 b2q176a: Water supply - State** (cont.)

Literal question		Water supply - State	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	829	4.3%
2	Improved	3952	20.5%
3	Fairly improved	6475	33.6%
4	Become worse	4811	25.0%
5	Become a lot worse	3197	16.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#560 b2q176b: Water supply - LGA**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19267 /-] [Invalid=531 /-]	
Pre-question		How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:	
Literal question		Water supply - LGA	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	525	2.7%
2	Improved	2875	14.9%
3	Fairly improved	5903	30.6%
4	Become worse	5979	31.0%
5	Become a lot worse	3985	20.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#561 b2q177a: Sewarage - State**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19193 /-] [Invalid=605 /-]	
Pre-question		How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:	
Literal question		Sewarage - State	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	570	3.0%
2	Improved	3154	16.4%
3	Fairly improved	6418	33.4%
4	Become worse	5744	29.9%
5	Become a lot worse	3307	17.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#562 b2q177b: Sewarage - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19214 /-] [Invalid=584 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Sewarage - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	406	2.1%
2	Improved	2490	13.0%
3	Fairly improved	5565	29.0%
4	Become worse	6714	34.9%
5	Become a lot worse	4039	21.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#563 b2q178a: Garbage collection - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19229 /-] [Invalid=569 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Garbage collection - State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	600	3.1%
2	Improved	3462	18.0%
3	Fairly improved	6524	33.9%
4	Become worse	5399	28.1%
5	Become a lot worse	3244	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#564 b2q178b: Garbage collection - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19244 /-] [Invalid=554 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Garbage collection - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	416	2.2%
2	Improved	2564	13.3%
3	Fairly improved	5519	28.7%
4	Become worse	6619	34.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#564 b2q178b: Garbage collection - LGA** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Become a lot worse	4126	21.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#565 b2q179a: Housing - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19199 /-] [Invalid=599 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing - State		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	515	2.7%
2	Improved	3065	16.0%
3	Fairly improved	6019	31.4%
4	Become worse	5779	30.1%
5	Become a lot worse	3821	19.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#566 b2q179b: Housing - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19209 /-] [Invalid=589 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Housing - LGA		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	357	1.9%
2	Improved	2210	11.5%
3	Fairly improved	5053	26.3%
4	Become worse	6980	36.3%
5	Become a lot worse	4609	24.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#567 b2q1710a: Street lighting - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19208 /-] [Invalid=590 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#567 b2q1710a: Street lighting - State** (cont.)

Literal question		Street lighting - State	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	748	3.9%
2	Improved	3153	16.4%
3	Fairly improved	5596	29.1%
4	Become worse	5749	29.9%
5	Become a lot worse	3962	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#568 b2q1710b: Street lighting - LGA**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19222 /-] [Invalid=576 /-]	
Pre-question		How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:	
Literal question		Street lighting - LGA	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	421	2.2%
2	Improved	2081	10.8%
3	Fairly improved	4707	24.5%
4	Become worse	7027	36.6%
5	Become a lot worse	4986	25.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#569 b2q1711a: Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - State**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19219 /-] [Invalid=579 /-]	
Pre-question		How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:	
Literal question		Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - State	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	890	4.6%
2	Improved	3669	19.1%
3	Fairly improved	6316	32.9%
4	Become worse	4949	25.8%
5	Become a lot worse	3395	17.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#570 b2q1711b: Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - LGA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19222 /-] [Invalid=576 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Building and maintenance roads with town/cities - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	480	2.5%
2	Improved	2490	13.0%
3	Fairly improved	5431	28.3%
4	Become worse	6437	33.5%
5	Become a lot worse	4384	22.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#571 b2q1712a: Security - State			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19172 /-] [Invalid=626 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Security - State		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	600	3.1%
2	Improved	2994	15.6%
3	Fairly improved	6121	31.9%
4	Become worse	5717	29.8%
5	Become a lot worse	3740	19.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#572 b2q1712b: Security - LGA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19171 /-] [Invalid=627 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Security - LGA		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	403	2.1%
2	Improved	2588	13.5%
3	Fairly improved	5487	28.6%
4	Become worse	6342	33.1%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#572 b2q1712b: Security - LGA** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Become a lot worse	4351	22.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#573 b2q1713a: Magistrate courts - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18455 /-] [Invalid=1343 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Magistrate courts - State		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	690	3.7%
2	Improved	3606	19.5%
3	Fairly improved	6921	37.5%
4	Become worse	4372	23.7%
5	Become a lot worse	2866	15.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#574 b2q1713b: Magistrate Courts - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18387 /-] [Invalid=1411 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate your Local Government and the your State Government with regard to service delivery in the following areas:		
Literal question	Magistrate Courts - LGA		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved a lot	471	2.6%
2	Improved	2913	15.8%
3	Fairly improved	6561	35.7%
4	Become worse	5042	27.4%
5	Become a lot worse	3400	18.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#575 b2q18: Levies charged by local councils**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18770 /-] [Invalid=1028 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how would you describe the level of rates and levies charged by your local councils?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#575 b2q18: Levies charged by local councils** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	750	4.0%
2	Low	2554	13.6%
3	Fair	7632	40.7%
4	High	5443	29.0%
5	Very	2391	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#576 b3q1a: Empowerment of FIRS through legislation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18223 /-] [Invalid=1575 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		
Literal question	Empowerment of Federal Inland Revenue Service through legislation		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9280	50.9%
2	No	8938	49.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#577 b3q1b: Port concession**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18207 /-] [Invalid=1591 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		
Literal question	Port concession		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7047	38.7%
2	No	11160	61.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#578 b3q1c: Nigerian customs service reforms**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18259 /-] [Invalid=1539 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#578 b3q1c: Nigerian customs service reforms** (cont.)

Literal question	Nigerian customs service reforms		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9535	52.2%
2	No	8724	47.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#579 b3q1d: Increase tariffs on utilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18239 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		
Literal question	Increase tariffs on utilities		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8539	46.8%
2	No	9699	53.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#580 b3q1e: Re-capitalization of banks**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18292 /-] [Invalid=1506 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		
Literal question	Re-capitalization of banks		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11363	62.1%
2	No	6928	37.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#581 b3q1f: Establishment of revenue allocation and mobilization committ**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18242 /-] [Invalid=1556 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#581 b3q1f: Establishment of revenue allocation and mobilization committ** (cont.)

Literal question	Establishment of revenue allocation and mobilization committ		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9427	51.7%
2	No	8814	48.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#582 b3q1g: Expansion of business through micro-credit facilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18196 /-] [Invalid=1602 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		
Literal question	Expansion of business through micro-credit facilities		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8497	46.7%
2	No	9699	53.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#583 b3q1h: Introduction of value addedd tax**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18002 /-] [Invalid=1796 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		
Literal question	Introduction of value addedd tax		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8630	47.9%
2	No	9371	52.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#584 b3q1i: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14653 /-] [Invalid=5145 /-]		
Pre-question	What has the Nigerian government done to increase domestic resource mobilisation including public and private savings and capital formation?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#584 b3q1i: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2220	15.2%
2	No	12429	84.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#585 b3qa: Distribution and Allocation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18340 /-] [Invalid=1458 /-]		
Pre-question	Are there laws and institutions put in place to promote effective resource mobilisation, distribution and allocation?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6549	35.7%
2	No	2902	15.8%
3	Don't know	8888	48.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#586 b3q3a: Port concession**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12186 /-] [Invalid=7612 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Port concession		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4755	39.5%
2	No	7271	60.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#587 b3q3b: Revenue Allocation Bill**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12157 /-] [Invalid=7641 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Revenue Allocation Bill		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6189	51.4%
2	No	5849	48.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#588 b3q3c: Fiscal Responsibility Bill**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12091 /-] [Invalid=7707 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Fiscal Responsibility Bill		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5138	42.9%
2	No	6827	57.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#589 b3q3d: Pension Reform**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12055 /-] [Invalid=7743 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Pension Reform		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5642	47.2%
2	No	6312	52.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#590 b3q3e: Value Added Tax**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12029 /-] [Invalid=7769 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Value Added Tax		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5777	48.5%
2	No	6144	51.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#591 b3q3f: Flexible Businesses Regulations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11748 /-] [Invalid=8050 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Flexible Businesses Regulations		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#591 b3q3f: Flexible Businesses Regulations** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3875	33.4%
2	No	7735	66.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#592 b3q3g: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9936 /-] [Invalid=9862 /-]		
Pre-question	What are these laws and institutions?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1683	17.1%
2	No	8177	82.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#593 b3q4k: Resource allocation - State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19377 /-] [Invalid=421 /-]		
Pre-question	How favourable is the resource allocation framework to your State, LGA and community?		
Literal question	Resource allocation - State		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favourable	5512	28.4%
2	Unfavourable	7512	38.8%
3	Don't Know	6353	32.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#594 b3q4l: Resource allocation - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19376 /-] [Invalid=422 /-]		
Pre-question	How favourable is the resource allocation framework to your State, LGA and community?		
Literal question	Resource allocation - LGA		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favourable	4245	21.9%
2	Unfavourable	8893	45.9%
3	Don't Know	6237	32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#595 b3q4m: Resource allocation - Comm**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19307 /-] [Invalid=491 /-]		
Pre-question	How favourable is the resource allocation framework to your State, LGA and community?		
Literal question	Resource allocation - Comm		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favourable	2844	14.7%
2	Unfavourable	9739	50.4%
3	Don't Know	6724	34.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#596 b3q5a: Favourable Allocation - Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16019 /-] [Invalid=3779 /-]		
Pre-question	What should be more favourable Allocation?		
Literal question	Favourable Allocation - Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	If unfavourable in Q4,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More Allocation	6984	43.6%
2	Less Allocation	3758	23.5%
3	Don't Know	5277	32.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#597 b3q5b: Favourable Allocation - Statel**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15813 /-] [Invalid=3985 /-]		
Pre-question	What should be more favourable Allocation?		
Literal question	Favourable Allocation - Statel		
Interviewer's instructions	If unfavourable in Q4,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More Allocation	7993	50.5%
2	Less Allocation	3000	19.0%
3	Don't Know	4820	30.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#598 b3q5c: Favourable Allocation - LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#598 b3q5c: Favourable Allocation - LGA** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15938 /-] [Invalid=3860 /-]		
Pre-question	What should be more favourable Allocation?		
Literal question	Favourable Allocation - LGA		
Interviewer's instructions	If unfavourable in Q4,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More Allocation	8850	55.5%
2	Less Allocation	2378	14.9%
3	Don't Know	4710	29.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#599 b3q5d: Favourable Allocation - Comm**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16107 /-] [Invalid=3691 /-]		
Pre-question	What should be more favourable Allocation?		
Literal question	Favourable Allocation - Comm		
Interviewer's instructions	If unfavourable in Q4,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More Allocation	9224	57.3%
2	Less Allocation	2144	13.3%
3	Don't Know	4739	29.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#600 b3q6a: Transparency of monthly allocation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18128 /-] [Invalid=1670 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Transparency of monthly allocation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10211	56.4%
2	No	7883	43.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#601 b3q6b: Publication of Allocation to the 3 tiers of govt.**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18085 /-] [Invalid=1713 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#601 b3q6b: Publication of Allocation to the 3 tiers of govt. (cont.)**

Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Publication of Allocation to the 3 tiers of govt.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9702	53.7%
2	No	8358	46.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#602 b3q6c: Budget Discipline**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17999 /-] [Invalid=1799 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Budget Discipline		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8263	46.0%
2	No	9709	54.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#603 b3q6d: Public Procurement Reform**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18024 /-] [Invalid=1774 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Public Procurement Reform		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7696	42.8%
2	No	10302	57.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#604 b3q6e: Establishment of EFCC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18186 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Establishment of EFCC		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#604 b3q6e: Establishment of EFCC** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13936	76.7%
2	No	4228	23.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#605 b3q6f: Due Process Principle in Public Establishments**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17988 /-] [Invalid=1810 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Due Process Principle in Public Establishments		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9520	53.0%
2	No	8442	47.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#606 b3q6g: Establishment of ICPC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17977 /-] [Invalid=1821 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Establishment of ICPC		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11147	62.1%
2	No	6801	37.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#607 b3q6h: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14249 /-] [Invalid=5549 /-]		
Pre-question	What are the key measures taken by government to ensure effectiveness and transparency of its public finances management?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2212	15.5%
2	No	12018	84.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#608 b3q7a: Identifying development projects**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19267 /-] [Invalid=531 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of population participation in government affairs at the local level?		
Literal question	Identifying development projects		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very involved	1633	8.5%
2	Involved	3472	18.0%
3	Fairly involved	5818	30.2%
4	Not involved	4696	24.4%
5	Not at all involved	3648	18.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#609 b3q7b: Planning for the development projects**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19229 /-] [Invalid=569 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of population participation in government affairs at the local level?		
Literal question	Planning for the development projects		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very involved	1272	6.6%
2	Involved	3455	18.0%
3	Fairly involved	5442	28.3%
4	Not involved	5146	26.8%
5	Not at all involved	3914	20.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#610 b3q7c: Prioritizing the development projects**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19183 /-] [Invalid=615 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of population participation in government affairs at the local level?		
Literal question	Prioritizing the development projects		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very involved	908	4.7%
2	Involved	3013	15.7%
3	Fairly involved	5383	28.1%
4	Not involved	5806	30.3%
5	Not at all involved	4073	21.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#611 b3q7d: Implementation of the development project**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19173 /-] [Invalid=625 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of population participation in government affairs at the local level?		
Literal question	Implementation of the development project		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very involved	1105	5.8%
2	Involved	2812	14.7%
3	Fairly involved	5570	29.1%
4	Not involved	5590	29.2%
5	Not at all involved	4096	21.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#612 b3q7e: Supervision/monitoring of development projects**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18669 /-] [Invalid=1129 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of population participation in government affairs at the local level?		
Literal question	Supervision/monitoring of development projects		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very involved	1054	5.6%
2	Involved	2824	15.1%
3	Fairly involved	5042	27.0%
4	Not involved	5435	29.1%
5	Not at all involved	4314	23.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#613 b3q8: Constituency development fund**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19424 /-] [Invalid=374 /-]		
Pre-question	Should your Senator/House of Rep. member/State House of Assembly members exclusively be in charge of constituency development Fund?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4221	21.7%
2	No	15190	78.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#614 b3qb: Degree of transparency**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19539 /-] [Invalid=259 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the degree of transparency with which the Constituency Development Fund in your constituency is used?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fully transparent	827	4.2%
2	Fairly transparent	2879	14.7%
3	Transparent to a small extent	2968	15.2%
4	Not transparent at all	9373	48.0%
5	Don't Know	3492	17.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#615 b3q1j: Useful of development projects**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19501 /-] [Invalid=297 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, would you say that the Constituency Development Fund (CDF) is used to fund deserving and useful development projects in your community?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1710	8.8%
2	No	11893	61.1%
3	Don't Know	5863	30.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#616 b3q1k: Money allocated to your LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19513 /-] [Invalid=285 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, would you say the money allocated to your local Government area council from the Federation Account is?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very adequate	1605	8.2%
2	Adequate	3464	17.8%
3	Fairly adequate	3021	15.5%
4	Inadequate	3958	20.3%
5	Not at all adequate	2161	11.1%
6	Don't Know	5304	27.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#617 b3q12: Improve service delivery in your LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19505 /-] [Invalid=293 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level to which the Local Govt. allocation is used on projects that improve service delivery in your LGA?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fully Used	652	3.3%
2	Used to a large extent	1519	7.8%
3	Used to a moderate extent	2902	14.9%
4	Used to a small extent	6185	31.7%
5	Not used at all	4873	25.0%
6	Don't Know	3374	17.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#618 b3q13: Degree of development project carried out by your LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19322 /-] [Invalid=476 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the degree to which you are informed about the financial management of development projects carried out by your local Govt?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fully informed	497	2.6%
2	Informed to a large extent	1480	7.7%
3	Fairly informed	2309	12.0%
4	Informed to a small extent	3162	16.4%
5	Not at all informed	11871	61.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#619 b3q14a: Derivation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19328 /-] [Invalid=470 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following principles of revenue allocation in order of importance		
Literal question	Derivation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	4190	21.7%
2	Important	4918	25.4%
3	Moderately important	3463	17.9%
4	Least important	1239	6.4%
5	Not Important	854	4.4%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#619 b3q14a: Derivation (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Don't Know	4664	24.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#620 b3q14b: Population**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19365 /-] [Invalid=433 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following principles of revenue allocation in order of importance		
Literal question	Population		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	4328	22.3%
2	Important	5760	29.7%
3	Moderately important	3442	17.8%
4	Least important	1110	5.7%
5	Not Important	717	3.7%
6	Don't Know	4008	20.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#621 b3q14c: Landmass/Terrain**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19267 /-] [Invalid=531 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following principles of revenue allocation in order of importance		
Literal question	Landmass/Terrain		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2038	10.6%
2	Important	4597	23.9%
3	Moderately important	3781	19.6%
4	Least important	2478	12.9%
5	Not Important	1635	8.5%
6	Don't Know	4738	24.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#622 b3q14d: Equality of state/lga**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19319 /-] [Invalid=479 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following principles of revenue allocation in order of importance		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#622 b3q14d: Equality of state/lga** (cont.)

Literal question	Equality of state/lga		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2914	15.1%
2	Important	5012	25.9%
3	Moderately important	3741	19.4%
4	Least important	2090	10.8%
5	Not Important	1213	6.3%
6	Don't Know	4349	22.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#623 b3q14e: Social Development**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19277 /-] [Invalid=521 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following principles of revenue allocation in order of importance		
Literal question	Social Development		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2715	14.1%
2	Important	5239	27.2%
3	Moderately important	3989	20.7%
4	Least important	1844	9.6%
5	Not Important	1300	6.7%
6	Don't Know	4190	21.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#624 b3q14f: Revenue Efforts**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19053 /-] [Invalid=745 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following principles of revenue allocation in order of importance		
Literal question	Revenue Efforts		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2836	14.9%
2	Important	5696	29.9%
3	Moderately important	3344	17.6%
4	Least important	1560	8.2%
5	Not Important	1094	5.7%
6	Don't Know	4523	23.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#625 b3q15a: Fiscal Decentralisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19260 /-] [Invalid=538 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following		
Literal question	Fiscal Decentralisation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	6850	35.6%
2	Not Promote	3643	18.9%
3	Don't Know	8761	45.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#626 b3q15b: Resource mobilisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19290 /-] [Invalid=508 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following		
Literal question	Resource mobilisation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	7933	41.1%
2	Not Promote	3102	16.1%
3	Don't Know	8252	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#627 b3q15c: Inter-Government Harmony**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19237 /-] [Invalid=561 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following		
Literal question	Inter-Government Harmony		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	6470	33.6%
2	Not Promote	4159	21.6%
3	Don't Know	8605	44.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#628 b3q15d: Equity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19252 /-] [Invalid=546 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#628 b3q15d: Equity** (cont.)

Literal question		Equity	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	7669	39.8%
2	Not Promote	3844	20.0%
3	Don't Know	7736	40.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#629 b3q15e: Even development**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19240 /-] [Invalid=558 /-]	
Pre-question		What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following	
Literal question		Even development	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	7390	38.4%
2	Not Promote	4214	21.9%
3	Don't Know	7633	39.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#630 b3q15f: Initiative and entrepreneurship**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19242 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]	
Pre-question		What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following	
Literal question		Initiative and entrepreneurship	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	6611	34.4%
2	Not Promote	4156	21.6%
3	Don't Know	8473	44.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#631 b3q15g: Financial recklessness**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=18845 /-] [Invalid=953 /-]	
Pre-question		What do you believe will be the impact of Resource Control on the following	
Literal question		Financial recklessness	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promote	3426	18.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#631 b3q15g: Financial recklessness** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Not Promote	6539	34.7%
3	Don't Know	8875	47.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#632 b3q1l: System of resource mobilisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19254 /-] [Invalid=544 /-]		
Pre-question	Is the system of Resource mobilisation and distribution decentralised in Nigeria?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3975	20.6%
2	No	6460	33.6%
3	Don't know	8816	45.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#633 b3q1m: What extent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4225 /-] [Invalid=15573 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent?		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly decentralized	1138	27.0%
2	Fairly decentralized	2253	53.5%
3	Just a little Decentralized	819	19.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#634 b3q1n: Effective of decentralization**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18667 /-] [Invalid=1131 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the effectiveness of decentralization?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly effective	1782	9.5%
2	Effective	2599	13.9%
3	Moderately effective	4304	23.1%
4	Not effective	2919	15.6%
5	Don't Know	7063	37.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#634 b3q1n: Effective of decentralization** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#635 b4q1: Corruption in Nigeria**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19512 /-] [Invalid=286 /-]

Pre-question Do you believe there is corruption in Nigeria?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	18075	92.7%
2	No	841	4.3%
3	Don't Know	590	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#636 b4q2: Process of Accountability in Nigeria**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19451 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]

Pre-question How efficient is the process of accountability in Nigeria?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly efficient	2945	15.1%
2	Inefficient	13169	67.7%
3	Don't Know	3335	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#637 b4q3a: ICPC**

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19422 /-] [Invalid=376 /-]

Pre-question Are the following legal and institutional measures for fighting corruption in Nigeria effective?

Literal question Independent Corrupt Practices and other related offences Commission (ICPC)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	2953	15.2%
2	Effective	6583	33.9%
3	Moderately Effective	4446	22.9%
4	Not effective	2460	12.7%
5	Don't Know	2980	15.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#638 b4q3b: EFCC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19446 /-] [Invalid=352 /-]		
Pre-question	Are the following legal and institutional measures for fighting corruption in Nigeria effective?		
Literal question	Economic & Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	5842	30.0%
2	Effective	6820	35.1%
3	Moderately Effective	3392	17.4%
4	Not effective	1380	7.1%
5	Don't Know	2012	10.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#639 b4q3c: NAFDAC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19397 /-] [Invalid=401 /-]		
Pre-question	Are the following legal and institutional measures for fighting corruption in Nigeria effective?		
Literal question	National Agency for Food and Drug Administration & Control (NAFDAC)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	6529	33.7%
2	Effective	6856	35.3%
3	Moderately Effective	2795	14.4%
4	Not effective	1130	5.8%
5	Don't Know	2087	10.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#640 b4q3d: NDLEA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19285 /-] [Invalid=513 /-]		
Pre-question	Are the following legal and institutional measures for fighting corruption in Nigeria effective?		
Literal question	National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	3289	17.1%
2	Effective	6579	34.1%
3	Moderately Effective	4182	21.7%
4	Not effective	2189	11.4%
5	Don't Know	3046	15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#641 b4q3e: Faith Based Organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18896 /-] [Invalid=902 /-]		
Pre-question	Are the following legal and institutional measures for fighting corruption in Nigeria effective?		
Literal question	Faith-Based Organization		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	1793	9.5%
2	Effective	4984	26.4%
3	Moderately Effective	3798	20.1%
4	Not effective	3412	18.1%
5	Don't Know	4909	26.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#642 b4q3f: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11696 /-] [Invalid=8102 /-]		
Pre-question	Are the following legal and institutional measures for fighting corruption in Nigeria effective?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	766	6.5%
2	Effective	2654	22.7%
3	Moderately Effective	1398	12.0%
4	Not effective	989	8.5%
5	Don't Know	5888	50.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#643 b4q4: Combating Corruption in Nigeria**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19356 /-] [Invalid=442 /-]		
Pre-question	Due process reform is one of the measures for combating corruption in the Nigerian public sector. How effective is the mechanism since its inception?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	1477	7.6%
2	Effective	4537	23.4%
3	Moderately Effective	5287	27.3%
4	Not effective	2469	12.8%
5	Don't Know	5585	28.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#644 b4q51a: Job Insecurity-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19391 /-] [Invalid=407 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Job Insecurity-Public		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15371	79.3%
2	No	4015	20.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#645 b4q51b: Job Insecurity-Private**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19409 /-] [Invalid=389 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Job Insecurity-Private		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15040	77.5%
2	No	4369	22.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#646 b4q52a: Low income and wages-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19416 /-] [Invalid=382 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Low income and wages-Public		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15699	80.9%
2	No	3717	19.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#647 b4q52b: Low income and wages-Private**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19386 /-] [Invalid=412 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#647 b4q52b: Low income and wages-Private** (cont.)

Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Low income and wages-Private		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	14160	73.0%
2	No	5226	27.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#648 b4q53a: Poverty-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19351 /-] [Invalid=447 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Poverty-Public		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	16311	84.3%
2	No	3040	15.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#649 b4q53b: Poverty-Private**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19348 /-] [Invalid=450 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Poverty-Private		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15025	77.7%
2	No	4323	22.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#650 b4q54a: Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19143 /-] [Invalid=655 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Public		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#650 b4q54a: Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Public (cont.)**

Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13469	70.4%
2	No	5674	29.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#651 b4q54b: Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Privat**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19310 /-] [Invalid=488 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Lack of effective sanctions for past corrupt official-Privat		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12701	65.8%
2	No	6609	34.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#652 b4q55a: Defective cultural value-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19280 /-] [Invalid=518 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Defective cultural value-Public		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11232	58.3%
2	No	8048	41.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#653 b4q55b: Defective cultural value-Private**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19261 /-] [Invalid=537 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Defective cultural value-Private		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#653 b4q55b: Defective cultural value-Private** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10877	56.5%
2	No	8384	43.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#654 b4q56a: Lack of effective-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19190 /-] [Invalid=608 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Lack of effective-Public		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12664	66.0%
2	No	6524	34.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#655 b4q56b: Lack of effective-Private**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19156 /-] [Invalid=642 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Lack of effective-Private		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11228	58.6%
2	No	7926	41.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#656 b4q57a: Others (specify)-Public**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15449 /-] [Invalid=4349 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Others (specify)-Public		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3239	21.0%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#656 b4q57a: Others (specify)-Public (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	12209	79.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#657 b4q57b: Others (specify)-Private**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15203 /-] [Invalid=4595 /-]		
Pre-question	What in your own opinion is/are the root causes of corruption in Nigeria's public and Private sector?		
Literal question	Others (specify)-Private		
Interviewer's instructions	Please tick as many as applicable		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2384	15.7%
2	No	12819	84.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#658 b4q6: Corrupt practices get adequate punishment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19446 /-] [Invalid=352 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think government officials who enrich themselves through corrupt practises get adequate punishment required to fight corruption?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3752	19.3%
2	No	12891	66.3%
3	Don't Know	2803	14.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#659 b4q7: Fight against corruption in Nigeria**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19488 /-] [Invalid=310 /-]		
Pre-question	Is the fight against corruption in Nigeria applied to everybody and every sector?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5187	26.6%
2	No	11650	59.8%
3	Don't Know	2651	13.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#660 b4q8: Do you think the Nigeria government is actually fighting co**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19516 /-] [Invalid=282 /-]		
Pre-question	Everything considered, do you think the Nigerian government is actually fighting corruption?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10011	51.3%
2	No	7147	36.6%
3	Don't Know	2351	12.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#661 b4q9: Ranking Government officials charged to court for corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19499 /-] [Invalid=299 /-]		
Pre-question	Considering the level of corruption in Nigeria, how would you described the number of high ranking Govt. officials charged to court for corruption?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large	2606	13.4%
2	Large	3189	16.4%
3	Small	4490	23.0%
4	Very Small	6650	34.1%
5	Don't Know	2564	13.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#662 b4q10: Ranking Government official convicted of corruption in Niger**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19504 /-] [Invalid=294 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you know of any high ranking government official convicted of corruption in Nigeria in the last 5 years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11032	56.6%
2	No	4707	24.1%
3	Don't Know	3756	19.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#663 b4q11: Poverty is widespread in Nigeria**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19524 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think corruption is a major reason why poverty is widespread in Nigeria?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#663 b4q11: Poverty is widespread in Nigeria (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15684	80.3%
2	No	2380	12.2%
3	Don't Know	1458	7.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#664 b4q12: Distribution of economic resources in Nigeria

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19508 /-] [Invalid=290 /-]		
Pre-question	Does corruption impact on the distribution of economic resources in Nigeria?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15323	78.6%
2	No	1887	9.7%
3	Don't Know	2296	11.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#665 b4q13: magnitude of money laundering in Nigeria

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19190 /-] [Invalid=608 /-]		
Pre-question	Give an assessment of the magnitude of money laundering in Nigeria		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High	13202	68.8%
2	Moderate	4332	22.6%
3	Low	1648	8.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#666 b4q14a: ICPC

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19478 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are these measures taken to combat money Laundering in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	2738	14.1%
2	Effective	6357	32.6%
3	Moderately Effective	5040	25.9%
4	Not effective	2409	12.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#666 b4q14a: ICPC** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Don't Know	2934	15.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#667 b4q14b: EFCC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19497 /-] [Invalid=301 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are these measures taken to combat money Laundering in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Economic & Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	5663	29.0%
2	Effective	6696	34.3%
3	Moderately Effective	3775	19.4%
4	Not effective	1271	6.5%
5	Don't Know	2092	10.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#668 b4q14c: Code of conduct bureau**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19340 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are these measures taken to combat money Laundering in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Code of conduct bureau		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	1470	7.6%
2	Effective	4301	22.2%
3	Moderately Effective	5568	28.8%
4	Not effective	3826	19.8%
5	Don't Know	4175	21.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#669 b4q14d: Prohibition of money Laundering Act**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19314 /-] [Invalid=484 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are these measures taken to combat money Laundering in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Prohibition of money Laundering Act		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#669 b4q14d: Prohibition of money Laundering Act (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	1473	7.6%
2	Effective	4358	22.6%
3	Moderately Effective	4817	24.9%
4	Not effective	3936	20.4%
5	Don't Know	4730	24.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#670 b4q14e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11712 /-] [Invalid=8086 /-]
Pre-question	How effective are these measures taken to combat money Laundering in Nigeria?
Literal question	Others (specify)

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Highly Effective	656	5.6%
2	Effective	2048	17.5%
3	Moderately Effective	1321	11.3%
4	Not effective	1064	9.1%
5	Don't Know	6622	56.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#671 b4q15: Corruption in the public sector**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19259 /-] [Invalid=539 /-]
Pre-question	Over the past 2 years, would you say that corruption in the public sector has?

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	912	4.7%
2	Decreased	4411	22.9%
3	Remained the same	3876	20.1%
4	Increased	6797	35.3%
5	Increase a lot	3263	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#672 b4q16a: Police department**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19492 /-] [Invalid=306 /-]

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#672 b4q16a: Police department** (cont.)

Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Police department		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	1046	5.4%
2	Decreased	2033	10.4%
3	Remained the same	3457	17.7%
4	Increased	12039	61.8%
5	Don't Know	914	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#673 b4q16b: Civil service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19476 /-] [Invalid=322 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Civil service (ministries, departments Agency etc.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	933	4.8%
2	Decreased	4090	21.0%
3	Remained the same	5377	27.6%
4	Increased	7220	37.1%
5	Don't Know	1853	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#674 b4q16c: Immigration department**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19467 /-] [Invalid=331 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Immigration department		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	858	4.4%
2	Decreased	3543	18.2%
3	Remained the same	4760	24.5%
4	Increased	7179	36.9%
5	Don't Know	3124	16.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#675 b4q16d: Licensing department**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19463 /-] [Invalid=335 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Licensing departments (Local authorities, Government institutions)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	701	3.6%
2	Decreased	3234	16.6%
3	Remained the same	4848	24.9%
4	Increased	7464	38.4%
5	Don't Know	3213	16.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#676 b4q16e: Parastatals**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19452 /-] [Invalid=346 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Parastatals (e.g. Fed Inland revenue services)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	815	4.2%
2	Decreased	3478	17.9%
3	Remained the same	5120	26.3%
4	Increased	6537	33.6%
5	Don't Know	3499	18.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#677 b4q16f: Public hospitals**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19447 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Public hospitals		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	1059	5.4%
2	Decreased	4454	22.9%
3	Remained the same	5240	26.9%
4	Increased	5987	30.8%
5	Don't Know	2704	13.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#678 b4q16g: Law courts**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19437 /-] [Invalid=361 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Law courts		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	1076	5.5%
2	Decreased	3613	18.6%
3	Remained the same	4663	24.0%
4	Increased	7045	36.3%
5	Don't Know	3037	15.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#679 b4q16h: Public schools/colleges/tertiary institutions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19440 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Public schools/colleges/tertiary institutions		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	930	4.8%
2	Decreased	3781	19.5%
3	Remained the same	4667	24.0%
4	Increased	7341	37.8%
5	Don't Know	2718	14.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#680 b4q16i: Customs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19311 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Customs		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	878	4.5%
2	Decreased	3307	17.1%
3	Remained the same	4211	21.8%
4	Increased	7885	40.8%
5	Don't Know	3027	15.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#681 b4q16j: Customs**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11814 /-] [Invalid=7984 /-]		
Pre-question	Thinking about the public sector, would you say that corruption in the following Departments has?		
Literal question	Customs		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Decreased a lot	502	4.2%
2	Decreased	1727	14.6%
3	Remained the same	1635	13.8%
4	Increased	3063	25.9%
5	Don't Know	4887	41.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#682 b4q17: Bribes are demanded for services rendered by the govt.**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19103 /-] [Invalid=695 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the frequency with which bribes are demanded for services rendered by the government over the last 2 years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bribes are not demanded	724	3.8%
2	Less frequently than before	5811	30.4%
3	Just as frequently as before	5569	29.2%
4	More frequently than before	6989	36.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#683 b5q1a: ECOWAS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16220 /-] [Invalid=3578 /-]		
Pre-question	Which regional economic or political organizations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Economic Community for West African States (ECOWAS)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many, If ECOWAS is ticked Skip Q2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11580	71.4%
2	No	4631	28.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#684 b5q1b: ECA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15526 /-] [Invalid=4272 /-]		
Pre-question	Which regional economic or political organizations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Economic community for Africa (ECA)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many, If ECOWAS is ticked Skip Q2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4055	26.1%
2	No	11468	73.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#685 b5q1c: ADB**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15527 /-] [Invalid=4271 /-]		
Pre-question	Which regional economic or political organizations are you aware of?		
Literal question	African Development Bank (ADB)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many, If ECOWAS is ticked Skip Q2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5684	36.6%
2	No	9840	63.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#686 b5q1d: AU**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15573 /-] [Invalid=4225 /-]		
Pre-question	Which regional economic or political organizations are you aware of?		
Literal question	African Union (AU)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many, If ECOWAS is ticked Skip Q2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9154	58.8%
2	No	6417	41.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#687 b5q1e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12842 /-] [Invalid=6956 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#687 b5q1e: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Pre-question	Which regional economic or political organizations are you aware of?		
Literal question	Others (Specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many, If ECOWAS is ticked Skip Q2		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1827	14.2%
2	No	11009	85.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#688 b5q2: Are you aware of ECOWAS**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8729 /-] [Invalid=11069 /-]		
Pre-question	If Economic Community for West African States is not mentioned ASK; Are you aware of the ECOWAS?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No or Don't know, Go to the next Thematic)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1462	16.8%
2	No	5207	59.7%
3	Don't know	2059	23.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#689 b5q3a: Variety of goods available**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11041 /-] [Invalid=8757 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?		
Literal question	Variety of goods available		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6765	61.3%
2	No	3835	34.7%
3	Don't know	439	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#690 b5q3b: Reduced prices of commodities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10346 /-] [Invalid=9452 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#690 b5q3b: Reduced prices of commodities (cont.)**

Literal question		Reduced prices of commodities	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4142	40.0%
2	No	5895	57.0%
3	Don't know	306	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#691 b5q3c: Increased job opportunities**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10592 /-] [Invalid=9206 /-]	
Pre-question		In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?	
Literal question		Increased job opportunities	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4559	43.1%
2	No	5481	51.8%
3	Don't know	548	5.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#692 b5q3d: Increased volume of trade**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10496 /-] [Invalid=9302 /-]	
Pre-question		In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?	
Literal question		Increased volume of trade	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5775	55.0%
2	No	4301	41.0%
3	Don't know	417	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#693 b5q3e: Improved security**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9975 /-] [Invalid=9823 /-]	
Pre-question		In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?	
Literal question		Improved security	



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#693 b5q3e: Improved security** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3201	32.1%
2	No	6428	64.5%
3	Don't know	343	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#694 b5q3f: Investment in-flow**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10068 /-] [Invalid=9730 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?		
Literal question	Investment in-flow		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3453	34.3%
2	No	6160	61.2%
3	Don't know	453	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#695 b5q3g: Human capital development**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9743 /-] [Invalid=10055 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?		
Literal question	Human capital development		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2593	26.6%
2	No	6740	69.2%
3	Don't know	405	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#696 b5q3h: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7847 /-] [Invalid=11951 /-]		
Pre-question	In your judgment, which three of the following would you say are the Nigerians' major benefits as a result of the ECOWAS co-operation?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#696 b5q3h: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	700	8.9%
2	No	6916	88.1%
3	Don't know	231	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#697 b5q4a: Loss of market share/competition**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10910 /-] [Invalid=8888 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion please rank the three major challenges arising from this co-operation?		
Literal question	Loss of market share/competition		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6549	61.2%
2	No	4145	38.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#698 b5q4b: Job losses to foreigners**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10694 /-] [Invalid=9104 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion please rank the three major challenges arising from this co-operation?		
Literal question	Job losses to foreigners		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5897	55.6%
2	No	4702	44.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#699 b5q4c: Increased insecurity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10815 /-] [Invalid=8983 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion please rank the three major challenges arising from this co-operation?		
Literal question	Increased insecurity		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6719	63.1%
2	No	3929	36.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#700 b5q4d: Increased illicit trade (smuggling)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10627 /-] [Invalid=9171 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion please rank the three major challenges arising from this co-operation?		
Literal question	Increased illicit trade (smuggling)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6412	61.1%
2	No	4078	38.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#701 b5q4e: None**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9574 /-] [Invalid=10224 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion please rank the three major challenges arising from this co-operation?		
Literal question	None		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1635	17.1%
2	No	7905	82.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#702 b5q4f: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7949 /-] [Invalid=11849 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion please rank the three major challenges arising from this co-operation?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	553	7.0%
2	No	7393	93.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#703 b5q5: Regional cooperation initiatives**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11462 /-] [Invalid=8336 /-]		
Pre-question	Based on these challenges and benefits, how would you rate the pace with which the Government should move with regional co-operation initiatives?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very slow	2448	21.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#703 b5q5: Regional cooperation initiatives** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Fast	4200	36.6%
3	Fairly Fast	3049	26.6%
4	Slow	1401	12.2%
5	Very slow	363	3.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#704 b5q6: Use of same currency**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11597 /-] [Invalid=8201 /-]
Pre-question	Do you think all members-states of ECOWAS should use the same currency?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4771	41.1%
2	No	5134	44.3%
3	Don't know	1691	14.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#705 b5q7: Promot sub-region economic trade or exchanges in the region**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11679 /-] [Invalid=8119 /-]
Pre-question	If all member-state of ECOWAS use the same currency, would it promote sub-region economic trade or exchanges in the region?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4968	42.5%
2	No	4075	34.9%
3	Don't know	2635	22.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#706 ob1qa: Do you own a business**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19486 /-] [Invalid=312 /-]
Pre-question	Do you own a business?
Interviewer's instructions	If No, skip to Question 7

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5057	26.0%
2	No	14417	74.0%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#706 ob1qa: Do you own a business** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#707 ob1q2: What type of business do you own**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5079 /-] [Invalid=14719 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of business do you own?		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kiosk	422	8.3%
2	Retail shop	2158	42.5%
3	Wholesale shop	584	11.5%
4	Professional Ser	820	16.2%
5	Manufacturing	274	5.4%
6	Others	819	16.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#708 ob1q3: How is your business performing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5065 /-] [Invalid=14733 /-]		
Pre-question	How is your business performing this year?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	322	6.4%
2	Well	1277	25.2%
3	Fair	2661	52.6%
4	Badly	701	13.8%
5	Very badly	102	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#709 ob1qb: Is your business better today**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5032 /-] [Invalid=14766 /-]		
Pre-question	Is your business better today than it was since 2003?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No or Don't know, skip to Question 7		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2360	46.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#709 ob1qb: Is your business better today** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	2259	44.9%
3	Don't know	411	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#710 ob1q5a: Increased in population**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2293 /-] [Invalid=17505 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons why your business is better today		
Literal question	Increased in population		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1577	68.9%
2	No	713	31.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#711 ob1q5b: Increased income**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2260 /-] [Invalid=17538 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons why your business is better today		
Literal question	Increased income		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1470	65.0%
2	No	790	35.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#712 ob1q5c: Access to Loan**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2207 /-] [Invalid=17591 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons why your business is better today		
Literal question	Access to Loan		
Post-question	If Yes,		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#712 ob1q5c: Access to Loan (cont.)**

Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	412	18.7%
2	No	1795	81.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#713 ob1q5d: More Patronage**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2261 /-] [Invalid=17537 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons why your business is better today		
Literal question	More Patronage		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1626	71.9%
2	No	635	28.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#714 ob1q5e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1834 /-] [Invalid=17964 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons why your business is better today		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	184	10.0%
2	No	1649	90.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#715 ob1q6a: Bank**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2471 /-] [Invalid=17327 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Bank		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#715 ob1q6a: Bank** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	80	3.2%
2	Helps much	156	6.3%
3	Helps moderately	150	6.1%
4	Helps a little	80	3.2%
5	Does not help	2005	81.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#716 ob1q6b: Chamber of Commerce and Industry**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2462 /-] [Invalid=17336 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Chamber of Commerce and Industry		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	52	2.1%
2	Helps much	135	5.5%
3	Helps moderately	100	4.1%
4	Helps a little	132	5.4%
5	Does not help	2043	83.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#717 ob1q6c: Manufacturers Association**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2467 /-] [Invalid=17331 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Manufacturers Association		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	57	2.3%
2	Helps much	148	6.0%
3	Helps moderately	99	4.0%
4	Helps a little	167	6.8%
5	Does not help	1996	80.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#718 ob1q6d: Consultants**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2461 /-] [Invalid=17337 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Consultants e.g. Lawyer, Investment Adviser, Auditor, Accountant		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	53	2.2%
2	Helps much	130	5.3%
3	Helps moderately	98	4.0%
4	Helps a little	191	7.8%
5	Does not help	1989	80.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#719 ob1q6e: Business Associates**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2464 /-] [Invalid=17334 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Business Associates		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	114	4.6%
2	Helps much	249	10.1%
3	Helps moderately	216	8.8%
4	Helps a little	256	10.4%
5	Does not help	1629	66.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#720 ob1q6f: Government Officials**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2458 /-] [Invalid=17340 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Government Officials		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	61	2.5%
2	Helps much	148	6.0%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#720 ob1q6f: Government Officials** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Helps moderately	124	5.0%
4	Helps a little	170	6.9%
5	Does not help	1955	79.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#721 ob1q6g: Cooperative Officials**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2459 /-] [Invalid=17339 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Cooperative Officials		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	75	3.1%
2	Helps much	151	6.1%
3	Helps moderately	117	4.8%
4	Helps a little	172	7.0%
5	Does not help	1944	79.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#722 ob1q6h: Franchise Holder support**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2455 /-] [Invalid=17343 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Franchise Holder support		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	54	2.2%
2	Helps much	123	5.0%
3	Helps moderately	113	4.6%
4	Helps a little	157	6.4%
5	Does not help	2008	81.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#723 ob1q6i: End Customer/Supplier Support**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#723 ob1q6i: End Customer/Supplier Support** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2460 /-] [Invalid=17338 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	End Customer/Supplier Support		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	161	6.5%
2	Helps much	232	9.4%
3	Helps moderately	238	9.7%
4	Helps a little	283	11.5%
5	Does not help	1546	62.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#724 ob1q6j: Internet**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2430 /-] [Invalid=17368 /-] [Mean=4.554 /-] [StdDev=1.034 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Internet		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

**#725 ob1q6k: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1514 /-] [Invalid=18284 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the help you get from the following organizations to support your business?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Helps very much	84	5.5%
2	Helps much	152	10.0%
3	Helps moderately	69	4.6%
4	Helps a little	48	3.2%
5	Does not help	1161	76.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#726 ob1q7a: Bank interest charges**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19150 /-] [Invalid=648 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#726 ob1q7a: Bank interest charges** (cont.)

Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?		
Literal question	Bank interest charges		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2935	15.3%
2	High	4364	22.8%
3	Medium	2418	12.6%
4	Low	2531	13.2%
5	None	6900	36.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#727 ob1q7b: Exchange rates**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19142 /-] [Invalid=656 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?		
Literal question	Exchange rates		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2332	12.2%
2	High	4048	21.1%
3	Medium	2947	15.4%
4	Low	2693	14.1%
5	None	7120	37.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#728 ob1q7c: High taxation rate and many taxes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19150 /-] [Invalid=648 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?		
Literal question	High taxation rate and many taxes		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2577	13.5%
2	High	4534	23.7%
3	Medium	3785	19.8%
4	Low	2743	14.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#728 ob1q7c: High taxation rate and many taxes** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	None	5510	28.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#729 ob1q7d: Cost and availability of land**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19184 /-] [Invalid=614 /-] [Mean=3.233 /-] [StdDev=1.414 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Cost and availability of land
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

**#730 ob1q7e: Condition of roads**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19239 /-] [Invalid=559 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Condition of roads
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3214	16.7%
2	High	4415	22.9%
3	Medium	3665	19.1%
4	Low	3611	18.8%
5	None	4333	22.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#731 ob1q7f: Port services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19108 /-] [Invalid=690 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Port services
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1387	7.3%
2	High	3057	16.0%
3	Medium	2818	14.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#731 ob1q7f: Port services** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	2999	15.7%
5	None	8846	46.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#732 ob1q7g: Rail services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19110 /-] [Invalid=688 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?		
Literal question	Rail services		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1235	6.5%
2	High	2527	13.2%
3	Medium	2518	13.2%
4	Low	3505	18.3%
5	None	9324	48.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#733 ob1q7h: Water supply**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19231 /-] [Invalid=567 /-] [Mean=3.345 /-] [StdDev=1.399 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?		
Literal question	Water supply		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

**#734 ob1q7i: Electricity supply**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19237 /-] [Invalid=561 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?		
Literal question	Electricity supply		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3333	17.3%
2	High	4129	21.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#734 ob1q7i: Electricity supply** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	3338	17.4%
4	Low	3568	18.5%
5	None	4868	25.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#735 ob1q7j: Telecommunications**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19221 /-] [Invalid=577 /-] [Mean=3.01 /-] [StdDev=1.461 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Telecommunications
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

**#736 ob1q7k: Judicial process and systems**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19146 /-] [Invalid=652 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Judicial process and systems
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1537	8.0%
2	High	3475	18.2%
3	Medium	4167	21.8%
4	Low	3737	19.5%
5	None	6229	32.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#737 ob1q7l: Official bureaucracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19126 /-] [Invalid=672 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Official bureaucracy
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1626	8.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#737 ob1q7l: Official bureaucracy** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	High	3528	18.4%
3	Medium	3914	20.5%
4	Low	3782	19.8%
5	None	6275	32.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#738 ob1q7m: Security**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19245 /-] [Invalid=553 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Security
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2927	15.2%
2	High	4226	22.0%
3	Medium	3569	18.5%
4	Low	3928	20.4%
5	None	4594	23.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#739 ob1q7n: Corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19205 /-] [Invalid=593 /-] [Mean=2.817 /-] [StdDev=1.477 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Corruption
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

**#740 ob1q7o: Unfair competition (counterfeits, dumping etc)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18862 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Unfair competition (counterfeits, dumping etc)
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#740 ob1q7o: Unfair competition (counterfeits, dumping etc) (cont.)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2682	14.2%
2	High	4266	22.6%
3	Medium	3714	19.7%
4	Low	3160	16.8%
5	None	5040	26.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#741 ob1q7p: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11128 /-] [Invalid=8670 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent in your opinion do the following factors impact on business activities in your community?
Literal question	Others (specify)
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	982	8.8%
2	High	1923	17.3%
3	Medium	1401	12.6%
4	Low	1422	12.8%
5	None	5400	48.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#742 ob1q8f: Make business regulations more flexible**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19259 /-] [Invalid=539 /-]
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.
Literal question	Make business regulations more flexible
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	6447	33.5%
2	Important	7044	36.6%
3	Fairly important	2040	10.6%
4	Not important	705	3.7%
5	Don't know	3022	15.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#743 ob1q8g: Provide more financial services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19316 /-] [Invalid=482 /-]		
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Provide more financial services		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	7655	39.6%
2	Important	6771	35.1%
3	Fairly important	1926	10.0%
4	Not important	582	3.0%
5	Don't know	2382	12.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#744 ob1q8h: Fair application of the business laws**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19178 /-] [Invalid=620 /-]		
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Fair application of the business laws		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	4601	24.0%
2	Important	7052	36.8%
3	Fairly important	3427	17.9%
4	Not important	904	4.7%
5	Don't know	3194	16.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#745 ob1q8i: Improve infrastructure**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19295 /-] [Invalid=503 /-]		
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Improve infrastructure		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8085	41.9%
2	Important	6518	33.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#745 ob1q8i: Improve infrastructure** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly important	1744	9.0%
4	Not important	572	3.0%
5	Don't know	2376	12.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#746 ob1q8j: Reduce bureaucracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19171 /-] [Invalid=627 /-]		
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Reduce bureaucracy		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	5137	26.8%
2	Important	6714	35.0%
3	Fairly important	2965	15.5%
4	Not important	1052	5.5%
5	Don't know	3303	17.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#747 ob1q8k: Stability in government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19239 /-] [Invalid=559 /-]		
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Stability in government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	7449	38.7%
2	Important	6297	32.7%
3	Fairly important	2034	10.6%
4	Not important	801	4.2%
5	Don't know	2658	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#748 ob1q8l: Adequate security**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#748 ob1q8l: Adequate security** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19170 /-] [Invalid=628 /-]
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.
Literal question	Adequate security
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8966	46.8%
2	Important	5737	29.9%
3	Fairly important	1512	7.9%
4	Not important	539	2.8%
5	Don't know	2415	12.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#749 ob1q8m: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11908 /-] [Invalid=7890 /-]
Pre-question	Indicate what you would like to be done to improve the climate for investment and doing business in Nigeria.
Literal question	Others (specify)
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2117	17.8%
2	Important	2747	23.1%
3	Fairly important	750	6.3%
4	Not important	304	2.6%
5	Don't know	5990	50.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#750 ob2q1a: Conserving environment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18860 /-] [Invalid=938 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?
Literal question	Conserving environment
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1140	6.0%
2	High involvement	3055	16.2%
3	Medium involveme	5383	28.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#750 ob2q1a: Conserving environment** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Minimum involve	4203	22.3%
5	Not involved	5079	26.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#751 ob2q1b: Providing health services to community**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18884 /-] [Invalid=914 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?		
Literal question	Providing health services to community		
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1050	5.6%
2	High involvement	3804	20.1%
3	Medium involveme	5007	26.5%
4	Minimum involve	4180	22.1%
5	Not involved	4843	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#752 ob2q1c: Paying taxes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18861 /-] [Invalid=937 /-]		
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?		
Literal question	Paying taxes		
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1174	6.2%
2	High involvement	4073	21.6%
3	Medium involveme	5336	28.3%
4	Minimum involve	3851	20.4%
5	Not involved	4427	23.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#753 ob2q1d: Observing taxes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#753 ob2q1d: Observing taxes (cont.)**

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18852 /-] [Invalid=946 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?
Literal question	Observing taxes
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1206	6.4%
2	High involvement	3658	19.4%
3	Medium involveme	5453	28.9%
4	Minimum involve	4117	21.8%
5	Not involved	4418	23.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#754 ob2q1e: Funding HIV/AIDS programmes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18928 /-] [Invalid=870 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?
Literal question	Funding HIV/AIDS programmes
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1673	8.8%
2	High involvement	3899	20.6%
3	Medium involveme	4661	24.6%
4	Minimum involve	4000	21.1%
5	Not involved	4695	24.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#755 ob2q1f: Making donations to charities and disadvantaged members of s**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18865 /-] [Invalid=933 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?
Literal question	Making donations to charities and disadvantaged members of s
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1127	6.0%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#755 ob2q1f: Making donations to charities and disadvantaged members of s (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	High involvement	3398	18.0%
3	Medium involveme	5177	27.4%
4	Minimum involve	4480	23.7%
5	Not involved	4683	24.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#756 ob2q1g: Provision of infrastructure**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18800 /-] [Invalid=998 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?
Literal question	Provision of infrastructure
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1163	6.2%
2	High involvement	3446	18.3%
3	Medium involveme	4812	25.6%
4	Minimum involve	4510	24.0%
5	Not involved	4869	25.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#757 ob2q1h: Funding education/training**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18365 /-] [Invalid=1433 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you think corporations, as part of their social responsibility, have been involved in the following areas?
Literal question	Funding education/training
Interviewer's instructions	Pls. Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very highly involv	1531	8.3%
2	High involvement	3805	20.7%
3	Medium involveme	4649	25.3%
4	Minimum involve	3940	21.5%
5	Not involved	4440	24.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#758 b2bq2: Nigeria observe labour laws**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19070 /-] [Invalid=728 /-]		
Pre-question	Do corporations in Nigeria observe labour laws?		
Post-question	If Yes, skip to Question 4		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8358	43.8%
2	No	3877	20.3%
3	Don't Know	6829	35.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#759 ob2q3a: Good wages**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5435 /-] [Invalid=14363 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		
Literal question	Good wages		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	1007	18.6%
2	Bad	2131	39.3%
3	Very Bad	1429	26.4%
4	Not at all	854	15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#760 ob2q3b: Dispute resolution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5307 /-] [Invalid=14491 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		
Literal question	Dispute resolution		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	1095	20.7%
2	Bad	1982	37.4%
3	Very Bad	1306	24.6%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#760 ob2q3b: Dispute resolution** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not at all	919	17.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#761 ob2q3c: Health and safety at work place**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5296 /-] [Invalid=14502 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		
Literal question	Health and safety at work place		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	967	18.3%
2	Bad	1980	37.4%
3	Very Bad	1501	28.4%
4	Not at all	844	15.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#762 ob2q3d: Compensation scheme in case of injury**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5279 /-] [Invalid=14519 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		
Literal question	Compensation scheme in case of injury		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	765	14.5%
2	Bad	1986	37.6%
3	Very Bad	1527	28.9%
4	Not at all	998	18.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#763 ob2q3e: Participation in trade unions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5272 /-] [Invalid=14526 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#763 ob2q3e: Participation in trade unions (cont.)**

Literal question	Participation in trade unions		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	988	18.7%
2	Bad	1869	35.5%
3	Very Bad	1387	26.3%
4	Not at all	1026	19.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#764 ob2q3f: Conducive work environment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5270 /-] [Invalid=14528 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		
Literal question	Conducive work environment		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	857	16.3%
2	Bad	1936	36.8%
3	Very Bad	1430	27.1%
4	Not at all	1045	19.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#765 ob2q3g: Adequately compensate injured staff**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5215 /-] [Invalid=14583 /-]		
Pre-question	In what ways?		
Literal question	Adequately compensate injured staff		
Post-question	If the answer is No		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	759	14.6%
2	Bad	1947	37.4%
3	Very Bad	1370	26.3%
4	Not at all	1135	21.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#765 ob2q3g: Adequately compensate injured staff** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#766 ob2q3h: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3465 /-] [Invalid=16333 /-]
Pre-question	In what ways?
Literal question	Others (specify)
Post-question	If the answer is No
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fair	350	10.1%
2	Bad	1097	31.7%
3	Very Bad	813	23.5%
4	Not at all	1204	34.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#767 b2bq4a: Freedom of opinion and expression**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9736 /-] [Invalid=10062 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?
Literal question	Freedom of opinion and expression
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	455	4.7%
2	Good	1583	16.3%
3	Fair	2554	26.2%
4	Bad	1094	11.2%
5	Very bad	666	6.8%
6	Don't know	3384	34.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#768 b2bq4b: Freedom of peaceful assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9717 /-] [Invalid=10081 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?
Literal question	Freedom of peaceful assembly

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#768 b2bq4b: Freedom of peaceful assembly** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	374	3.8%
2	Good	1617	16.6%
3	Fair	2616	26.9%
4	Bad	956	9.8%
5	Very bad	652	6.7%
6	Don't know	3502	36.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#769 b2bq4c: Freedom of representation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9719 /-] [Invalid=10079 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?		
Literal question	Freedom of representation		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	371	3.8%
2	Good	1600	16.5%
3	Fair	2513	25.9%
4	Bad	1134	11.7%
5	Very bad	649	6.7%
6	Don't know	3452	35.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#770 b2bq4d: Right to life, liberty and security**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9719 /-] [Invalid=10079 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?		
Literal question	Right to life, liberty and security		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	434	4.5%
2	Good	1729	17.8%
3	Fair	2218	22.8%
4	Bad	1061	10.9%
5	Very bad	864	8.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#770 b2bq4d: Right to life, liberty and security (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Don't know	3413	35.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#771 b2bq4e: Racial and other discriminations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9706 /-] [Invalid=10092 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?		
Literal question	Racial and other discriminations		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	382	3.9%
2	Good	1354	14.0%
3	Fair	2230	23.0%
4	Bad	1332	13.7%
5	Very bad	760	7.8%
6	Don't know	3648	37.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#772 b2bq4f: Freedom from slavery and servitude**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9701 /-] [Invalid=10097 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?		
Literal question	Freedom from slavery and servitude		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	609	6.3%
2	Good	1513	15.6%
3	Fair	2122	21.9%
4	Bad	1159	11.9%
5	Very bad	676	7.0%
6	Don't know	3622	37.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#773 b2q4g: Freedom from torture, cruelty and in-human treatment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#773 b2q4g: Freedom from torture, cruelty and in-human treatment (cont.)**

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9511 /-] [Invalid=10287 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well do corporations in Nigeria observe human rights?		
Literal question	Freedom from torture, cruelty and in-human treatment		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	471	5.0%
2	Good	1412	14.8%
3	Fair	2068	21.7%
4	Bad	1215	12.8%
5	Very bad	750	7.9%
6	Don't know	3595	37.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#774 b2bq5: Concerns of communities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18815 /-] [Invalid=983 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate Nigeria's corporations' response to the concerns of communities in which they operate?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	759	4.0%
2	Good	4006	21.3%
3	Fair	8164	43.4%
4	Bad	3563	18.9%
5	Very bad	2316	12.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#775 b2bq6a: Enhance enforcement of the laws**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18704 /-] [Invalid=1094 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures would you consider necessary to reduce human rights abuses in the corporate sector?		
Literal question	Enhance enforcement of the laws		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very stringent	6525	34.9%
2	Stringent measur	6579	35.2%
3	Fair measure	3829	20.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#775 b2bq6a: Enhance enforcement of the laws** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	No measure	1764	9.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#776 b2bq6b: Conduct human rights awareness campaign**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18752 /-] [Invalid=1046 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures would you consider necessary to reduce human rights abuses in the corporate sector?		
Literal question	Conduct human rights awareness campaign		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very stringent	5807	31.0%
2	Stringent measur	7179	38.3%
3	Fair measure	4088	21.8%
4	No measure	1675	8.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#777 b2bq6c: Promote civil awareness**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18698 /-] [Invalid=1100 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures would you consider necessary to reduce human rights abuses in the corporate sector?		
Literal question	Promote civil awareness		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very stringent	5403	28.9%
2	Stringent measur	7077	37.9%
3	Fair measure	4540	24.3%
4	No measure	1673	8.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#778 b2bq6d: Review human rights laws**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18707 /-] [Invalid=1091 /-]		
Pre-question	What measures would you consider necessary to reduce human rights abuses in the corporate sector?		
Literal question	Review human rights laws		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#778 b2bq6d: Review human rights laws** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very stringent	5309	28.4%
2	Stringent measur	6627	35.4%
3	Fair measure	4808	25.7%
4	No measure	1959	10.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#779 b2bq6e: Sanction corporations that abuse human rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18634 /-] [Invalid=1164 /-]
Pre-question	What measures would you consider necessary to reduce human rights abuses in the corporate sector?
Literal question	Sanction corporations that abuse human rights
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very stringent	6695	35.9%
2	Stringent measur	5958	32.0%
3	Fair measure	4072	21.9%
4	No measure	1905	10.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#780 b2bq6f: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12267 /-] [Invalid=7531 /-]
Pre-question	What measures would you consider necessary to reduce human rights abuses in the corporate sector?
Literal question	Others (specify)
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very stringent	2786	22.7%
2	Stringent measur	3542	28.9%
3	Fair measure	2321	18.9%
4	No measure	3613	29.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#781 b2q71a: State-owned corporations-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19321 /-] [Invalid=477 /-]



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#781 b2q71a: State-owned corporations-Labour law** (cont.)

Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	State-owned corporations-Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1030	5.3%
2	Good	4135	21.4%
3	Fair	6330	32.8%
4	Bad	2105	10.9%
5	Very bad	776	4.0%
6	Don't know	4945	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#782 b2q71b: State-owned corporations-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19301 /-] [Invalid=497 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	State-owned corporations-Human right
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	906	4.7%
2	Good	3733	19.3%
3	Fair	6232	32.3%
4	Bad	2233	11.6%
5	Very bad	1120	5.8%
6	Don't know	5077	26.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#783 b2q72a: Large Manufacturing industries -Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19308 /-] [Invalid=490 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Large Manufacturing industries -Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#783 b2q72a: Large Manufacturing industries -Labour law** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	926	4.8%
2	Good	3851	19.9%
3	Fair	6158	31.9%
4	Bad	2064	10.7%
5	Very bad	958	5.0%
6	Don't know	5351	27.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#784 b2q72b: Large Manufacturing industries -Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19306 /-] [Invalid=492 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Large Manufacturing industries -Human right
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	948	4.9%
2	Good	3417	17.7%
3	Fair	5978	31.0%
4	Bad	2185	11.3%
5	Very bad	1298	6.7%
6	Don't know	5480	28.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#785 b2q73a: Small and Medium Enterprises-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19312 /-] [Invalid=486 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Small and Medium Enterprises-Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	745	3.9%
2	Good	3776	19.6%
3	Fair	6216	32.2%
4	Bad	2302	11.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#785 b2q73a: Small and Medium Enterprises-Labour law** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very bad	967	5.0%
6	Don't know	5306	27.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#786 b2q73b: Small and Medium Enterprises-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19302 /-] [Invalid=496 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Small and Medium Enterprises-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	675	3.5%
2	Good	3474	18.0%
3	Fair	6198	32.1%
4	Bad	2378	12.3%
5	Very bad	1175	6.1%
6	Don't know	5402	28.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#787 b2q74a: Industries in Export Processing Zones-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19298 /-] [Invalid=500 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Industries in Export Processing Zones-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	736	3.8%
2	Good	3540	18.3%
3	Fair	5805	30.1%
4	Bad	2091	10.8%
5	Very bad	1018	5.3%
6	Don't know	6108	31.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#788 b2q74b: Industries in Export Processing Zones-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19292 /-] [Invalid=506 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Industries in Export Processing Zones-Human right
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	795	4.1%
2	Good	3136	16.3%
3	Fair	5716	29.6%
4	Bad	2178	11.3%
5	Very bad	1249	6.5%
6	Don't know	6218	32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#789 b2q75a: Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19301 /-] [Invalid=497 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1177	6.1%
2	Good	3725	19.3%
3	Fair	5661	29.3%
4	Bad	2049	10.6%
5	Very bad	1067	5.5%
6	Don't know	5622	29.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#790 b2q75b: Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19294 /-] [Invalid=504 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Human right

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#790 b2q75b: Banking, Finance and Insurance services-Human right (cont.)**

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1065	5.5%
2	Good	3504	18.2%
3	Fair	5721	29.7%
4	Bad	2098	10.9%
5	Very bad	1252	6.5%
6	Don't know	5654	29.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#791 b2q76a: Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19299 /-] [Invalid=499 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	834	4.3%
2	Good	3394	17.6%
3	Fair	6024	31.2%
4	Bad	2323	12.0%
5	Very bad	1101	5.7%
6	Don't know	5623	29.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#792 b2q76b: Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19295 /-] [Invalid=503 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	887	4.6%
2	Good	3336	17.3%
3	Fair	5950	30.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#792 b2q76b: Trade, Restaurants and Hotels-Human right (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Bad	2281	11.8%
5	Very bad	1276	6.6%
6	Don't know	5565	28.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#793 b2q77a: Agriculture and forestry industries-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19261 /-] [Invalid=537 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Agriculture and forestry industries-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	686	3.6%
2	Good	3664	19.0%
3	Fair	5837	30.3%
4	Bad	2183	11.3%
5	Very bad	1105	5.7%
6	Don't know	5785	30.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#794 b2q77b: Agriculture and forestry industries-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18743 /-] [Invalid=1055 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Agriculture and forestry industries-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	598	3.2%
2	Good	3221	17.2%
3	Fair	5594	29.8%
4	Bad	2227	11.9%
5	Very bad	1213	6.5%
6	Don't know	5889	31.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#795 b2q78a: Horticultural industry-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18922 /-] [Invalid=876 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Horticultural industry-Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	578	3.1%
2	Good	2987	15.8%
3	Fair	5942	31.4%
4	Bad	2183	11.5%
5	Very bad	1095	5.8%
6	Don't know	6136	32.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#796 b2q78b: Horticultural industry-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18902 /-] [Invalid=896 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Horticultural industry-Human right
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	501	2.7%
2	Good	3111	16.5%
3	Fair	5679	30.0%
4	Bad	2266	12.0%
5	Very bad	1247	6.6%
6	Don't know	6097	32.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#797 b2q79a: Building and construction-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18906 /-] [Invalid=892 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Building and construction-Labour law

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#797 b2q79a: Building and construction-Labour law** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	563	3.0%
2	Good	2876	15.2%
3	Fair	6038	31.9%
4	Bad	2439	12.9%
5	Very bad	1263	6.7%
6	Don't know	5726	30.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#798 b2q79b: Building and construction-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18900 /-] [Invalid=898 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Building and construction-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	548	2.9%
2	Good	2993	15.8%
3	Fair	5773	30.5%
4	Bad	2456	13.0%
5	Very bad	1330	7.0%
6	Don't know	5800	30.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#799 b2q710a: Mining and quarrying-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18887 /-] [Invalid=911 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Mining and quarrying-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	673	3.6%
2	Good	2817	14.9%
3	Fair	5730	30.3%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#799 b2q710a: Mining and quarrying-Labour law (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Bad	2336	12.4%
5	Very bad	1295	6.9%
6	Don't know	6036	32.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#800 b2q710b: Mining and quarrying-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18876 /-] [Invalid=922 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Mining and quarrying-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	561	3.0%
2	Good	2755	14.6%
3	Fair	5701	30.2%
4	Bad	2432	12.9%
5	Very bad	1430	7.6%
6	Don't know	5997	31.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#801 b2q711a: Private security services-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18870 /-] [Invalid=928 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Private security services-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	726	3.8%
2	Good	3147	16.7%
3	Fair	5831	30.9%
4	Bad	2259	12.0%
5	Very bad	1276	6.8%
6	Don't know	5631	29.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#802 b2q711b: Private security services-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18867 /-] [Invalid=931 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Private security services-Human right
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	616	3.3%
2	Good	3059	16.2%
3	Fair	5814	30.8%
4	Bad	2403	12.7%
5	Very bad	1380	7.3%
6	Don't know	5595	29.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#803 b2q712a: Medical services-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18872 /-] [Invalid=926 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Medical services-Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	939	5.0%
2	Good	3769	20.0%
3	Fair	5843	31.0%
4	Bad	1925	10.2%
5	Very bad	1118	5.9%
6	Don't know	5278	28.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#804 b2q712b: Medical services-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18863 /-] [Invalid=935 /-]
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?
Literal question	Medical services-Human right

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#804 b2q712b: Medical services-Human right** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	840	4.5%
2	Good	3507	18.6%
3	Fair	5999	31.8%
4	Bad	2054	10.9%
5	Very bad	1199	6.4%
6	Don't know	5264	27.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#805 b2q713a: Cooperatives-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18786 /-] [Invalid=1012 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Cooperatives-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	781	4.2%
2	Good	3737	19.9%
3	Fair	5751	30.6%
4	Bad	1892	10.1%
5	Very bad	1141	6.1%
6	Don't know	5484	29.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#806 b2q713b: Cooperative-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18697 /-] [Invalid=1101 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Cooperative-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	675	3.6%
2	Good	3619	19.4%
3	Fair	5845	31.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#806 b2q713b: Cooperative-Human right** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Bad	1962	10.5%
5	Very bad	1202	6.4%
6	Don't know	5394	28.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#807 b2q714a: Others (specify)-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11838 /-] [Invalid=7960 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify)-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	287	2.4%
2	Good	1592	13.4%
3	Fair	2557	21.6%
4	Bad	883	7.5%
5	Very bad	631	5.3%
6	Don't know	5888	49.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#808 b2q714b: Others (specify)-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11457 /-] [Invalid=8341 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the way labour laws (LL) and human rights (HR) are observed in the following sectors in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify)-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	300	2.6%
2	Good	1461	12.8%
3	Fair	2239	19.5%
4	Bad	812	7.1%
5	Very bad	657	5.7%
6	Don't know	5988	52.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#809 b2bq8: Is workers adequately protected**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19063 /-] [Invalid=735 /-]		
Pre-question	Are workers in Nigeria adequately protected in their place of work?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3297	17.3%
2	No	10537	55.3%
3	Don't Know	5225	27.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#810 ob2q9a: Workers ignorance of their rights under labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10632 /-] [Invalid=9166 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons for your answer		
Literal question	Workers ignorance of their rights under labour law		
Post-question	If No in Q8,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8611	81.1%
2	No	2006	18.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#811 ob2q9b: No proper enforcement of the labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10638 /-] [Invalid=9160 /-]		
Pre-question	Give reasons for your answer		
Literal question	No proper enforcement of the labour law		
Post-question	If No in Q8,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8646	81.3%
2	No	1988	18.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#812 ob2q9c: Employers who violates the labour law are not sanctioned**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#812 ob2q9c: Employers who violates the labour law are not sanctioned (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10590 /-] [Invalid=9208 /-]
Pre-question	Give reasons for your answer
Literal question	Employers who violates the labour law are not sanctioned
Post-question	If No in Q8,
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8717	82.4%
2	No	1860	17.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#813 ob2q9d: Others (specify)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8117 /-] [Invalid=11681 /-]
Pre-question	Give reasons for your answer
Literal question	Others (specify)
Post-question	If No in Q8,
Interviewer's instructions	Tick as many

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1266	15.6%
2	No	6840	84.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#814 ob2q101a: Degradation of environment-Labour law

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18413 /-] [Invalid=1385 /-]
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?
Literal question	Degradation of environment-Labour law
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	2979	16.2%
2	Serious	5598	30.4%
3	Moderately serious	4689	25.5%
4	Least serious	2497	13.6%
5	Not serious	2646	14.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#815 ob2q101b: Degradation of environment-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17665 /-] [Invalid=2133 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Degradation of environment-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	2605	14.8%
2	Serious	5152	29.2%
3	Moderately serious	4576	25.9%
4	Least serious	2637	14.9%
5	Not serious	2691	15.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#816 ob2q102a: Water pollution-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18426 /-] [Invalid=1372 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Water pollution-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	2654	14.4%
2	Serious	5356	29.1%
3	Moderately serious	4478	24.3%
4	Least serious	2989	16.2%
5	Not serious	2946	16.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#817 ob2q102b: Water pollution-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17639 /-] [Invalid=2159 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Water pollution-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	2321	13.2%
2	Serious	4646	26.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#817 ob2q102b: Water pollution-Human right** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderately serious	4525	25.7%
4	Least serious	3058	17.3%
5	Not serious	3086	17.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#818 ob2q103a: Air pollution-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18390 /-] [Invalid=1408 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Air pollution-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	2246	12.2%
2	Serious	5143	28.0%
3	Moderately serious	4790	26.0%
4	Least serious	3194	17.4%
5	Not serious	3015	16.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#819 ob2q103b: Air pollution-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17592 /-] [Invalid=2206 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Air pollution-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1902	10.8%
2	Serious	4562	25.9%
3	Moderately serious	4632	26.3%
4	Least serious	3350	19.0%
5	Not serious	3144	17.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#820 ob2q104a: Noise pollution-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
-------------	--



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#820 ob2q104a: Noise pollution-Labour law** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18335 /-] [Invalid=1463 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Noise pollution-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1898	10.4%
2	Serious	4681	25.5%
3	Moderately serious	4751	25.9%
4	Least serious	3431	18.7%
5	Not serious	3572	19.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#821 ob2q104b: Noise pollution-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17475 /-] [Invalid=2323 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Noise pollution-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	2144	12.3%
2	Serious	4500	25.8%
3	Moderately serious	4393	25.1%
4	Least serious	3200	18.3%
5	Not serious	3236	18.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#822 ob2q105a: Deforestation-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14517 /-] [Invalid=5281 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Deforestation-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1603	11.0%
2	Serious	3713	25.6%
3	Moderately serious	3832	26.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#822 ob2q105a: Deforestation-Labour law** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Least serious	2284	15.7%
5	Not serious	3080	21.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#823 ob2q105b: Deforestation-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10558 /-] [Invalid=9240 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Deforestation-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1204	11.4%
2	Serious	2654	25.2%
3	Moderately serious	2731	25.9%
4	Least serious	1975	18.7%
5	Not serious	1988	18.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#824 ob2q106a: Depletion of water resources-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10920 /-] [Invalid=8878 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Depletion of water resources-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1044	9.6%
2	Serious	2713	24.9%
3	Moderately serious	2952	27.0%
4	Least serious	2006	18.4%
5	Not serious	2200	20.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#825 ob2q106b: Depletion of water resources-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10084 /-] [Invalid=9714 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#825 ob2q106b: Depletion of water resources-Human right** (cont.)

Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Depletion of water resources-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	984	9.8%
2	Serious	2327	23.1%
3	Moderately serious	2798	27.8%
4	Least serious	1955	19.4%
5	Not serious	2016	20.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#826 ob2q107a: Sea incursion-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10796 /-] [Invalid=9002 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Sea incursion-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	996	9.2%
2	Serious	2564	23.8%
3	Moderately serious	2884	26.7%
4	Least serious	1988	18.4%
5	Not serious	2360	21.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#827 ob2q107b: Sea incursion-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10037 /-] [Invalid=9761 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Sea incursion-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	941	9.4%
2	Serious	2270	22.6%
3	Moderately serious	2588	25.8%
4	Least serious	2081	20.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#827 ob2q107b: Sea incursion-Human right** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not serious	2156	21.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#828 ob2q108a: Refuse disposal/sanitation-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10737 /-] [Invalid=9061 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Refuse disposal/sanitation-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1543	14.4%
2	Serious	2974	27.7%
3	Moderately serious	2514	23.4%
4	Least serious	1769	16.5%
5	Not serious	1936	18.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#829 ob2q108b: Refuse disposal/sanitation-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9982 /-] [Invalid=9816 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Refuse disposal/sanitation-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	1386	13.9%
2	Serious	2602	26.1%
3	Moderately serious	2393	24.0%
4	Least serious	1834	18.4%
5	Not serious	1766	17.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#830 ob2q109a: Others (specify)-Labour law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5878 /-] [Invalid=13920 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#830 ob2q109a: Others (specify)-Labour law** (cont.)

Literal question	Others (specify)-Labour law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	545	9.3%
2	Serious	1351	23.0%
3	Moderately serious	1100	18.7%
4	Least serious	725	12.3%
5	Not serious	2157	36.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#831 ob2q109b: Others (specify)-Human right**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5540 /-] [Invalid=14258 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the seriousness of the following environmental issues in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify)-Human right		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Serious	512	9.2%
2	Serious	1212	21.9%
3	Moderately serious	1033	18.6%
4	Least serious	693	12.5%
5	Not serious	2090	37.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#832 b2bq11: Adequate laws and institutions to protect the environment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18513 /-] [Invalid=1285 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you think Nigeria has adequate laws and institutions to protect the environment?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No, skip to Question 13		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5662	30.6%
2	No	8658	46.8%
3	Don't Know	4189	22.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#833 b2bq12a: Environmental Protection Law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8626 /-] [Invalid=11172 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Environmental Protection Law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	858	9.9%
2	Effective	2307	26.8%
3	Moderately Effective	2410	27.9%
4	Poorly Effective	1869	21.7%
5	Not Effective at all	1180	13.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#834 b2bq12b: Enforcement of the law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8601 /-] [Invalid=11197 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Enforcement of the law		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	603	7.0%
2	Effective	2111	24.5%
3	Moderately Effective	2560	29.8%
4	Poorly Effective	2167	25.2%
5	Not Effective at all	1159	13.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#835 b2bq12c: Environmental awareness campaign**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8576 /-] [Invalid=11222 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Environmental awareness campaign		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	592	6.9%
2	Effective	2093	24.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#835 b2bq12c: Environmental awareness campaign** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderately Effective	2551	29.7%
4	Poorly Effective	2183	25.5%
5	Not Effective at all	1156	13.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#836 b2bq12d: Ministry of Environment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8579 /-] [Invalid=11219 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Ministry of Environment		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	680	7.9%
2	Effective	2102	24.5%
3	Moderately Effective	2683	31.3%
4	Poorly Effective	2053	23.9%
5	Not Effective at all	1061	12.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#837 b2bq12e: Environmental NGO's**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8543 /-] [Invalid=11255 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Environmental NGO's		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	475	5.6%
2	Effective	1921	22.5%
3	Moderately Effective	2894	33.9%
4	Poorly Effective	1929	22.6%
5	Not Effective at all	1324	15.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#838 b2bq12f: Federal/State Environmental Protection Agency**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#838 b2bq12f: Federal/State Environmental Protection Agency** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8558 /-] [Invalid=11240 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Federal/State Environmental Protection Agency		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	682	8.0%
2	Effective	2067	24.2%
3	Moderately Effective	2828	33.0%
4	Poorly Effective	1902	22.2%
5	Not Effective at all	1078	12.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#839 b2bq12g: LGA Environmental sanitation officers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8554 /-] [Invalid=11244 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	LGA Environmental sanitation officers		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	564	6.6%
2	Effective	1948	22.8%
3	Moderately Effective	2436	28.5%
4	Poorly Effective	2333	27.3%
5	Not Effective at all	1272	14.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#840 b2bq12h: WAI Brigade**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8463 /-] [Invalid=11335 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	War Against Indiscipline (WAI) Brigade		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	504	6.0%
2	Effective	1696	20.0%
3	Moderately Effective	2315	27.4%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#840 b2bq12h: WAI Brigade (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Poorly Effective	2426	28.7%
5	Not Effective at all	1522	18.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#841 b2bq12i: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4803 /-] [Invalid=14995 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the following mechanisms in protecting the environment?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Effective	256	5.3%
2	Effective	891	18.6%
3	Moderately Effective	924	19.2%
4	Poorly Effective	830	17.3%
5	Not Effective at all	1902	39.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#842 b2q13a: Use of plastics/polythene bags**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17345 /-] [Invalid=2453 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Use of plastics/polythene bags		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	6869	39.6%
2	Much	5556	32.0%
3	Moderately	2549	14.7%
4	Little	1356	7.8%
5	Very little	1015	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#843 b2q13b: Solid waste disposal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17279 /-] [Invalid=2519 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#843 b2q13b: Solid waste disposal** (cont.)

Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Solid waste disposal		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	4682	27.1%
2	Much	6393	37.0%
3	Moderately	3240	18.8%
4	Little	1652	9.6%
5	Very little	1312	7.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#844 b2q13c: Quarrying and mining**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17199 /-] [Invalid=2599 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Quarrying and mining		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2731	15.9%
2	Much	5415	31.5%
3	Moderately	3903	22.7%
4	Little	2994	17.4%
5	Very little	2156	12.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#845 b2q13d: Uplanned settlements**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17175 /-] [Invalid=2623 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Uplanned settlements		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2945	17.1%
2	Much	5489	32.0%
3	Moderately	4052	23.6%
4	Little	2732	15.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#845 b2q13d: Uplanned settlements** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very little	1957	11.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#846 b2q13e: Allocation of and settlement in forest areas**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17142 /-] [Invalid=2656 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Allocation of and settlement in forest areas		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2249	13.1%
2	Much	4784	27.9%
3	Moderately	4250	24.8%
4	Little	3527	20.6%
5	Very little	2332	13.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#847 b2q13f: Manufacturing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17143 /-] [Invalid=2655 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Manufacturing		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2877	16.8%
2	Much	5357	31.2%
3	Moderately	4139	24.1%
4	Little	2672	15.6%
5	Very little	2098	12.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#848 b2q13g: Transport**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17113 /-] [Invalid=2685 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#848 b2q13g: Transport** (cont.)

Literal question	Transport		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2738	16.0%
2	Much	5062	29.6%
3	Moderately	4419	25.8%
4	Little	2855	16.7%
5	Very little	2039	11.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#849 b2q13h: Building and logging industry**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17088 /-] [Invalid=2710 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Building and logging industry		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2095	12.3%
2	Much	4326	25.3%
3	Moderately	4445	26.0%
4	Little	3861	22.6%
5	Very little	2361	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#850 b2q13i: Agriculture**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17116 /-] [Invalid=2682 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Agriculture		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2077	12.1%
2	Much	4173	24.4%
3	Moderately	4296	25.1%
4	Little	3864	22.6%
5	Very little	2706	15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#851 b2q13j: Extensive use of wood as fuel**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17114 /-] [Invalid=2684 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?
Literal question	Extensive use of wood as fuel
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	2836	16.6%
2	Much	4671	27.3%
3	Moderately	4101	24.0%
4	Little	3288	19.2%
5	Very little	2218	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#852 b2q13k: Tourism**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17051 /-] [Invalid=2747 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?
Literal question	Tourism
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	1548	9.1%
2	Much	3598	21.1%
3	Moderately	3760	22.1%
4	Little	4646	27.2%
5	Very little	3499	20.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#853 b2q13l: Fishing and marine activities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16867 /-] [Invalid=2931 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?
Literal question	Fishing and marine activities
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	1541	9.1%
2	Much	3629	21.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#853 b2q13l: Fishing and marine activities (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderately	3855	22.9%
4	Little	4482	26.6%
5	Very little	3360	19.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#854 b2q13m: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9396 /-] [Invalid=10402 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how do you rate the extent to which the following activities are affecting the environment?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	774	8.2%
2	Much	2349	25.0%
3	Moderately	1636	17.4%
4	Little	1463	15.6%
5	Very little	3174	33.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#855 b2q14: Environmental pollution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18633 /-] [Invalid=1165 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you know of anyone who has been prosecuted for damaging and polluting the environment?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1352	7.3%
2	No	17277	92.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#856 b3qc: Currently engaged in a formal employment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19122 /-] [Invalid=676 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you currently engaged in a formal employment?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No, skip to Question 4		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#856 b3qc: Currently engaged in a formal employment** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2954	15.5%
2	No	16163	84.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#857 b3qd: Level of corruption in your organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3064 /-] [Invalid=16734 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, How do you rate the level of corruption in your organization?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	689	22.5%
2	High	846	27.6%
3	Medium	767	25.0%
4	Low	627	20.5%
5	Very low	134	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#858 b3q3h: Bribery**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3200 /-] [Invalid=16598 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Bribery		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	1044	32.6%
2	Much	802	25.1%
3	Moderately	554	17.3%
4	Little	435	13.6%
5	Very little	363	11.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#859 b3q3i: Nepotism**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3128 /-] [Invalid=16670 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Nepotism		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#859 b3q3i: Nepotism** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	691	22.1%
2	Much	874	28.0%
3	Moderately	550	17.6%
4	Little	599	19.2%
5	Very little	412	13.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#860 b3q3j: Tribalism**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3115 /-] [Invalid=16683 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Tribalism		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	753	24.2%
2	Much	838	26.9%
3	Moderately	635	20.4%
4	Little	519	16.7%
5	Very little	368	11.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#861 b3q3k: Tax evasion**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3081 /-] [Invalid=16717 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Tax evasion		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	487	15.8%
2	Much	647	21.0%
3	Moderately	567	18.4%
4	Little	667	21.7%
5	Very little	711	23.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#862 b3q3l: Favouritism**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3130 /-] [Invalid=16668 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Favouritism		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	686	21.9%
2	Much	950	30.4%
3	Moderately	604	19.3%
4	Little	441	14.1%
5	Very little	447	14.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#863 b3q3m: Sexual harassment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3050 /-] [Invalid=16748 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Sexual harassment		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	426	14.0%
2	Much	577	18.9%
3	Moderately	481	15.8%
4	Little	592	19.4%
5	Very little	972	31.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#864 b3q3n: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1730 /-] [Invalid=18068 /-]		
Pre-question	What type of corruption exists in your organization?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much	281	16.3%
2	Much	412	23.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#864 b3q3n: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderately	194	11.2%
4	Little	277	16.0%
5	Very little	565	32.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#865 b3q4: Overall assessment of corruption in the country**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19213 /-] [Invalid=585 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your overall assessment of corruption in the country?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10731	55.9%
2	High	6499	33.8%
3	Medium	1448	7.5%
4	Low	270	1.4%
5	Very low	265	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#866 b3qe: Is corruption increasing or decreasing**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19206 /-] [Invalid=592 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, has corruption been decreasing or increasing in Nigeria over the last two years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increasing	10979	57.2%
2	Decreasing	5150	26.8%
3	Unchanging	2180	11.4%
4	Do not know	896	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#867 b3q6i: Federal Government overall**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19214 /-] [Invalid=584 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Federal Government overall		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#867 b3q6i: Federal Government overall** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7232	37.6%
2	High	7901	41.1%
3	Medium	3211	16.7%
4	Low	642	3.3%
5	Very low	228	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#868 b3q6j: State Government overall**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19213 /-] [Invalid=585 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	State Government overall		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7394	38.5%
2	High	8407	43.8%
3	Medium	2773	14.4%
4	Low	435	2.3%
5	Very low	204	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#869 b3q6k: Government Agencies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19155 /-] [Invalid=643 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Government Agencies		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5917	30.9%
2	High	7990	41.7%
3	Medium	4227	22.1%
4	Low	776	4.1%
5	Very low	245	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#870 b3q6l: Private sector overall**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19134 /-] [Invalid=664 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Private sector overall		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3935	20.6%
2	High	6496	34.0%
3	Medium	5375	28.1%
4	Low	2616	13.7%
5	Very low	712	3.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#871 b3q6m: Local government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19188 /-] [Invalid=610 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Local government		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7429	38.7%
2	High	7272	37.9%
3	Medium	3362	17.5%
4	Low	734	3.8%
5	Very low	391	2.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#872 b3q6n: State owned corporations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19141 /-] [Invalid=657 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	State owned corporations		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5832	30.5%
2	High	7906	41.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#872 b3q6n: State owned corporations** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	3906	20.4%
4	Low	1017	5.3%
5	Very low	480	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#873 b3q6o: Nigeria Police Force**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19190 /-] [Invalid=608 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Nigeria Police Force		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10432	54.4%
2	High	5920	30.8%
3	Medium	1952	10.2%
4	Low	601	3.1%
5	Very low	285	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#874 b3q6p: Nigeria Customs Service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19145 /-] [Invalid=653 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Nigeria Customs Service		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7196	37.6%
2	High	6961	36.4%
3	Medium	3673	19.2%
4	Low	916	4.8%
5	Very low	399	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#875 b3q6q: Nigeria Prisons Service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#875 b3q6q: Nigeria Prisons Service** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19110 /-] [Invalid=688 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Nigeria Prisons Service		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5556	29.1%
2	High	6140	32.1%
3	Medium	4839	25.3%
4	Low	1791	9.4%
5	Very low	784	4.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#876 b3q6r: Nigeria Immigration Service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19108 /-] [Invalid=690 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Nigeria Immigration Service		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6040	31.6%
2	High	6512	34.1%
3	Medium	4551	23.8%
4	Low	1428	7.5%
5	Very low	577	3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#877 b3q6s: National Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19129 /-] [Invalid=669 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	National Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	8529	44.6%
2	High	6441	33.7%
3	Medium	2951	15.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#877 b3q6s: National Assembly (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	839	4.4%
5	Very low	369	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#878 b3q6t: Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18970 /-] [Invalid=828 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6459	34.0%
2	High	6668	35.2%
3	Medium	3591	18.9%
4	Low	1459	7.7%
5	Very low	793	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#879 b3q6u: Othes (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10459 /-] [Invalid=9339 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the level of corruption in the following sectors?		
Literal question	Othes (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2495	23.9%
2	High	3153	30.1%
3	Medium	1763	16.9%
4	Low	672	6.4%
5	Very low	2376	22.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#880 b3q7f: EFCC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18970 /-] [Invalid=828 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#880 b3q7f: EFCC (cont.)

Pre-question	How do you rate the effectiveness of the following agencies in tackling corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Economic & Financial Crimes Commission		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	5424	28.6%
2	Effective	7098	37.4%
3	Moderately effective	3750	19.8%
4	Poorly effective	1642	8.7%
5	Not effective at all	1055	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#881 b3q7g: ICPC

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18900 /-] [Invalid=898 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the effectiveness of the following agencies in tackling corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Independent Corrupt Practices Commission		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	2647	14.0%
2	Effective	7082	37.5%
3	Moderately effective	4943	26.2%
4	Poorly effective	2792	14.8%
5	Not effective at all	1435	7.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#882 b3q7h: Code of conduct bureau

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18795 /-] [Invalid=1003 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the effectiveness of the following agencies in tackling corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Code of conduct bureau		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1339	7.1%
2	Effective	4945	26.3%
3	Moderately effective	5595	29.8%
4	Poorly effective	4256	22.6%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#882 b3q7h: Code of conduct bureau** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not effective at all	2659	14.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#883 b3q7i: Due process office**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18541 /-] [Invalid=1257 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the effectiveness of the following agencies in tackling corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Due process office		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1487	8.0%
2	Effective	4816	26.0%
3	Moderately effective	5509	29.7%
4	Poorly effective	4033	21.8%
5	Not effective at all	2694	14.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#884 b3q7j: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9862 /-] [Invalid=9936 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the effectiveness of the following agencies in tackling corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	972	9.9%
2	Effective	2268	23.0%
3	Moderately effective	1826	18.5%
4	Poorly effective	1057	10.7%
5	Not effective at all	3739	37.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#885 b3q8k: Past Military regimes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19208 /-] [Invalid=590 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#885 b3q8k: Past Military regimes** (cont.)

Literal question	Past Military regimes		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	9914	51.6%
2	High	5506	28.7%
3	Moderate	2122	11.0%
4	Least	797	4.1%
5	Not to blame	869	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#886 b3q8l: Current Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19209 /-] [Invalid=589 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Current Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7335	38.2%
2	High	6568	34.2%
3	Moderate	3563	18.5%
4	Least	1207	6.3%
5	Not to blame	536	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#887 b3q8m: Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19144 /-] [Invalid=654 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5405	28.2%
2	High	6653	34.8%
3	Moderate	4840	25.3%
4	Least	1394	7.3%
5	Not to blame	852	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#888 b3q8n: Police**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19178 /-] [Invalid=620 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Police		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10728	55.9%
2	High	5452	28.4%
3	Moderate	1946	10.1%
4	Least	557	2.9%
5	Not to blame	495	2.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#889 b3q8o: Businessmen**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19085 /-] [Invalid=713 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Businessmen		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3350	17.6%
2	High	5342	28.0%
3	Moderate	5469	28.7%
4	Least	2882	15.1%
5	Not to blame	2042	10.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#890 b3q8p: Professionals**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19071 /-] [Invalid=727 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Professionals		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2744	14.4%
2	High	4810	25.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#890 b3q8p: Professionals (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderate	5690	29.8%
4	Least	3622	19.0%
5	Not to blame	2205	11.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#891 b3q8q: Public Officers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19081 /-] [Invalid=717 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Public Officers		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4401	23.1%
2	High	6472	33.9%
3	Moderate	5215	27.3%
4	Least	1862	9.8%
5	Not to blame	1131	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#892 b3q8r: Politicians**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19087 /-] [Invalid=711 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Politicians		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	9247	48.4%
2	High	5637	29.5%
3	Moderate	2389	12.5%
4	Least	958	5.0%
5	Not to blame	856	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#893 b3q8s: Ordinary Citizens**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#893 b3q8s: Ordinary Citizens** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18855 /-] [Invalid=943 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Ordinary Citizens		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2761	14.6%
2	High	4178	22.2%
3	Moderate	3753	19.9%
4	Least	3858	20.5%
5	Not to blame	4305	22.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#894 b3q8t: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10057 /-] [Invalid=9741 /-]		
Pre-question	Whom would you blame most for continuing corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the column to the right		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1127	11.2%
2	High	2269	22.6%
3	Moderate	1525	15.2%
4	Least	1159	11.5%
5	Not to blame	3977	39.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#895 b3q9a: Lack of political will to fight corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19040 /-] [Invalid=758 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Lack of political will to fight corruption		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6479	34.0%
2	High	6760	35.5%
3	Moderate	3107	16.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#895 b3q9a: Lack of political will to fight corruption (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	1508	7.9%
5	Not at all	1185	6.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#896 b3q9b: Lack of capacity in government, judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19010 /-] [Invalid=788 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Lack of capacity in government, judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4642	24.4%
2	High	7598	40.0%
3	Moderate	4173	22.0%
4	Low	1392	7.3%
5	Not at all	1204	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#897 b3q9c: Poverty**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19148 /-] [Invalid=650 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Poverty		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10268	53.6%
2	High	5659	29.6%
3	Moderate	2013	10.5%
4	Low	583	3.0%
5	Not at all	624	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#898 b3q9d: Poorly remunerated civil service**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19061 /-] [Invalid=737 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#898 b3q9d: Poorly remunerated civil service** (cont.)

Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Poorly remunerated civil service		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7428	39.0%
2	High	7171	37.6%
3	Moderate	2911	15.3%
4	Low	901	4.7%
5	Not at all	649	3.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#899 b3q9e: Job insecurity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18931 /-] [Invalid=867 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Job insecurity		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6917	36.5%
2	High	6942	36.7%
3	Moderate	3044	16.1%
4	Low	1185	6.3%
5	Not at all	842	4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#900 b3q9f: Unclear separation of power between executive, legislative a**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18868 /-] [Invalid=930 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Unclear separation of power between executive, legislative a		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4435	23.5%
2	High	6235	33.0%
3	Moderate	4637	24.6%
4	Low	1952	10.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#900 b3q9f: Unclear separation of power between executive, legislative a** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all	1608	8.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#901 b3q9g: Ineffective laws**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18812 /-] [Invalid=986 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Ineffective laws		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5670	30.1%
2	High	6981	37.1%
3	Moderate	3571	19.0%
4	Low	1520	8.1%
5	Not at all	1069	5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#902 b3q9h: The private/corporate sector**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18521 /-] [Invalid=1277 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		
Literal question	The private/corporate sector		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3033	16.4%
2	High	5583	30.1%
3	Moderate	5179	28.0%
4	Low	2794	15.1%
5	Not at all	1931	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#903 b3q9i: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10756 /-] [Invalid=9042 /-]		
Pre-question	What do you consider to be the main causes of corruption in Nigeria?		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#903 b3q9i: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Indicate the Code that best describes the situation for each in the score column		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1642	15.3%
2	High	2965	27.6%
3	Moderate	1944	18.1%
4	Low	1033	9.6%
5	Not at all	3172	29.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#904 b3q1o: War against corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18785 /-] [Invalid=1013 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the role of the media in the war against corruption?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3793	20.2%
2	High	7490	39.9%
3	Medium	4857	25.9%
4	Low	1566	8.3%
5	Very low	1079	5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#905 b4qa: Do you own shares in any company**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19247 /-] [Invalid=551 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you own shares in any company?		
Interviewer's instructions	If response is No, Go to the next Thematic		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	367	1.9%
2	No	18871	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#906 b4qb: Do you get regular information from your companies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=416 /-] [Invalid=19382 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you get regular information from your company(ies) through annual reports,		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#906 b4qb: Do you get regular information from your companies (cont.)**

	newsletters or at meetings?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No, Skip to Q.6		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	253	61.3%
2	No	160	38.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#907 b4qc: If yes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=251 /-] [Invalid=19547 /-]		
Pre-question	do you find the information you get from your company adequate to enable you to know what is happening?		
Interviewer's instructions	If Yes to Q2,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	216	86.7%
2	No	33	13.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#908 b4qd: If No**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=182 /-] [Invalid=19616 /-]		
Pre-question	Have you ever asked for more information?		
Interviewer's instructions	If No to Q3,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	118	64.8%
2	No	64	35.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#909 b4q5: Respect and Fairness**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=209 /-] [Invalid=19589 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, does your company(ies) treat you and other shareholders with respect and fairness?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	173	82.8%
2	No	36	17.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#910 b4qe: Stakeholders grievances**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=317 /-] [Invalid=19481 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, is there a policy for dealing with stakeholders grievances in your company		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	231	73.3%
2	No	84	26.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#911 b4q7a: Quit the organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=303 /-] [Invalid=19495 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Quit the organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	157	51.8%
2	Likely	98	32.3%
3	Unlikely	29	9.6%
4	Most unlikely	19	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#912 b4q7b: Petition the government to intervene**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=203 /-] [Invalid=19595 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Petition the government to intervene		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	73	36.0%
2	Likely	61	30.0%
3	Unlikely	42	20.7%
4	Most unlikely	27	13.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#913 b4q7c: Protest to shareholders association**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=194 /-] [Invalid=19604 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Protest to shareholders association		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	70	36.1%
2	Likely	79	40.7%
3	Unlikely	22	11.3%
4	Most unlikely	23	11.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#914 b4q7d: Raise the issue during an AGM**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=197 /-] [Invalid=19601 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Raise the issue during an AGM		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	95	48.2%
2	Likely	71	36.0%
3	Unlikely	21	10.7%
4	Most unlikely	10	5.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#915 b4q7e: Lobby to have directors voted out**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=189 /-] [Invalid=19609 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Lobby to have directors voted out		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	70	37.0%
2	Likely	57	30.2%
3	Unlikely	33	17.5%
4	Most unlikely	29	15.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#915 b4q7e: Lobby to have directors voted out** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#916 b4q7f: Go to the press**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=186 /-] [Invalid=19612 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Go to the press		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	51	27.6%
2	Likely	58	31.4%
3	Unlikely	50	27.0%
4	Most unlikely	26	14.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#917 b4q7g: Seek legal redress/go to court**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=183 /-] [Invalid=19615 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Seek legal redress/go to court		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	54	29.5%
2	Likely	67	36.6%
3	Unlikely	40	21.9%
4	Most unlikely	22	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#918 b4q7h: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=144 /-] [Invalid=19654 /-]		
Pre-question	What options exist in the event of poor treatment in your organization?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Rank the following options from the most likely to the least likely		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mostly likely	45	31.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#918 b4q7h: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Likely	48	33.3%
3	Unlikely	15	10.4%
4	Most unlikely	36	25.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#919 b4q8a: Employees**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=277 /-] [Invalid=19521 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Employees		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	50	18.1%
2	Respectful	102	36.8%
3	Fairly Respectful	73	26.4%
4	Poorly respectful	48	17.3%
5	Not respectful at all	4	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#920 b4q8b: Directors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=277 /-] [Invalid=19521 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Directors		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	57	20.6%
2	Respectful	132	47.7%
3	Fairly Respectful	54	19.5%
4	Poorly respectful	32	11.6%
5	Not respectful at all	2	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#921 b4q8c: Creditors (secured)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#921 b4q8c: Creditors (secured)** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=277 /-] [Invalid=19521 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Creditors (secured)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	44	15.9%
2	Respectful	137	49.5%
3	Fairly Respectful	64	23.1%
4	Poorly respectful	29	10.5%
5	Not respectful at all	3	1.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#922 b4q8d: Creditors (unsecured)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=281 /-] [Invalid=19517 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Creditors (unsecured)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	60	21.4%
2	Respectful	116	41.3%
3	Fairly Respectful	64	22.8%
4	Poorly respectful	36	12.8%
5	Not respectful at all	5	1.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#923 b4q8e: Consumers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=275 /-] [Invalid=19523 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Consumers		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	29	10.5%
2	Respectful	118	42.9%
3	Fairly Respectful	91	33.1%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#923 b4q8e: Consumers** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Poorly respectful	32	11.6%
5	Not respectful at all	5	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#924 b4q8f: Suppliers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=275 /-] [Invalid=19523 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Suppliers		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	31	11.3%
2	Respectful	110	40.0%
3	Fairly Respectful	89	32.4%
4	Poorly respectful	40	14.5%
5	Not respectful at all	5	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#925 b4q8g: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=281 /-] [Invalid=19517 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	41	14.7%
2	Respectful	126	45.3%
3	Fairly Respectful	78	28.1%
4	Poorly respectful	29	10.4%
5	Not respectful at all	4	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#926 b4q8h: Local communities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=240 /-] [Invalid=19558 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#926 b4q8h: Local communities** (cont.)

Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Local communities		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	26	10.8%
2	Respectful	109	45.4%
3	Fairly Respectful	63	26.3%
4	Poorly respectful	38	15.8%
5	Not respectful at all	4	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#927 b4q8i: Pensioners**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=226 /-] [Invalid=19572 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Pensioners		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	21	9.3%
2	Respectful	94	41.6%
3	Fairly Respectful	60	26.5%
4	Poorly respectful	39	17.3%
5	Not respectful at all	12	5.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#928 b4q8j: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=242 /-] [Invalid=19556 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion do companies and organizations treat the following stakeholders with respect and fairness?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter response code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Respectful	47	19.4%
2	Respectful	112	46.3%
3	Fairly Respectful	36	14.9%
4	Poorly respectful	18	7.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#928 b4q8j: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not respectful at all	29	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#929 b5q1: Company Information**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 /-] [Invalid=19441 /-]
Pre-question	How often do you get information about your company /organizations / society etc?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most likely	43	12.1%
2	Likely	118	33.1%
3	Unlikely	157	44.1%
4	Most unlikely	38	10.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#930 b5qa: Do you receive audited accounts from your company every year**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=340 /-] [Invalid=19458 /-]
Pre-question	Do you receive audited accounts from your company every year?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	223	66.8%
2	No	111	33.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#931 b5q3: Do the accounts represent the correct position of the compan**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=329 /-] [Invalid=19469 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion, do the accounts represent the correct position of the company?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	213	64.9%
2	No	115	35.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#932 b5q4: Do you any other information apart from the accounts**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

## File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)

## #932 b5q4: Do you any other information apart from the accounts (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=327 /-] [Invalid=19471 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you receive other information about the company other than the accounts?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	183	56.5%
2	No	141	43.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

## #933 b5q5a: Future business prospect and operating climate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=245 /-] [Invalid=19553 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Future business prospect and operating climate		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	161	66.0%
2	No	83	34.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

## #934 b5q5b: Human resource reports

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=241 /-] [Invalid=19557 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Human resource reports		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	132	55.0%
2	No	108	45.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

## #935 b5q5c: Activities in local communities

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=239 /-] [Invalid=19559 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Activities in local communities		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#935 b5q5c: Activities in local communities** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	111	46.6%
2	No	127	53.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#936 b5q5d: Competition**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=236 /-] [Invalid=19562 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Competition		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	95	40.6%
2	No	139	59.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#937 b5q5e: Major planned investments**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=233 /-] [Invalid=19565 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Major planned investments		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	150	64.4%
2	No	83	35.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#938 b5q5f: Disclosure of any business**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=231 /-] [Invalid=19567 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Disclosure of any business		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	110	47.6%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#938 b5q5f: Disclosure of any business (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	121	52.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#939 b5q5g: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=154 /-] [Invalid=19644 /-]		
Pre-question	If Yes, do you receive any of the following information?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	51	33.1%
2	No	103	66.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#940 b5q6a: Shareholders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=284 /-] [Invalid=19514 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, who appoints the auditors?		
Literal question	Shareholders		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	144	50.7%
2	No	140	49.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#941 b5q6b: Management**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=278 /-] [Invalid=19520 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, who appoints the auditors?		
Literal question	Management		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	141	50.7%
2	No	137	49.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#942 b5q6c: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=275 /-] [Invalid=19523 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, who appoints the auditors?		
Literal question	Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	70	25.5%
2	No	205	74.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#943 b5q6d: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=197 /-] [Invalid=19601 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, who appoints the auditors?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	44	22.3%
2	No	153	77.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#944 b5qb: Are you satisfied with the role of Auditors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=303 /-] [Invalid=19495 /-]		
Pre-question	Are you satisfied with the role of Auditors in protecting your interests as a shareholder?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	199	65.7%
2	No	104	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#945 b5q8: Audit supervisory committee**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=307 /-] [Invalid=19491 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you have an audit or supervisory committee in your company?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#945 b5q8: Audit supervisory committee** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	164	53.4%
2	No	61	19.9%
3	Don't Know	82	26.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#946 b5q9: Failure to file statutory reports**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=291 /-] [Invalid=19507 /-]
Pre-question	Has your company ever been in problems for the failure to file statutory reports and tax returns?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	44	15.1%
2	No	247	84.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#947 b5q10a: Shareholders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=284 /-] [Invalid=19514 /-]
Pre-question	Who appoints the Board of Directors/Management?
Literal question	Shareholders
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	169	59.5%
2	No	115	40.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#948 b5q10b: Management**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=278 /-] [Invalid=19520 /-]
Pre-question	Who appoints the Board of Directors/Management?
Literal question	Management
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	113	40.6%
2	No	165	59.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#949 b5q10c: Board of Directors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=278 /-] [Invalid=19520 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Board of Directors/Management?		
Literal question	Board of Directors		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	106	38.1%
2	No	172	61.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#950 b5q10d: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=278 /-] [Invalid=19520 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Board of Directors/Management?		
Literal question	Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	50	18.0%
2	No	228	82.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#951 b5q10e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=178 /-] [Invalid=19620 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Board of Directors/Management?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	24	13.5%
2	No	154	86.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#952 b5q11a: Shareholders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=260 /-] [Invalid=19538 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#952 b5q11a: Shareholders** (cont.)

Pre-question	Who appoints the Chairman of the Board?		
Literal question	Shareholders		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	132	50.8%
2	No	128	49.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#953 b5q11b: Management**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=255 /-] [Invalid=19543 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chairman of the Board?		
Literal question	Management		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	109	42.7%
2	No	146	57.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#954 b5q11c: Board of Directors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=255 /-] [Invalid=19543 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chairman of the Board?		
Literal question	Board of Directors		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	110	43.1%
2	No	145	56.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#955 b5q11d: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=258 /-] [Invalid=19540 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chairman of the Board?		
Literal question	Government		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#955 b5q11d: Government (cont.)**

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	53	20.5%
2	No	205	79.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#956 b5q11e: Others specify**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=175 /-] [Invalid=19623 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chairman of the Board?		
Literal question	Others specify		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	23	13.1%
2	No	152	86.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#957 b5q12a: Shareholders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=281 /-] [Invalid=19517 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chief Executive in the company you know about?		
Literal question	Shareholders		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	87	31.0%
2	No	194	69.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#958 b5q12b: Management**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=274 /-] [Invalid=19524 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chief Executive in the company you know about?		
Literal question	Management		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#958 b5q12b: Management** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	102	37.2%
2	No	172	62.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#959 b5q12c: Board of Directors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=276 /-] [Invalid=19522 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chief Executive in the company you know about?		
Literal question	Board of Directors		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	162	58.7%
2	No	114	41.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#960 b5q12d: Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=271 /-] [Invalid=19527 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chief Executive in the company you know about?		
Literal question	Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	68	25.1%
2	No	203	74.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#961 b5q12e: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=19607 /-]		
Pre-question	Who appoints the Chief Executive in the company you know about?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	20	10.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#961 b5q12e: Others (specify)** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	171	89.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#962 b5q13: Role and functions of the chairman and directors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=288 /-] [Invalid=19510 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion or experience, are the roles and functions of the Chairman and Directors clear and well defined?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	208	72.2%
2	No	80	27.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#963 b5q14: Most experienced personnel**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=287 /-] [Invalid=19511 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion or experience, are the most experienced personnel often appointed as Chairmen, Directors and Chief Executive Officers based on training, qualifications, skills and integrity?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	197	68.6%
2	No	90	31.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#964 b5q15a: Local leader**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=126 /-] [Invalid=19672 /-]		
Pre-question	If No, what are the considerations in making the appointments of the directors / management committees?		
Literal question	Local leader		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	62	49.2%
2	No	64	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#965 b5q15b: Political connection**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=119 /-] [Invalid=19679 /-]		
Pre-question	If No, what are the considerations in making the appointments of the directors / management committees?		
Literal question	Political connection		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	37	31.1%
2	No	82	68.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#966 b5q15c: Old boy/girl networks**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=120 /-] [Invalid=19678 /-]		
Pre-question	If No, what are the considerations in making the appointments of the directors / management committees?		
Literal question	Old boy/girl networks		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	60	50.0%
2	No	60	50.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#967 b5q15d: Recommendation from previous board**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=119 /-] [Invalid=19679 /-]		
Pre-question	If No, what are the considerations in making the appointments of the directors / management committees?		
Literal question	Recommendation from previous board		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	54	45.4%
2	No	65	54.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#968 b5q15e: Representative of group interest**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=104 /-] [Invalid=19694 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#968 b5q15e: Representative of group interest** (cont.)

Pre-question	If No, what are the considerations in making the appointments of the directors / management committees?		
Literal question	Representative of group interest		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	22	21.2%
2	No	82	78.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#969 b5q16: In your opinion, how would you rate the boards of directors / management committees you are aware of in steering the companies to success?**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=288 -] [Invalid=19510 -]		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how would you rate the boards of directors / management committees you are aware of in steering the companies to success?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Good	50	17.4%
2	Good	164	56.9%
3	Fair	64	22.2%
4	Poor	8	2.8%
5	Very Poor	2	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#970 b5q17: How do you rate boards of directors/management committees**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=287 -] [Invalid=19511 -]		
Pre-question	In your opinion or experience, do Board of Directors / Management Committee always act in the best interest of the company?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	206	71.8%
2	No	81	28.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#971 b5q18a: Searching for business opportunities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=205 -] [Invalid=19593 -]		
Pre-question	In what way?		
Literal question	Searching for business opportunities		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#971 b5q18a: Searching for business opportunities** (cont.)

Post-question	If Yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	161	78.5%
2	No	44	21.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#972 b5q18b: Formulating policies to improve quality of services**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=203 /-] [Invalid=19595 /-]		
Pre-question	In what way?		
Literal question	Formulating policies to improve quality of services		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	175	86.2%
2	No	28	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#973 b5q18c: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=171 /-] [Invalid=19627 /-]		
Pre-question	In what way?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Post-question	If Yes,		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	59	34.5%
2	No	112	65.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#974 b5q19: Conflict of interest**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=287 /-] [Invalid=19511 /-]		
Pre-question	Do the board always act in good faith and without conflict of interest?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	200	69.7%
2	No	87	30.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#975 b5q20: Does the board of directors/management committee comply with**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=341 /-] [Invalid=19457 /-]		
Pre-question	In your opinion or experience, does the Board of Directors/ Management Committee comply with the law?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	260	76.2%
2	No	81	23.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#976 ob1q1a: Ethnicity**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19329 /-] [Invalid=469 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Ethnicity		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4886	25.3%
2	High	7911	40.9%
3	Medium	3801	19.7%
4	Low	1773	9.2%
5	Very low	958	5.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#977 ob1q1b: Religious intolerance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19317 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Religious intolerance		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5273	27.3%
2	High	7554	39.1%
3	Medium	3884	20.1%
4	Low	1777	9.2%
5	Very low	829	4.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#978 ob1q1c: Inequality in distribution of resources**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#978 ob1q1c: Inequality in distribution of resources** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19286 /-] [Invalid=512 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Inequality in distribution of resources		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3775	19.6%
2	High	7637	39.6%
3	Medium	4988	25.9%
4	Low	1959	10.2%
5	Very low	926	4.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#979 ob1q1d: Unequal economic opportunities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19262 /-] [Invalid=536 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Unequal economic opportunities		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3536	18.4%
2	High	7381	38.3%
3	Medium	5107	26.5%
4	Low	2356	12.2%
5	Very low	882	4.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#980 ob1q1e: Political exclusion/Marginalisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19282 /-] [Invalid=516 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Political exclusion/Marginalisation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4830	25.0%
2	High	7959	41.3%
3	Medium	4106	21.3%
4	Low	1615	8.4%
5	Very low	772	4.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#981 ob1q1f: Poverty and unemployment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19326 /-] [Invalid=472 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Poverty and unemployment		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7464	38.6%
2	High	6929	35.9%
3	Medium	2917	15.1%
4	Low	1395	7.2%
5	Very low	621	3.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#982 ob1q1g: Political manipulation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18713 /-] [Invalid=1085 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the contribution of these factors to the occurrence and/or increase of internal conflicts.		
Literal question	Political manipulation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6896	36.9%
2	High	7075	37.8%
3	Medium	2657	14.2%
4	Low	1333	7.1%
5	Very low	752	4.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#983 ob1q2f: Establishment of inter-religion council**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19353 /-] [Invalid=445 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the following measures taken by government in the prevention and management of internal conflicts		
Literal question	Establishment of inter-religion council		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	2510	13.0%
2	Effective	5607	29.0%
3	Moderate	5752	29.7%
4	Not effective	2394	12.4%
5	Don't know	3088	16.0%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#983 ob1q2f: Establishment of inter-religion council** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#984 ob1q2g: Rapid intervention in conflict situation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19346 /-] [Invalid=452 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the following measures taken by government in the prevention and management of internal conflicts
Literal question	Rapid intervention in conflict situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1987	10.3%
2	Effective	6098	31.5%
3	Moderate	5502	28.4%
4	Not effective	2803	14.5%
5	Don't know	2954	15.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#985 ob1q2h: Training and empowerment of conflict resolution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19315 /-] [Invalid=483 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the following measures taken by government in the prevention and management of internal conflicts
Literal question	Training and empowerment of conflict resolution

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1439	7.5%
2	Effective	5325	27.6%
3	Moderate	5950	30.8%
4	Not effective	3113	16.1%
5	Don't know	3486	18.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#986 ob1q2i: Encouragement of international conflict resolution intervent**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19317 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the following measures taken by government in the prevention and management of internal conflicts
Literal question	Encouragement of international conflict resolution intervent

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#986 ob1q2i: Encouragement of international conflict resolution intervent** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1241	6.4%
2	Effective	4967	25.7%
3	Moderate	5796	30.0%
4	Not effective	3417	17.7%
5	Don't know	3894	20.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#987 ob1q2j: Enhancement of capacity of internal security agencies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19316 /-] [Invalid=482 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the following measures taken by government in the prevention and management of internal conflicts
Literal question	Enhancement of capacity of internal security agencies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1432	7.4%
2	Effective	5331	27.6%
3	Moderate	6157	31.9%
4	Not effective	2887	14.9%
5	Don't know	3507	18.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#988 ob1q2k: Using of Traditional rulers/opinion leaders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18925 /-] [Invalid=873 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the following measures taken by government in the prevention and management of internal conflicts
Literal question	Using of Traditional rulers/opinion leaders

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	2780	14.7%
2	Effective	6019	31.8%
3	Moderate	5039	26.6%
4	Not effective	2290	12.1%
5	Don't know	2795	14.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#989 ob1q3f: Traditional rulers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19399 /-] [Invalid=399 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?		
Literal question	Traditional rulers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	13272	68.4%
2	Non supportive	3383	17.4%
3	Don't know	2741	14.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#990 ob1q3g: Socio-cultural bodies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19388 /-] [Invalid=410 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?		
Literal question	Socio-cultural bodies		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	9600	49.5%
2	Non supportive	5489	28.3%
3	Don't know	4294	22.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#991 ob1q3h: Politicians**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19393 /-] [Invalid=405 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?		
Literal question	Politicians		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	6870	35.5%
2	Non supportive	8483	43.8%
3	Don't know	4021	20.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#992 ob1q3i: Religious organisations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19367 /-] [Invalid=431 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#992 ob1q3i: Religious organisations** (cont.)

Literal question		Religious organisations	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	12367	63.9%
2	Non supportive	3851	19.9%
3	Don't know	3136	16.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#993 ob1q3j: Opinion leaders**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19335 /-] [Invalid=463 /-]	
Pre-question		What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?	
Literal question		Opinion leaders	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	10383	53.7%
2	Non supportive	4839	25.0%
3	Don't know	4102	21.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#994 ob1q3k: Labour leaders**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19334 /-] [Invalid=464 /-]	
Pre-question		What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?	
Literal question		Labour leaders	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	10224	52.9%
2	Non supportive	4937	25.5%
3	Don't know	4165	21.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#995 ob1q3l: Media**

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=19138 /-] [Invalid=660 /-]	
Pre-question		What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?	
Literal question		Media	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	10949	57.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#995 ob1q3l: Media** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Non supportive	4364	22.8%
3	Don't know	3818	20.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#996 ob1q3m: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12052 /-] [Invalid=7746 /-]		
Pre-question	What is your opinion on the role of other stakeholders in the prevention and management of internal conflicts?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supportive	3496	29.0%
2	Non supportive	2715	22.5%
3	Don't know	5838	48.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#997 ob1qc: Lives and property of citizens**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18281 /-] [Invalid=1517 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the security of lives and property of citizens in Nigeria today.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very secure	1314	7.2%
2	Fairly secure	8645	47.3%
3	Not secure	8320	45.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#998 ob1q5f: Colonial boundaries**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19033 /-] [Invalid=765 /-]		
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:		
Literal question	Colonial boundaries		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4432	23.3%
2	High	5857	30.8%
3	Medium	4982	26.2%
4	Low	2509	13.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#998 ob1q5f: Colonial boundaries** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low	1251	6.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#999 ob1q5g: Racial difference**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18979 /-] [Invalid=819 /-]		
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:		
Literal question	Racial difference		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2640	13.9%
2	High	5979	31.5%
3	Medium	5175	27.3%
4	Low	3452	18.2%
5	Very low	1732	9.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1000 ob1q5h: Illicit arm trade**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18972 /-] [Invalid=826 /-]		
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:		
Literal question	Illicit arm trade		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3156	16.6%
2	High	5986	31.6%
3	Medium	5609	29.6%
4	Low	2848	15.0%
5	Very low	1372	7.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1001 ob1q5i: Cross-border crime**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18993 /-] [Invalid=805 /-]		
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:		
Literal question	Cross-border crime		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1001 ob1q5i: Cross-border crime (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3759	19.8%
2	High	6744	35.5%
3	Medium	5150	27.1%
4	Low	2148	11.3%
5	Very low	1190	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1002 ob1q5j: Political difference

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18992 /-] [Invalid=806 /-]
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:
Literal question	Political difference

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4076	21.5%
2	High	6040	31.8%
3	Medium	5005	26.4%
4	Low	2655	14.0%
5	Very low	1215	6.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1003 ob1q5k: Resource control

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18812 /-] [Invalid=986 /-]
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:
Literal question	Resource control

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3724	19.8%
2	High	5995	31.9%
3	Medium	4901	26.1%
4	Low	2852	15.2%
5	Very low	1339	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1004 ob1q5l: Others (specify)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*
-------------	---

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1004 ob1q5l: Others (specify) (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10393 /-] [Invalid=9405 /-]		
Pre-question	Please rank the following sources of conflicts between countries in Africa:		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1379	13.3%
2	High	2637	25.4%
3	Medium	2147	20.7%
4	Low	1238	11.9%
5	Very low	2990	28.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1005 ob1q6l: Ethnicity

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18331 /-] [Invalid=1467 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Ethnicity		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3580	19.5%
2	High	6412	35.0%
3	Medium	4865	26.5%
4	Low	1968	10.7%
5	Very low	1504	8.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1006 ob1q6m: Religious intolerance

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18286 /-] [Invalid=1512 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Religious intolerance		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2847	15.6%
2	High	5772	31.6%
3	Medium	5645	30.9%
4	Low	2476	13.5%
5	Very low	1544	8.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1007 ob1q6n: Inequality in distribution of resources			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18264 /-] [Invalid=1534 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Inequality in distribution of resources		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2410	13.2%
2	High	5785	31.7%
3	Medium	5514	30.2%
4	Low	2894	15.8%
5	Very low	1659	9.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1008 ob1q6o: Unequal economic opportunities			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18279 /-] [Invalid=1519 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Unequal economic opportunities		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2594	14.2%
2	High	5671	31.0%
3	Medium	5670	31.0%
4	Low	2749	15.0%
5	Very low	1593	8.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1009 ob1q6p: Political exclusion/marginalisation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18275 /-] [Invalid=1523 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Political exclusion/marginalisation		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3065	16.8%
2	High	6080	33.3%
3	Medium	4929	27.0%
4	Low	2537	13.9%
5	Very low	1662	9.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1010 ob1q6q: Poverty and unemployment**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18288 /-] [Invalid=1510 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Poverty and unemployment		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4033	22.1%
2	High	5823	31.8%
3	Medium	4389	24.0%
4	Low	2246	12.3%
5	Very low	1795	9.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1011 ob1q6r: Political manipulation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17659 /-] [Invalid=2139 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of involvement of Nigeria in ECOWAS peace operations in the West African sub-region		
Literal question	Political manipulation e.g. Political incitement		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4057	23.0%
2	High	5734	32.5%
3	Medium	4299	24.3%
4	Low	1858	10.5%
5	Very low	1709	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1012 b2q1: Positive impact on the lives of Nigerians**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19490 /-] [Invalid=308 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the laws made by the legislators have positive impact on the lives of Nigerians?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2038	10.5%
2	Agree	7143	36.6%
3	Disagree	3937	20.2%
4	Strongly disagree	2783	14.3%
5	Don't know	3589	18.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1013 b2q21a: Development-Counciliors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19412 /-] [Invalid=386 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Development-Counciliors		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	560	2.9%
2	Well	2242	11.5%
3	Moderately well	4912	25.3%
4	Poorly	8437	43.5%
5	Very poorly	3261	16.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1014 b2q21b: Development-S/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19416 /-] [Invalid=382 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Development-S/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	533	2.7%
2	Well	2569	13.2%
3	Moderately well	5664	29.2%
4	Poorly	7702	39.7%
5	Very poorly	2948	15.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1015 b2q21c: Development-N/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19418 /-] [Invalid=380 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Development-N/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	665	3.4%
2	Well	2758	14.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1015 b2q21c: Development-N/Assembly** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderately well	5448	28.1%
4	Poorly	7414	38.2%
5	Very poorly	3133	16.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1016 b2q22a: Organizing Security-Councilors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19387 /-] [Invalid=411 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Organizing Security-Councilors		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	517	2.7%
2	Well	2186	11.3%
3	Moderately well	5078	26.2%
4	Poorly	8278	42.7%
5	Very poorly	3328	17.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1017 b2q22b: Organizing Security-S/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19377 /-] [Invalid=421 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Organizing Security-S/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	574	3.0%
2	Well	2416	12.5%
3	Moderately well	5605	28.9%
4	Poorly	7801	40.3%
5	Very poorly	2981	15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1018 b2q22c: Organizing Security-N/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1018 b2q22c: Organizing Security-N/Assembly** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19351 /-] [Invalid=447 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Organizing Security-N/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	697	3.6%
2	Well	2635	13.6%
3	Moderately well	5188	26.8%
4	Poorly	7685	39.7%
5	Very poorly	3146	16.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1019 b2q23a: Revolving Conflicts-Councilors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19344 /-] [Invalid=454 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Revolving Conflicts-Councilors		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	595	3.1%
2	Well	2417	12.5%
3	Moderately well	5415	28.0%
4	Poorly	7829	40.5%
5	Very poorly	3088	16.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1020 b2q23b: Revolving Conflicts-S/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19340 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Revolving Conflicts-S/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	688	3.6%
2	Well	2545	13.2%
3	Moderately well	6283	32.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1020 b2q23b: Revolving Conflicts-S/Assembly** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Poorly	7095	36.7%
5	Very poorly	2729	14.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1021 b2q23c: Revolving Conflicts-N/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19344 /-] [Invalid=454 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Revolving Conflicts-N/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	792	4.1%
2	Well	2760	14.3%
3	Moderately well	5630	29.1%
4	Poorly	7187	37.2%
5	Very poorly	2975	15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1022 b2q24a: Representation in Parliament-Councillors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19306 /-] [Invalid=492 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Representation in Parliament-Councillors		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	603	3.1%
2	Well	2485	12.9%
3	Moderately well	5110	26.5%
4	Poorly	7919	41.0%
5	Very poorly	3189	16.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1023 b2q24b: Representation in Parliament-S/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19331 /-] [Invalid=467 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1023 b2q24b: Representation in Parliament-S/Assembly** (cont.)

Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Representation in Parliament-S/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	714	3.7%
2	Well	2618	13.5%
3	Moderately well	5672	29.3%
4	Poorly	7505	38.8%
5	Very poorly	2822	14.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1024 b2q24c: Representation in Parliament-N/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19329 /-] [Invalid=469 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Representation in Parliament-N/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	852	4.4%
2	Well	2832	14.7%
3	Moderately well	5293	27.4%
4	Poorly	7331	37.9%
5	Very poorly	3021	15.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1025 b2q25a: Attending to Constituents personal needs-Councilors**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19174 /-] [Invalid=624 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Attending to Constituents personal needs-Councilors		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	830	4.3%
2	Well	2406	12.5%
3	Moderately well	4507	23.5%
4	Poorly	7967	41.6%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1025 b2q25a: Attending to Constituents personal needs-Councilors** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very poorly	3464	18.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1026 b2q25b: Attending to Constituents personal needs-S/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19169 /-] [Invalid=629 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Attending to Constituents personal needs-S/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	798	4.2%
2	Well	2491	13.0%
3	Moderately well	4912	25.6%
4	Poorly	7823	40.8%
5	Very poorly	3145	16.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1027 b2q25c: Attending to Constituents personal needs-N/Assembly**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19053 /-] [Invalid=745 /-]		
Pre-question	How well do your elected representatives strive to represent your interests in the following areas?		
Literal question	Attending to Constituents personal needs-N/Assembly		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	1048	5.5%
2	Well	3100	16.3%
3	Moderately well	4416	23.2%
4	Poorly	7339	38.5%
5	Very poorly	3150	16.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1028 b2q3: Rule of law**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18943 /-] [Invalid=855 /-]
Pre-question	In your opinion does the rule of law apply equally to everyone in Nigeria?

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1028 b2q3: Rule of law** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3045	16.4%
2	No	12757	68.8%
3	Don't know	2729	14.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1029 b2q4h: Wealth**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16099 /-] [Invalid=3699 /-]		
Pre-question	What is responsible for partiality?		
Literal question	Wealth		
Post-question	If No,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12861	79.9%
2	No	3226	20.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1030 b2q4i: Corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16105 /-] [Invalid=3693 /-]		
Pre-question	What is responsible for partiality?		
Literal question	Corruption		
Post-question	If No,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13274	82.5%
2	No	2825	17.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1031 b2q4j: Personal Vendetta**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15961 /-] [Invalid=3837 /-]		
Pre-question	What is responsible for partiality?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1031 b2q4j: Personal Vendetta** (cont.)

Literal question	Personal Vendetta		
Post-question	If No,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9712	60.9%
2	No	6244	39.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1032 b2q4k: Political connection**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15971 /-] [Invalid=3827 /-]		
Pre-question	What is responsible for partiality?		
Literal question	Political connection		
Post-question	If No,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12709	79.7%
2	No	3239	20.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1033 b2q4l: Social status/respect**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15839 /-] [Invalid=3959 /-]		
Pre-question	What is responsible for partiality?		
Literal question	Social status/respect		
Post-question	If No,		
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10619	67.1%
2	No	5198	32.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1034 b2q4m: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12841 /-] [Invalid=6957 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1034 b2q4m: Others (specify) (cont.)**

Pre-question	What is responsible for partiality?
Literal question	Others (specify)
Post-question	If No,
Interviewer's instructions	Tick appropriately

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2040	15.9%
2	No	10751	84.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1035 b2qc: Creation of states and LGA**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19539 /-] [Invalid=259 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree that the creation of states and local government councils has improved the delivery of services and participatory governance?
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	5496	28.1%
2	Agree	7972	40.8%
3	Disagree	2438	12.5%
4	Strongly disagree	1678	8.6%
5	Don't know	1955	10.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1036 b2qd: Constitutional responsibilities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19526 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]
Pre-question	State and local governments have enough resources to carry out their constitutional responsibilities.
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	2848	14.6%
2	Agree	6147	31.5%
3	Disagree	4987	25.5%
4	Strongly disagree	1823	9.3%
5	Don't know	3720	19.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1037 b2q7n: Federal			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19267 /-] [Invalid=531 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate the autonomy exercised by each tier of government.		
Literal question	Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	8252	42.8%
2	High	5867	30.5%
3	Medium	3166	16.4%
4	Low	1169	6.1%
5	Very low	811	4.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1038 b2q7o: State			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19251 /-] [Invalid=547 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate the autonomy exercised by each tier of government.		
Literal question	State		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2209	11.5%
2	High	8262	42.9%
3	Medium	5698	29.6%
4	Low	2193	11.4%
5	Very low	887	4.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1039 b2q7p: Local			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19229 /-] [Invalid=569 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate the autonomy exercised by each tier of government.		
Literal question	Local		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1329	6.9%
2	High	3716	19.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1039 b2q7p: Local** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	7001	36.4%
4	Low	4337	22.6%
5	Very low	2844	14.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1040 b2q8a: State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19367 /-] [Invalid=431 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that more powers and resources should be given to states and local Governments?		
Literal question	State		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	3666	18.9%
2	Agree	9062	46.8%
3	Disagree	3234	16.7%
4	Strongly disagree	1505	7.8%
5	Don't know	1900	9.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1041 b2q8b: Local**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19358 /-] [Invalid=440 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that more powers and resources should be given to states and local Governments?		
Literal question	Local		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	4207	21.7%
2	Agree	8596	44.4%
3	Disagree	3122	16.1%
4	Strongly disagree	1498	7.7%
5	Don't know	1935	10.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1042 b3qf: Multi Ethnic composition**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1042 b3qf: Multi Ethnic composition** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19490 /-] [Invalid=308 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Multi-ethnic composition of Nigeria promotes democracy and good political governance?		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1989	10.2%
2	Agree	6530	33.5%
3	Disagree	5039	25.9%
4	Strongly disagree	2590	13.3%
5	Don't know	3339	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1043 b3q2a: Local Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19557 /-] [Invalid=241 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 1999.		
Literal question	Local Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter Code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	8694	44.5%
2	Not free & fair	8863	45.3%
3	Don't know	1997	10.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1044 b3q2b: State Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19550 /-] [Invalid=248 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 1999.		
Literal question	State Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter Code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	8538	43.7%
2	Not free & fair	8810	45.1%
3	Don't know	2200	11.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1045 b3q2c: Governorship**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19551 /-] [Invalid=247 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 1999.		
Literal question	Governorship		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter Code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	8491	43.4%
2	Not free & fair	8950	45.8%
3	Don't know	2108	10.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1046 b3q2d: Federal Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19539 /-] [Invalid=259 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 1999.		
Literal question	Federal Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter Code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	8406	43.0%
2	Not free & fair	8927	45.7%
3	Don't know	2204	11.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1047 b3q2e: Presidential**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19235 /-] [Invalid=563 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 1999.		
Literal question	Presidential		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter Code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	8324	43.3%
2	Not free & fair	8656	45.0%
3	Don't know	2252	11.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1048 b3q3o: State legislature			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19553 /-] [Invalid=245 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 2003.		
Literal question	State legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	4257	21.8%
2	Not free & fair	13255	67.8%
3	Don't know	2040	10.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1049 b3q3p: Governorship			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19545 /-] [Invalid=253 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 2003.		
Literal question	Governorship		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	4282	21.9%
2	Not free & fair	13216	67.6%
3	Don't know	2045	10.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1050 b3q3q: Federal legislature			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19535 /-] [Invalid=263 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 2003.		
Literal question	Federal legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	4085	20.9%
2	Not free & fair	13187	67.5%
3	Don't know	2262	11.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1051 b3q3r: Presidential			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19417 /-] [Invalid=381 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate elections conducted in 2003.		
Literal question	Presidential		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	4444	22.9%
2	Not free & fair	12854	66.2%
3	Don't know	2118	10.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1052 b3qg: Evaluate LGA elections conducted in 2004			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19362 /-] [Invalid=436 /-]		
Pre-question	Evaluate Local Government elections conducted in 2004.		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Free & Fair	4099	21.2%
2	Not free & fair	12348	63.8%
3	Don't know	2913	15.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1053 b3q5e: Ethnicity			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19258 /-] [Invalid=540 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Ethnicity		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5481	28.5%
2	High	7111	36.9%
3	Medium	3852	20.0%
4	Low	1865	9.7%
5	Very low	949	4.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1054 b3q5f: Excessive use of money**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19286 /-] [Invalid=512 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Excessive use of money		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	8444	43.8%
2	High	7352	38.1%
3	Medium	2203	11.4%
4	Low	825	4.3%
5	Very low	462	2.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1055 b3q5g: God fatherism**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19250 /-] [Invalid=548 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	God fatherism		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	8424	43.8%
2	High	7050	36.6%
3	Medium	2239	11.6%
4	Low	947	4.9%
5	Very low	590	3.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1056 b3q5h: Incumbency factor**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19227 /-] [Invalid=571 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Incumbency factor		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7427	38.6%
2	High	6441	33.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1056 b3q5h: Incumbency factor** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	3362	17.5%
4	Low	1306	6.8%
5	Very low	691	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1057 b3q5i: Lack of political education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19202 /-] [Invalid=596 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Lack of political education		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4757	24.8%
2	High	6566	34.2%
3	Medium	4556	23.7%
4	Low	2401	12.5%
5	Very low	922	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1058 b3q5j: Manipulation of election bodies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19222 /-] [Invalid=576 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Manipulation of election bodies		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7433	38.7%
2	High	6905	35.9%
3	Medium	2963	15.4%
4	Low	1203	6.3%
5	Very low	718	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1059 b3q5k: Manipulation of religion**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1059 b3q5k: Manipulation of religion (cont.)**

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19153 /-] [Invalid=645 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Manipulation of religion		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4081	21.3%
2	High	6040	31.5%
3	Medium	4105	21.4%
4	Low	3287	17.2%
5	Very low	1640	8.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1060 b3q5l: Weak political parties**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18999 /-] [Invalid=799 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Weak political parties		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3553	18.7%
2	High	5683	29.9%
3	Medium	4705	24.8%
4	Low	3136	16.5%
5	Very low	1922	10.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1061 b3q5m: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10855 /-] [Invalid=8943 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors as negative, their influences, on the conduct of free and fair elections in Nigeria:		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1737	16.0%
2	High	2998	27.6%
3	Medium	1972	18.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1061 b3q5m: Others (specify)** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	1327	12.2%
5	Very low	2821	26.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1062 b3qh: Dominance of one powerful political party**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19447 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]		
Pre-question	Has the practice of democracy been narrowed by the dominance of one powerful political party.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	6728	34.6%
2	Agree	6895	35.5%
3	Don't know	3559	18.3%
4	Disagree	1648	8.5%
5	Strongly disagree	617	3.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1063 b3qj: The process of policy and decision making**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19438 /-] [Invalid=360 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that Governments at all levels accommodate the views of the opposition in the process of policy and decision making?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1138	5.9%
2	Agree	4097	21.1%
3	Don't know	5719	29.4%
4	Disagree	5559	28.6%
5	Strongly disagree	2925	15.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1064 b3q8u: Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19400 /-] [Invalid=398 /-]
Pre-question	To what level does government takes accounts of, and respond to Public Opinion?
Literal question	Federal
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1064 b3q8u: Federal** (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1673	8.6%
2	High	3872	20.0%
3	Medium	4936	25.4%
4	Low	5538	28.5%
5	Very low	3381	17.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1065 b3q8v: State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19396 /-] [Invalid=402 /-]
Pre-question	To what level does government takes accounts of, and respond to Public Opinion?
Literal question	State
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	823	4.2%
2	High	3726	19.2%
3	Medium	5168	26.6%
4	Low	5999	30.9%
5	Very low	3680	19.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1066 b3q8w: Local**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19374 /-] [Invalid=424 /-]
Pre-question	To what level does government takes accounts of, and respond to Public Opinion?
Literal question	Local
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	866	4.5%
2	High	3187	16.5%
3	Medium	5172	26.7%
4	Low	5837	30.1%
5	Very low	4311	22.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1067 b3qj: Community leaders actively involved in the democratic proces**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19486 /-] [Invalid=312 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree that community leaders are actively involved in the democratic process?
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3429	17.6%
2	Agree	9310	47.8%
3	Don't know	3362	17.3%
4	Disagree	1341	6.9%
5	Strongly disagree	2040	10.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1068 b3q1p: Relevant of traditional rulers to democratic governnace**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19426 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]
Pre-question	How relevant are traditional rulers to democratic governance?
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very relevant	4991	25.7%
2	Relevant	10761	55.4%
3	Not relevant	3669	18.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1069 b3q11a: Aparthy and lack of trust**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19318 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:
Literal question	Aparthy and lack of trust
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4975	25.8%
2	High	8343	43.2%
3	Medium	3718	19.2%
4	Low	1427	7.4%
5	Very low	855	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1070 b3q11b: Electoral irregularities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19340 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Electoral irregularities		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6219	32.2%
2	High	8211	42.5%
3	Medium	3259	16.9%
4	Low	1052	5.4%
5	Very low	599	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1071 b3q11c: Ethno-religious intolerance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19312 /-] [Invalid=486 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Ethno-religious intolerance		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4037	20.9%
2	High	6941	35.9%
3	Medium	5107	26.4%
4	Low	2350	12.2%
5	Very low	877	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1072 b3q11d: Illiteracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19323 /-] [Invalid=475 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Illiteracy		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4991	25.8%
2	High	6673	34.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1072 b3q11d: Illitracy** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	4662	24.1%
4	Low	2072	10.7%
5	Very low	925	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1073 b3q11e: Manipulation by political elite**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19317 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Manipulation by political elite		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6777	35.1%
2	High	7472	38.7%
3	Medium	3199	16.6%
4	Low	1139	5.9%
5	Very low	730	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1074 b3q11f: Monetized politics**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19293 /-] [Invalid=505 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Monetized politics		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7312	37.9%
2	High	6448	33.4%
3	Medium	3416	17.7%
4	Low	1321	6.8%
5	Very low	796	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1075 b3q11g: Weak political culture**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1075 b3q11g: Weak political culture** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19284 /-] [Invalid=514 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Weak political culture		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4050	21.0%
2	High	6203	32.2%
3	Medium	5217	27.1%
4	Low	2654	13.8%
5	Very low	1160	6.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1076 b3q11h: Weak political parties**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19264 /-] [Invalid=534 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Weak political parties		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3625	18.8%
2	High	5948	30.9%
3	Medium	5383	27.9%
4	Low	2954	15.3%
5	Very low	1354	7.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1077 b3q11i: Involvement of Traditional rulers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19244 /-] [Invalid=554 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Involvement of Traditional rulers		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3616	18.8%
2	High	6354	33.0%
3	Medium	4979	25.9%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1077 b3q11i: Involvement of Traditional rulers** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	2962	15.4%
5	Very low	1333	6.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1078 b3q11j: Manipulation of electoral bodies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18390 /-] [Invalid=1408 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of each of the following on the democratic process:		
Literal question	Manipulation of electoral bodies		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6215	33.8%
2	High	6833	37.2%
3	Medium	3232	17.6%
4	Low	1246	6.8%
5	Very low	864	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1079 b3q12i: Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19339 /-] [Invalid=459 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the level of compliance to the rule of law and the supremacy of the constitution by all tiers of government.		
Literal question	Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2662	13.8%
2	High	5686	29.4%
3	Medium	5269	27.2%
4	Low	3996	20.7%
5	Very low	1725	8.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1080 b3q12j: State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19335 /-] [Invalid=463 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1080 b3q12j: State** (cont.)

Pre-question	Assess the level of compliance to the rule of law and the supremacy of the constitution by all tiers of government.
Literal question	State
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1163	6.0%
2	High	5370	27.8%
3	Medium	6542	33.8%
4	Low	4352	22.5%
5	Very low	1907	9.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1081 b3q12k: Local**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19318 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the level of compliance to the rule of law and the supremacy of the constitution by all tiers of government.
Literal question	Local
Interviewer's instructions	Please Enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1209	6.3%
2	High	4165	21.6%
3	Medium	6756	35.0%
4	Low	4869	25.2%
5	Very low	2318	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1082 b3q13k: Civil rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19316 /-] [Invalid=482 /-]
Pre-question	Rate the protection and promotion of the following rights:
Literal question	Civil rights

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1725	8.9%
2	High	4878	25.3%
3	Medium	6342	32.8%
4	Low	4359	22.6%
5	Very low	2010	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1083 b3q13l: Cultural rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19310 /-] [Invalid=488 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the protection and promotion of the following rights:		
Literal question	Cultural rights		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1537	8.0%
2	High	4593	23.8%
3	Medium	6992	36.2%
4	Low	4439	23.0%
5	Very low	1748	9.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1084 b3q13m: Economic rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19312 /-] [Invalid=486 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the protection and promotion of the following rights:		
Literal question	Economic rights		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1338	6.9%
2	High	4473	23.2%
3	Medium	6545	33.9%
4	Low	4882	25.3%
5	Very low	2073	10.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1085 b3q13n: Political rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19309 /-] [Invalid=489 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the protection and promotion of the following rights:		
Literal question	Political rights		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1687	8.7%
2	High	4854	25.1%
3	Medium	5854	30.3%
4	Low	4718	24.4%
5	Very low	2195	11.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1086 b3q13o: Social rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18967 /-] [Invalid=831 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the protection and promotion of the following rights:		
Literal question	Social rights		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1469	7.7%
2	High	4847	25.6%
3	Medium	6355	33.5%
4	Low	4287	22.6%
5	Very low	2009	10.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1087 b3q14g: Government institutions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19308 /-] [Invalid=490 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Government institutions		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2391	12.4%
2	High	5898	30.5%
3	Medium	6263	32.4%
4	Low	3128	16.2%
5	Very low	1628	8.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1088 b3q14h: Labour unions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19305 /-] [Invalid=493 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Labour unions		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2810	14.6%
2	High	7580	39.3%
3	Medium	5439	28.2%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1088 b3q14h: Labour unions** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	2491	12.9%
5	Very low	985	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1089 b3q14i: Media**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19277 /-] [Invalid=521 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Media		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3094	16.1%
2	High	6977	36.2%
3	Medium	5691	29.5%
4	Low	2510	13.0%
5	Very low	1005	5.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1090 b3q14j: Civil Society Organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19242 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Civil Society Organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1988	10.3%
2	High	5937	30.9%
3	Medium	6545	34.0%
4	Low	3436	17.9%
5	Very low	1336	6.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1091 b3q14k: Professional Bodies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19233 /-] [Invalid=565 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1091 b3q14k: Professional Bodies** (cont.)

Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Professional Bodies		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1859	9.7%
2	High	5251	27.3%
3	Medium	6777	35.2%
4	Low	3865	20.1%
5	Very low	1481	7.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1092 b3q14l: Religious organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19257 /-] [Invalid=541 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Religious organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2762	14.3%
2	High	6138	31.9%
3	Medium	6088	31.6%
4	Low	2952	15.3%
5	Very low	1317	6.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1093 b3q14m: Traditional Institutions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19225 /-] [Invalid=573 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Traditional Institutions		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1983	10.3%
2	High	5559	28.9%
3	Medium	6732	35.0%
4	Low	3548	18.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1093 b3q14m: Traditional Institutions** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low	1403	7.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1094 b3q14n: Non-Government Organisations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19183 /-] [Invalid=615 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Non-Government Organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2132	11.1%
2	High	5660	29.5%
3	Medium	6401	33.4%
4	Low	3506	18.3%
5	Very low	1484	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1095 b3q14o: Community Based Organisations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18703 /-] [Invalid=1095 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the role of the following organizations in the protection and promotion of the above rights		
Literal question	Community Based Organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1747	9.3%
2	High	4940	26.4%
3	Medium	6154	32.9%
4	Low	4005	21.4%
5	Very low	1857	9.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1096 b3q15h: Corporate Organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19025 /-] [Invalid=773 /-] [Mean=2.856 /-] [StdDev=1.055 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1096 b3q15h: Corporate Organisation** (cont.)

Literal question	Corporate Organisation
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

**#1097 b3q15i: Ethnic militas and vigilantes**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19052 /-] [Invalid=746 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights
Literal question	Ethnic militas and vigilantes
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3322	17.4%
2	High	6498	34.1%
3	Medium	5445	28.6%
4	Low	2713	14.2%
5	Very low	1074	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1098 b3q15j: Family units**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19030 /-] [Invalid=768 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights
Literal question	Family units
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1513	8.0%
2	High	3940	20.7%
3	Medium	6505	34.2%
4	Low	5042	26.5%
5	Very low	2030	10.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1099 b3q15k: Government officials**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19018 /-] [Invalid=780 /-]
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights
Literal question	Government officials

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1099 b3q15k: Government officials** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2830	14.9%
2	High	5805	30.5%
3	Medium	6041	31.8%
4	Low	3189	16.8%
5	Very low	1153	6.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1100 b3q15l: Other employers of labour**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18975 /-] [Invalid=823 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights		
Literal question	Other employers of labour		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1725	9.1%
2	High	5228	27.6%
3	Medium	6895	36.3%
4	Low	3587	18.9%
5	Very low	1540	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1101 b3q15m: Private individuals**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18969 /-] [Invalid=829 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights		
Literal question	Private individuals		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1770	9.3%
2	High	4711	24.8%
3	Medium	6425	33.9%
4	Low	4213	22.2%
5	Very low	1850	9.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1102 b3q15n: Security agencies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18972 /-] [Invalid=826 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights		
Literal question	Security agencies		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3703	19.5%
2	High	5522	29.1%
3	Medium	5121	27.0%
4	Low	3237	17.1%
5	Very low	1389	7.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1103 b3q15o: Traditional rulers**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18491 /-] [Invalid=1307 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the impact of the following actors on the violation of fundamental human rights		
Literal question	Traditional rulers		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1708	9.2%
2	High	4900	26.5%
3	Medium	5638	30.5%
4	Low	4324	23.4%
5	Very low	1921	10.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1104 b3q1q: Public decision making organs by all citizens**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19221 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that there is equal access to Public decision-making organs by all citizens?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	957	5.0%
2	Agree	3152	16.4%
3	Disagree	6937	36.1%
4	Strongly Disagree	4658	24.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1104 b3q1q: Public decision making organs by all citizens** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Don't Know	3517	18.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1105 b3q17a: Federal**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19391 /-] [Invalid=407 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that all geo-ethnic groups in the state and local government are fairly represented in government agencies, parastatals, and departments at all tiers of government in accordance with the federal character principle?		
Literal question	Federal		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1539	7.9%
2	Agree	5291	27.3%
3	Don't know	5881	30.3%
4	Disagree	3176	16.4%
5	Strongly disagree	3503	18.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1106 b3q17b: State**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19382 /-] [Invalid=416 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that all geo-ethnic groups in the state and local government are fairly represented in government agencies, parastatals, and departments at all tiers of government in accordance with the federal character principle?		
Literal question	State		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		

  

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1147	5.9%
2	Agree	5346	27.6%
3	Don't know	6114	31.5%
4	Disagree	3292	17.0%
5	Strongly disagree	3482	18.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1107 b3q17c: Local**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19361 /-] [Invalid=437 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that all geo-ethnic groups in the state and local government are fairly represented in government agencies, parastatals, and departments at all tiers of government in accordance with the federal character principle?		
Literal question	Local		
Interviewer's instructions	Enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1273	6.6%
2	Agree	5287	27.3%
3	Don't know	5640	29.1%
4	Disagree	3717	19.2%
5	Strongly disagree	3443	17.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1108 b4q11a: Federal Executive Arm-Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19437 /-] [Invalid=361 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Executive arm respects the powers of the Legislative and Judicial arms of government?		
Literal question	Federal Executive Arm-Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1503	7.7%
2	Agree	6725	34.6%
3	Disagree	4803	24.7%
4	Strongly disagree	2368	12.2%
5	Don't know	4038	20.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1109 b4q11b: Federal Executive Arm-Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19440 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Executive arm respects the powers of the Legislative and Judicial arms of government?		
Literal question	Federal Executive Arm-Judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1279	6.6%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1109 b4q11b: Federal Executive Arm-Judiciary** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	6580	33.8%
3	Disagree	4950	25.5%
4	Strongly disagree	2516	12.9%
5	Don't know	4115	21.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1110 b4q12a: State Executive Arm-Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19430 /-] [Invalid=368 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Executive arm respects the powers of the Legislative and Judicial arms of government?
Literal question	State Executive Arm-Legislature
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1180	6.1%
2	Agree	6717	34.6%
3	Disagree	4948	25.5%
4	Strongly disagree	2584	13.3%
5	Don't know	4001	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1111 b4q12b: State Executive Arm-Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19423 /-] [Invalid=375 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Executive arm respects the powers of the Legislative and Judicial arms of government?
Literal question	State Executive Arm-Judiciary
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1108	5.7%
2	Agree	6616	34.1%
3	Disagree	5057	26.0%
4	Strongly disagree	2596	13.4%
5	Don't know	4046	20.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1112 b4q13a: Local Government Executive Arm-Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19403 /-] [Invalid=395 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Executive arm respects the powers of the Legislative and Judicial arms of government?		
Literal question	Local Government Executive Arm-Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1209	6.2%
2	Agree	6494	33.5%
3	Disagree	4953	25.5%
4	Strongly disagree	2682	13.8%
5	Don't know	4065	21.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1113 b4q13b: Local Government Executive Arm-Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19405 /-] [Invalid=393 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Executive arm respects the powers of the Legislative and Judicial arms of government?		
Literal question	Local Government Executive Arm-Judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1152	5.9%
2	Agree	6391	32.9%
3	Disagree	4959	25.6%
4	Strongly disagree	2728	14.1%
5	Don't know	4175	21.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1114 b4qf: Judiciary always operates independently**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19439 /-] [Invalid=359 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the Judiciary always operates independently, without external influences?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	914	4.7%
2	Agree	3319	17.1%
3	Disagree	7099	36.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1114 b4qf: Judiciary always operates independently** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly disagree	3574	18.4%
5	Don't know	4533	23.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1115 b4qg: Rate the performance of the judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19292 /-] [Invalid=506 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rate the performance of the Judiciary in the delivery of justice to all Citizens?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	689	3.6%
2	High	3017	15.6%
3	Medium	7574	39.3%
4	Low	4827	25.0%
5	Very low	3184	16.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1116 b4q4a: Federal Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19467 /-] [Invalid=331 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that In practice, Legislative arms of government exercise their powers independent of the Executive arm?		
Literal question	Federal Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1188	6.1%
2	Agree	4936	25.4%
3	Disagree	6199	31.8%
4	Strongly disagree	2856	14.7%
5	Don't know	4288	22.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1117 b4q4b: State Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19461 /-] [Invalid=337 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1117 b4q4b: State Legislature** (cont.)

Pre-question	Do you agree that In practice, Legislative arms of government exercise their powers independent of the Executive arm?
Literal question	State Legislature
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	872	4.5%
2	Agree	4689	24.1%
3	Disagree	6617	34.0%
4	Strongly disagree	3053	15.7%
5	Don't know	4230	21.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1118 b4q4c: Local government councils**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19429 /-] [Invalid=369 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree that In practice, Legislative arms of government exercise their powers independent of the Executive arm?
Literal question	Local government councils
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	886	4.6%
2	Agree	4369	22.5%
3	Disagree	6644	34.2%
4	Strongly disagree	3253	16.7%
5	Don't know	4277	22.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1119 b4qh: Do legislature in practice, represent and protect the interest**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19453 /-] [Invalid=345 /-]
Pre-question	Do Legislators in practice, represent and protect the interest of their constituencies.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	654	3.4%
2	Agree	4224	21.7%
3	Disagree	6865	35.3%
4	Strongly disagree	4304	22.1%
5	Don't know	3406	17.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1120 b4q6a: Federal Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19210 /-] [Invalid=588 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the legislative arm in monitoring and checking the excesses of the executive arm		
Literal question	Federal Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1204	6.3%
2	High	3546	18.5%
3	Medium	6910	36.0%
4	Low	5090	26.5%
5	Very low	2460	12.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1121 b4q6b: State legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19212 /-] [Invalid=586 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the legislative arm in monitoring and checking the excesses of the executive arm		
Literal question	State legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	686	3.6%
2	High	2743	14.3%
3	Medium	6844	35.6%
4	Low	6097	31.7%
5	Very low	2842	14.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1122 b4q6c: Local government councils**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19193 /-] [Invalid=605 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of the legislative arm in monitoring and checking the excesses of the executive arm		
Literal question	Local government councils		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	677	3.5%
2	High	2364	12.3%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1122 b4q6c: Local government councils** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	6366	33.2%
4	Low	6267	32.7%
5	Very low	3516	18.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1123 b5qc: Do you agree that sufficient measures exist**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19511 /-] [Invalid=287 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that sufficient measures exist to ensure the continuous accountability of appointed public officials?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1125	5.8%
2	Agree	5781	29.6%
3	Disagree	4742	24.3%
4	Strongly disagree	2568	13.2%
5	Don't know	5295	27.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1124 b5qd: Do you agree on continuous accountability of elected public o**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19494 /-] [Invalid=304 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that sufficient measures exist to ensure the continuous accountability of elected public officials?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1056	5.4%
2	Agree	5758	29.5%
3	Disagree	5031	25.8%
4	Strongly disagree	2587	13.3%
5	Don't know	5062	26.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1125 b5qe: Evaluation of the performance of civil servants**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19513 /-] [Invalid=285 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that there are effective legislative provisions for the evaluation of the		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1125 b5qe: Evaluation of the performance of civil servants (cont.)**

	performance of civil servants?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1483	7.6%
2	Agree	6443	33.0%
3	Disagree	3856	19.8%
4	Strongly disagree	1953	10.0%
5	Don't know	5778	29.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1126 b5qf: Public officers/servants based on merit**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19465 /-] [Invalid=333 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that there is a system of rewarding outstanding public officers/servants, based on merit, in order to encourage accountability and transparency?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1561	8.0%
2	Agree	6121	31.4%
3	Disagree	3646	18.7%
4	Strongly disagree	2020	10.4%
5	Don't know	6117	31.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1127 b5qg: Well-performing civil servants**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8523 /-] [Invalid=11275 /-]		
Pre-question	If Code 1 or 2 in Q4, have the incentives given to well-performing civil servants enhanced their effectiveness and efficiency?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1556	18.3%
2	Agree	4365	51.2%
3	Disagree	989	11.6%
4	Strongly disagree	456	5.4%
5	Don't know	1157	13.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1128 b6qa: Fighting corruption**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19461 /-] [Invalid=337 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption have been on the increase since 1999?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	3269	16.8%
2	Agree	8876	45.6%
3	Disagree	2902	14.9%
4	Strongly disagree	1681	8.6%
5	Don't know	2733	14.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1129 b6q2a: Close associates/friends of the government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19503 /-] [Invalid=295 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption has been equally targeted at:		
Literal question	Close associates/friends of the government		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1555	8.0%
2	Agree	5478	28.1%
3	Disagree	5755	29.5%
4	Strongly disagree	2690	13.8%
5	Don't know	4025	20.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1130 b6q2b: Members of the cabinet**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19519 /-] [Invalid=279 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption has been equally targeted at:		
Literal question	Members of the cabinet		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1251	6.4%
2	Agree	6467	33.1%
3	Disagree	5453	27.9%
4	Strongly disagree	2658	13.6%
5	Don't know	3690	18.9%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1130 b6q2b: Members of the cabinet** (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1131 b6q2c: Governors considered unfriendly to the federal government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19519 /-] [Invalid=279 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption has been equally targeted at:		
Literal question	Governors considered unfriendly to the federal government		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2610	13.4%
2	Agree	6663	34.1%
3	Disagree	4627	23.7%
4	Strongly disagree	1953	10.0%
5	Don't know	3666	18.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1132 b6q2d: Business executives**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19440 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption has been equally targeted at:		
Literal question	Business executives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1350	6.9%
2	Agree	6316	32.5%
3	Disagree	5315	27.3%
4	Strongly disagree	2201	11.3%
5	Don't know	4258	21.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1133 b6q2e: Political party leaders**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19401 /-] [Invalid=397 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption has been equally targeted at:		
Literal question	Political party leaders		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1635	8.4%
2	Agree	6167	31.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1133 b6q2e: Political party leaders** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	5240	27.0%
4	Strongly disagree	2413	12.4%
5	Don't know	3946	20.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1134 b6q2f: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12397 /-] [Invalid=7401 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that government efforts in fighting corruption has been equally targeted at:		
Literal question	Others (specify)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	764	6.2%
2	Agree	2954	23.8%
3	Disagree	1882	15.2%
4	Strongly disagree	850	6.9%
5	Don't know	5947	48.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1135 b6q3: Do you agree that government has the will to fight corruptio**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19524 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that Government has the will to fight corruption?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	6142	31.5%
2	Agree	8570	43.9%
3	Disagree	1957	10.0%
4	Strong Disagree	998	5.1%
5	Don't Know	1857	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1136 b6qb: Level of corruption in Nigeria today**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19495 /-] [Invalid=303 /-]		
Pre-question	How do you rate the level of corruption in Nigeria today?		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1136 b6qb: Level of corruption in Nigeria today** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10517	53.9%
2	High	5943	30.5%
3	Moderate	2069	10.6%
4	Low	401	2.1%
5	Very low	565	2.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1137 b6q5f: Inadequate welfare**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19389 /-] [Invalid=409 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the contributions of these factors to corruption.		
Literal question	Inadequate welfare		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	10806	55.7%
2	High	5984	30.9%
3	Medium	1630	8.4%
4	Low	476	2.5%
5	Very low	493	2.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1138 b6q5g: lack of job security**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19430 /-] [Invalid=368 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the contributions of these factors to corruption.		
Literal question	lack of job security		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	6264	32.2%
2	High	8344	42.9%
3	Medium	3289	16.9%
4	Low	1028	5.3%
5	Very low	505	2.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1139 b6q5h: Lack of job security**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19414 /-] [Invalid=384 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the contributions of these factors to corruption.		
Literal question	Lack of job security		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7606	39.2%
2	High	7390	38.1%
3	Medium	2914	15.0%
4	Low	941	4.8%
5	Very low	563	2.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1140 b6q5i: Poverty**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19426 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the contributions of these factors to corruption.		
Literal question	Poverty		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	9925	51.1%
2	High	5779	29.7%
3	Medium	2268	11.7%
4	Low	897	4.6%
5	Very low	557	2.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1141 b6q5j: Societal/cultural values**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19328 /-] [Invalid=470 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the contributions of these factors to corruption.		
Literal question	Societal/cultural values		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5112	26.4%
2	High	7189	37.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1141 b6q5j: Societal/cultural values** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	4053	21.0%
4	Low	2022	10.5%
5	Very low	952	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1142 b6q5k: Unequal distribution of rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18368 /-] [Invalid=1430 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the contributions of these factors to corruption.		
Literal question	Unequal distribution of rights		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5559	30.3%
2	High	6637	36.1%
3	Medium	3479	18.9%
4	Low	1438	7.8%
5	Very low	1255	6.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1143 b6q6a: ICPC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19408 /-] [Invalid=390 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following Institutions established by government to combat corruption?		
Literal question	Independent Corrupt Practices and other related offences Commission (ICPC)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	3201	16.5%
2	Effective	6695	34.5%
3	Moderate effecti	4686	24.1%
4	Not effective	1812	9.3%
5	Don't know	3014	15.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1144 b6q6b: EFCC**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1144 b6q6b: EFCC (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19395 /-] [Invalid=403 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following Institutions established by government to combat corruption?		
Literal question	Economic and Financial Crime Commission (EFCC)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	6268	32.3%
2	Effective	6427	33.1%
3	Moderate effecti	3298	17.0%
4	Not effective	1205	6.2%
5	Don't know	2197	11.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1145 b6q6c: CCB

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19334 /-] [Invalid=464 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following Institutions established by government to combat corruption?		
Literal question	Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1517	7.8%
2	Effective	4861	25.1%
3	Moderate effecti	5093	26.3%
4	Not effective	3513	18.2%
5	Don't know	4350	22.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1146 b6q6d: Due process

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19170 /-] [Invalid=628 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following Institutions established by government to combat corruption?		
Literal question	Due Process		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1515	7.9%
2	Effective	5084	26.5%
3	Moderate effecti	4875	25.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1146 b6q6d: Due process (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not effective	2921	15.2%
5	Don't know	4774	24.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1147 b6q6e: Others (specify)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11647 /-] [Invalid=8151 /-]		
Pre-question	How effective are the following Institutions established by government to combat corruption?		
Literal question	Others (Specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	745	6.4%
2	Effective	2285	19.6%
3	Moderate effecti	1487	12.8%
4	Not effective	882	7.6%
5	Don't know	6248	53.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1148 b7q1: Promote gender equality in Nigeria

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19512 /-] [Invalid=286 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that there are laws and institutions put in place to promote gender equality in Nigeria?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2733	14.0%
2	Agree	8293	42.5%
3	Disagree	2101	10.8%
4	Strongly disagree	857	4.4%
5	Don't know	5528	28.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1149 b7q2a: Economic empowerment

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19328 /-] [Invalid=470 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of these measures in the promotion of gender equality		
Literal question	Economic empowerment		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1149 b7q2a: Economic empowerment** (cont.)

Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	2077	10.7%
2	Effective	6018	31.1%
3	Moderate	4986	25.8%
4	Not effective	2342	12.1%
5	Don't know	3905	20.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1150 b7q2b: Equal access to education**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19333 /-] [Invalid=465 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of these measures in the promotion of gender equality		
Literal question	Equal access to education		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	2925	15.1%
2	Effective	6526	33.8%
3	Moderate	4458	23.1%
4	Not effective	1963	10.2%
5	Don't know	3461	17.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1151 b7q2c: Redress of violations of rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19303 /-] [Invalid=495 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of these measures in the promotion of gender equality		
Literal question	Redress of violations of rights		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1586	8.2%
2	Effective	5483	28.4%
3	Moderate	5207	27.0%
4	Not effective	2853	14.8%
5	Don't know	4174	21.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1152 b7q2d: Special quota for female			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19311 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of these measures in the promotion of gender equality		
Literal question	Special quota for female		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1281	6.6%
2	Effective	5301	27.5%
3	Moderate	5083	26.3%
4	Not effective	3460	17.9%
5	Don't know	4186	21.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1153 b7q2e: Appointment into positions			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19242 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of these measures in the promotion of gender equality		
Literal question	Appointment into positions		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	1771	9.2%
2	Effective	5476	28.5%
3	Moderate	5579	29.0%
4	Not effective	2486	12.9%
5	Don't know	3930	20.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#1154 b7q2f: Others (specify)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11692 /-] [Invalid=8106 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the effectiveness of these measures in the promotion of gender equality		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	632	5.4%
2	Effective	2069	17.7%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1154 b7q2f: Others (specify)** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Moderate	1637	14.0%
4	Not effective	904	7.7%
5	Don't know	6450	55.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1155 b7q31a: Federal-Executive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19338 /-] [Invalid=460 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Federal-Executive		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1625	8.4%
2	High	4694	24.3%
3	Medium	6724	34.8%
4	Low	4451	23.0%
5	Very low	1844	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1156 b7q31b: Federal-Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19334 /-] [Invalid=464 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Federal-Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1137	5.9%
2	High	4158	21.5%
3	Medium	6781	35.1%
4	Low	5304	27.4%
5	Very low	1954	10.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1157 b7q32a: State-Executive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1157 b7q32a: State-Executive** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19330 /-] [Invalid=468 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	State-Executive		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1061	5.5%
2	High	3696	19.1%
3	Medium	6951	36.0%
4	Low	5563	28.8%
5	Very low	2059	10.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1158 b7q32b: State-Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19331 /-] [Invalid=467 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	State-Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	890	4.6%
2	High	3569	18.5%
3	Medium	6696	34.6%
4	Low	5715	29.6%
5	Very low	2461	12.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1159 b7q33a: Local-Executive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19310 /-] [Invalid=488 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Local-Executive		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1062	5.5%
2	High	3156	16.3%
3	Medium	6259	32.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1159 b7q33a: Local-Executive** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	5940	30.8%
5	Very low	2893	15.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1160 b7q33b: Local-Legislature**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19312 /-] [Invalid=486 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Local-Legislature		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	858	4.4%
2	High	2904	15.0%
3	Medium	6027	31.2%
4	Low	6203	32.1%
5	Very low	3320	17.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1161 b7q34a: Federal-Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19292 /-] [Invalid=506 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Federal-Judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1412	7.3%
2	High	4403	22.8%
3	Medium	6687	34.7%
4	Low	5002	25.9%
5	Very low	1788	9.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1162 b7q34b: Federal-Executive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19297 /-] [Invalid=501 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1162 b7q34b: Federal-Executive** (cont.)

Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.
Literal question	Federal-Executive
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1317	6.8%
2	High	4974	25.8%
3	Medium	6394	33.1%
4	Low	4827	25.0%
5	Very low	1785	9.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1163 b7q34c: Federal-Bureaucracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19268 /-] [Invalid=530 /-]
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.
Literal question	Federal-Bureaucracy
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1108	5.8%
2	High	4482	23.3%
3	Medium	6261	32.5%
4	Low	5274	27.4%
5	Very low	2143	11.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1164 b7q35a: State-Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19284 /-] [Invalid=514 /-]
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.
Literal question	State-Judiciary
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1087	5.6%
2	High	4051	21.0%
3	Medium	6828	35.4%
4	Low	5359	27.8%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1164 b7q35a: State-Judiciary** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low	1959	10.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1165 b7q35b: State-Executive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19278 /-] [Invalid=520 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	State-Executive		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1044	5.4%
2	High	4082	21.2%
3	Medium	6739	35.0%
4	Low	5449	28.3%
5	Very low	1964	10.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1166 b7q35c: State-Bureaucracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19238 /-] [Invalid=560 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	State-Bureaucracy		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	916	4.8%
2	High	3890	20.2%
3	Medium	6327	32.9%
4	Low	5734	29.8%
5	Very low	2371	12.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1167 b7q36a: Local-Judiciary**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19223 /-] [Invalid=575 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1167 b7q36a: Local-Judiciary** (cont.)

Literal question	Local-Judiciary		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	987	5.1%
2	High	3334	17.3%
3	Medium	6005	31.2%
4	Low	6108	31.8%
5	Very low	2789	14.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1168 b7q36b: Local-Executive**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19228 /-] [Invalid=570 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Local-Executive		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	838	4.4%
2	High	3536	18.4%
3	Medium	5870	30.5%
4	Low	6125	31.9%
5	Very low	2859	14.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1169 b7q36c: Local-Bureaucracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19140 /-] [Invalid=658 /-]		
Pre-question	Please evaluate the participation of women in governance at all levels.		
Literal question	Local-Bureaucracy		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	858	4.5%
2	High	3429	17.9%
3	Medium	5673	29.6%
4	Low	6062	31.7%
5	Very low	3118	16.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1170 b7q4a: Culture and value systems**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19209 /-] [Invalid=589 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Culture and value systems		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4838	25.2%
2	High	6087	31.7%
3	Medium	4844	25.2%
4	Low	2240	11.7%
5	Very low	1198	6.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1171 b7q4b: High cost of politics**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19195 /-] [Invalid=603 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	High cost of politics		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3794	19.8%
2	High	7039	36.7%
3	Medium	4881	25.4%
4	Low	2305	12.0%
5	Very low	1176	6.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1172 b7q4c: Illiteracy and lack of awareness**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19161 /-] [Invalid=637 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Illiteracy and lack of awareness		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3450	18.0%
2	High	6167	32.2%



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1172 b7q4c: Illiteracy and lack of awareness** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Medium	5498	28.7%
4	Low	2914	15.2%
5	Very low	1132	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1173 b7q4d: lack of interest**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19146 /-] [Invalid=652 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	lack of interest		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2795	14.6%
2	High	5548	29.0%
3	Medium	6119	32.0%
4	Low	3149	16.4%
5	Very low	1535	8.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1174 b7q4e: Low economic power**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19126 /-] [Invalid=672 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Low economic power		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2916	15.2%
2	High	6469	33.8%
3	Medium	5523	28.9%
4	Low	2898	15.2%
5	Very low	1319	6.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1175 b7q4f: Low educational qualification**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1175 b7q4f: Low educational qualification** (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19073 /-] [Invalid=725 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Low educational qualification		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2494	13.1%
2	High	5317	27.9%
3	Medium	5860	30.7%
4	Low	3623	19.0%
5	Very low	1779	9.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1176 b7q4g: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10946 /-] [Invalid=8852 /-]		
Pre-question	Rank the following factors working against gender balance in Nigeria.		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1014	9.3%
2	High	2420	22.1%
3	Medium	2705	24.7%
4	Low	1543	14.1%
5	Very low	3263	29.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1177 b8q1a: Child crime**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19366 /-] [Invalid=432 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rank the prevalence of the following practices concerning the child in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Child crime		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3851	19.9%
2	High	7359	38.0%
3	Moderate	4655	24.0%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1177 b8q1a: Child crime** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	2675	13.8%
5	Very low	826	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1178 b8q1b: Child labour**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19397 /-] [Invalid=401 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rank the prevalence of the following practices concerning the child in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Child labour		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4911	25.3%
2	High	8181	42.2%
3	Moderate	3762	19.4%
4	Low	1886	9.7%
5	Very low	657	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1179 b8q1c: Child prostitution**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19421 /-] [Invalid=377 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rank the prevalence of the following practices concerning the child in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Child prostitution		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4814	24.8%
2	High	7182	37.0%
3	Moderate	3813	19.6%
4	Low	2545	13.1%
5	Very low	1067	5.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1180 b8q1d: Child survey**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19344 /-] [Invalid=454 /-]		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1180 b8q1d: Child survey (cont.)

Pre-question	How would you rank the prevalence of the following practices concerning the child in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Child survey		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	3528	18.2%
2	High	6214	32.1%
3	Moderate	4262	22.0%
4	Low	3606	18.6%
5	Very low	1734	9.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1181 b8q1e: Child trafficking

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19278 /-] [Invalid=520 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rank the prevalence of the following practices concerning the child in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Child trafficking		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4310	22.4%
2	High	7018	36.4%
3	Moderate	3853	20.0%
4	Low	2711	14.1%
5	Very low	1386	7.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1182 b8q1f: Others (specify)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10911 /-] [Invalid=8887 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you rank the prevalence of the following practices concerning the child in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1561	14.3%
2	High	3146	28.8%
3	Moderate	1970	18.1%
4	Low	1367	12.5%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1182 b8q1f: Others (specify)** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low	2867	26.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1183 b8q2a: Cultural and value systems**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19334 /-] [Invalid=464 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the negative impact of the following factors on the promotion of child rights:		
Literal question	Cultural and value systems		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4258	22.0%
2	High	7015	36.3%
3	Medium	4946	25.6%
4	Low	2160	11.2%
5	Very low	955	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1184 b8q2b: Illiteracy**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19405 /-] [Invalid=393 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the negative impact of the following factors on the promotion of child rights:		
Literal question	Illiteracy		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4857	25.0%
2	High	8398	43.3%
3	Medium	3842	19.8%
4	Low	1585	8.2%
5	Very low	723	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1185 b8q2c: Poverty**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19418 /-] [Invalid=380 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the negative impact of the following factors on the promotion of child rights:		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1185 b8q2c: Poverty** (cont.)

Literal question	Poverty		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	7737	39.8%
2	High	7238	37.3%
3	Medium	2704	13.9%
4	Low	1078	5.6%
5	Very low	661	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1186 b8q2d: Unequal Opportunities**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19349 /-] [Invalid=449 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the negative impact of the following factors on the promotion of child rights:		
Literal question	Unequal Opportunities		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	5076	26.2%
2	High	7838	40.5%
3	Medium	3874	20.0%
4	Low	1678	8.7%
5	Very low	883	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1187 b8q2e: Ignorance**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18239 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-]		
Pre-question	Assess the negative impact of the following factors on the promotion of child rights:		
Literal question	Ignorance		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	4848	26.6%
2	High	7161	39.3%
3	Medium	3617	19.8%
4	Low	1572	8.6%
5	Very low	1041	5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1188 b8q3: Protection and promotion of child rights**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19433 /-] [Invalid=365 /-]
Pre-question	Do you agree that there are adequate legal and constitutional provisions for the protection and promotion of child rights?
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2515	12.9%
2	Agree	8297	42.7%
3	Disagree	2939	15.1%
4	Strongly disagree	1406	7.2%
5	Don't know	4276	22.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1189 b8q4a: Government agencies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19087 /-] [Invalid=711 /-]
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights
Literal question	Government agencies
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2547	13.3%
2	High	6571	34.4%
3	Medium	5125	26.9%
4	Low	3379	17.7%
5	Very low	1464	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1190 b8q4b: NGO's**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19049 /-] [Invalid=749 /-]
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights
Literal question	Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs)
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2585	13.6%
2	High	6412	33.7%
3	Medium	5377	28.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1190 b8q4b: NGO's** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Low	3133	16.4%
5	Very low	1541	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1191 b8q4c: Community Based Organisations**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19035 /-] [Invalid=763 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Community Based Organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1557	8.2%
2	High	5109	26.8%
3	Medium	5975	31.4%
4	Low	4418	23.2%
5	Very low	1975	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1192 b8q4d: Civil Society Organisation**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18998 /-] [Invalid=800 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Civil Society Organisation		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1793	9.4%
2	High	5612	29.5%
3	Medium	5777	30.4%
4	Low	3837	20.2%
5	Very low	1978	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1193 b8q4e: Professional bodies**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18996 /-] [Invalid=802 /-]		



**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1193 b8q4e: Professional bodies (cont.)

Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Professional bodies		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1697	8.9%
2	High	5050	26.6%
3	Medium	5837	30.7%
4	Low	4333	22.8%
5	Very low	2078	10.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1194 b8q4f: Religious groups

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19040 /-] [Invalid=758 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Religious groups		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2864	15.0%
2	High	6711	35.2%
3	Medium	5039	26.5%
4	Low	2935	15.4%
5	Very low	1490	7.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1195 b8q4g: Women organisations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19025 /-] [Invalid=773 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Women organisations		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2818	14.8%
2	High	6847	36.0%
3	Medium	5002	26.3%
4	Low	2901	15.2%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL (cont.)**

**#1195 b8q4g: Women organisations (cont.)**

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low	1456	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1196 b8q4h: Traditional institutions**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18831 /-] [Invalid=967 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Traditional institutions		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	1716	9.1%
2	High	5627	29.9%
3	Medium	5624	29.9%
4	Low	3879	20.6%
5	Very low	1983	10.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1197 b8q4i: Others (specify)**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11025 /-] [Invalid=8773 /-]		
Pre-question	Rate the contribution of the following in the promotion of child rights		
Literal question	Others (specify)		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	800	7.3%
2	High	2539	23.0%
3	Medium	2491	22.6%
4	Low	1707	15.5%
5	Very low	3487	31.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1198 b8q5: Public decision-making organs that shape their future**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19409 /-] [Invalid=389 /-]		
Pre-question	Do you agree that the youth are actively involved in government public decision-making organs that shape their		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

#1198 b8q5: Public decision-making organs that shape their future (cont.)

	future?		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1070	5.5%
2	Agree	4833	24.9%
3	Disagree	6350	32.7%
4	Strongly disagree	3902	20.1%
5	Don't know	3254	16.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1199 b9q1a: Federal Government

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19529 /-] [Invalid=269 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of the physically challenged people.		
Literal question	Federal Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	2040	10.4%
2	Impressive	5460	28.0%
3	Fairly impressiv	6897	35.3%
4	Not impressive	5130	26.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#1200 b9q1b: State Government

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19534 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of the physically challenged people.		
Literal question	State Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	1181	6.0%
2	Impressive	5110	26.2%
3	Fairly impressiv	7498	38.4%
4	Not impressive	5742	29.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1201 b9q1c: Local Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19513 /-] [Invalid=285 /-]
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of the physically challenged people.
Literal question	Local Government
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	951	4.9%
2	Impressive	3833	19.6%
3	Fairly impressiv	7162	36.7%
4	Not impressive	7566	38.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1202 b9q2a: Federal Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19530 /-] [Invalid=268 /-]
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of the internally displaced persons?
Literal question	Federal Government
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	1774	9.1%
2	Impressive	5545	28.4%
3	Fairly impressiv	7049	36.1%
4	Not impressive	5162	26.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1203 b9q2b: State Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19527 /-] [Invalid=271 /-]
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of the internally displaced persons?
Literal question	State Government
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	1054	5.4%
2	Impressive	4958	25.4%

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1203 b9q2b: State Government** (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly impressiv	7831	40.1%
4	Not impressive	5683	29.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1204 b9q2c: Local Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19514 /-] [Invalid=284 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of the internally displaced persons?		
Literal question	Local Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	945	4.8%
2	Impressive	4102	21.0%
3	Fairly impressiv	7031	36.0%
4	Not impressive	7432	38.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1205 b9q3a: Federal Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19416 /-] [Invalid=382 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of refugees?		
Literal question	Federal Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	2203	11.3%
2	Impressive	6388	32.9%
3	Fairly impressiv	6918	35.6%
4	Not impressive	3907	20.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**#1206 b9q3b: State Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19404 /-] [Invalid=394 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of		

**File APRM-INDIVIDUAL** (cont.)

**#1206 b9q3b: State Government** (cont.)

	refugees?		
Literal question	State Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	1245	6.4%
2	Impressive	5227	26.9%
3	Fairly impressiv	8075	41.6%
4	Not impressive	4856	25.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1207 b9q3c: Local Government**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19381 /-] [Invalid=417 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the attitude and actions of government at all levels towards the protection of rights of refugees?		
Literal question	Local Government		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very impressive	1087	5.6%
2	Impressive	4150	21.4%
3	Fairly impressiv	7589	39.2%
4	Not impressive	6553	33.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

**#1208 b9q4: Prospects of a lasting democracy in Nigeria**

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19582 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]		
Pre-question	How would you assess the prospects of a lasting democracy in Nigeria?		
Literal question	Prospects of a lasting democracy in Nigeria		
Interviewer's instructions	Please enter code		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	2515	12.8%
2	High	6855	35.0%
3	Medium	5892	30.1%
4	Low	2891	14.8%
5	Very low	1429	7.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# Documentation

<a href="#">Reports and analytical documents</a> .....	483
<a href="#">APRM Report</a> .....	483
<a href="#">Questionnaires</a> .....	483
<a href="#">APRM Questionnaire</a> .....	483
<a href="#">Technical documents</a> .....	484
<a href="#">Traininig Document</a> .....	484
<a href="#">Administrative documents</a> .....	485
<a href="#">APRM</a> .....	485
<a href="#">APRM REPORT AT ZONE</a> .....	485
<a href="#">References</a> .....	486
<a href="#">APRM Manual</a> .....	486
<a href="#">Study Document</a> .....	486

## Reports and analytical documents

APRM Report, Mass Household Survey. APRM, National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), November 2006, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\APRM MAIN REPORT.pdf"

### Description

To access the opinon of Nigeria over the Governance

### Abstract

The National Mass Household Survey remains the largest survey organized by African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM). APRM is an instrument voluntarily acceded to by member states of the African Union as a self - monitoring mechanism for African states. The primary purpose of the APRM is to foster the adoption of policies, standards and practices that lead to political stability; high economic growth; sustainable development; and accelerated sub - regional and continental economic integration through the sharing of experiences and the re- enforcement of successful and best practices, including identifying deficiencies and assessing the needs of capacity building. The National Mass Household Surveys was designed to capture the perceptions of Nigerians at all levels of the society, particularly at the grassroots. Four thematic Areas of governance were covered by the survey. These were: Democracy and Political governance, Economic governance and Management, Socio - Economic Development, and Corporate Governance. Each thematic area had its set broad objectives which were addressed in the questionnaire. These were mostly the issues addressed by the millennium development goals (MDGS) as domesticated by Nigeria. The outcome of the results would help to provide National Plan of Action (NPOA) for good governance and poverty reduction by government and could also be used by Research Organizations.

### Table of Contents

- Chapter 1: Introduction
- Chapter 2: Methodology/Survey Design
- Chapter 3: Survey results
- Chapter 4: Observations

### Subjects

Economic - Governance and Management Socio - Economic Development.

## Questionnaires

APRM Questionnaire, Mass Household Survey, National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), November 2006, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\APRM QUESTIONNAIRE.pdf"

### Description

To access the opinon of Nigeria over the Governance

### Abstract

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM) is the flagship programme of New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD). APRM is an instrument voluntarily acceded to by member States of the African Union as a self-monitoring mechanism for African States. The mandate of APRM is to ensure that Policies and Practices of Participating States conform to the agreed political, economic and corporate governance values, codes and standards contained in the Declaration on Democracy, Political, Economic and Corporate Governance. Nigeria acceded to APRM in 2003. In the process of being reviewed, APRM-National Focal Point Secretariat (APRM-NFP) decided to conduct a Mass Household Survey (MHS) in the country in order to provide scientific data on the perceptions of Nigerian citizens on the governance in Nigeria, taking account of the four thematic areas of APRM and the objectives of each of the thematic areas. National Bureau of Statistics was given the responsibility to conduct the MHS. It was specifically charged with the responsibility of capturing the perceptions of Nigerians on governance and socio-economic development, rule of law, national ownership and popular participation in governance, transparency, accountability, credibility, inclusiveness, technical competence, independence of the electoral process and also independence from political manipulation.

Essentially, the survey covered the 36 States of the Federation and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja.

All the four Thematic Areas of APRM were covered. These were:

- (a) Democracy and Political Governance
- (b) Economic Governance and Management
- (c) Socio-economic Development
- (d) Corporate Governance

In the Sample Design, three Senatorial Districts/Local Governments Areas were covered. There were 200 households covered in each LGA, and 22,200 households covered throughout the federation.

The Survey was monitored by APRM team of Monitors, the National Orientation Agency (NOA), and NBS Senior Technical Staff.

## Table of Contents

Demographic information  
 Thematic  
 Economic governance and management  
 Corporate governance  
 Democracy and political Governance in Nigeria

## Subjects

Economic Governance and Management Socio- Economic development

## Technical documents

Trainning Document, Trainning Document, NBS, July 2008, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\TRAINING MATERIAL.pdf"

## Description

Federal Government (FGN)

## Table of Contents

Conversion of questionnaires into digital formats  
 Using Geographical Information System (GIS) Technology for the calculation of area cultivated:  
 Microsoft Office Software (Excel, Access: PowerPoint etc)  
 Outsourcing Data Collection Personnel:  
 Upgrading and Equipping of Zonal Offices:  
 Introduction.  
 Survey Objectives  
 Coverage  
 Scope  
 Sample Design  
 Survey Instruments  
 Training for Fieldwork  
 Data Collection Arrangement  
 Monitoring/Quality Control  
 Retrieval of records  
 Retrieval schedule  
 Time Line for Fieldwork  
 Data Processing/Analysis  
 Report Writing  
 Time-line for the survey  
 FIELD OPERATION GUIDELINE



The roles of the Enumerator  
 The roles of the Supervisor  
 The roles of the State Officer  
 The roles of the Zonal Controller  
 The roles of the Headquarter officer  
 The roles of the Field Coordinator  
 QUALITY-CHECK GUIDELINES  
 ON-THE-SPOT ASSESSMENT BY THE FIELD COORDINATOR

## Administrative documents

APRM, National Bureau of Statistics, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\Editors Manual.pdf"

### Description

The contains the editor manual

### Table of Contents

#### SECTION A

1.0 Introduction:

1.1 Scope of Editing

1.2 Data editing includes the following:

2.0 Survey Design

2.1 Survey Coverage:

3.0 Editing Procedure for Demographic Characteristics:

3.1 Verification of Questionnaire's identification codes:

4.0 Editing of the Demographic Information:

List of persons in the household: 18 rows are provided in the questionnaire on page 4, to list members of household. Ensure that you check the names of members listed to check whether their sex code in column 3 is correct.

4.1 Age in completed year:

4.2 Relationship to Head of household:

4.3 Marital Status:

5.0 Editing on General Knowledge about APRM

#### SECTION B

Guideline for Editing Socio-Economic Development

Introduction:

OBJECTIVE 1

OBJECTIVE 2

OBJECTIVE 3

OBJECTIVE 4

OBJECTIVE 5

EDITING GUIDELINE ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

EDITING GUIDELINE ON DEMOCRACY AND POLITICAL GOVERNANCE

APRM REPORT AT ZONE, APRM, National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), July 2008, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\APRM REPORT MAIN SURVEY TRAINING AT ZONES.pdf"

### Description

The report from zones

### Table of Contents

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

S/NO Topic Page

1.0 Introduction 3

2.0 The design of the main survey 3

3.0 The training for the field work 4

4.1 North East Zone 6

5.1 North West Zone 8

6.1 North Central Zone 10  
7.1 South West Zone 13  
8.1 South East Zone 14  
9.1 South South Zone 15  
10.0 General Observations/Recommendations 17  
10.1 Conclusion 18  
APPENDICES  
I Time Table 19  
II Opening Address 20  
III Welcome Address 26  
IV List of Participants  
28  
V List of Participants/Test Scores 44  
VI Questionnaire 60

## References

APRM Manual, Mass Household Survey Manual, National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), November 2006, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\APRM manual.pdf"

### Description

To access the opinion of Nigeria over the Governance

### Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Survey design  
Chapter 2: Role of field staff  
Chapter 3: Identification and Demographic information  
Chapter 4: Questions under General knowledge about APRM  
Chapter 5: Editing Guidelines  
Chapter 6: Concepts and definitions.

### Subjects

Economic Governance and Management Socio-Economic Development

Study Document, APRM Study Document, NBS ICT Documentation and Archiving team, July 2008, Nigeria [nga], English [eng], "C:\country\_survey\APRM 2006\docs\studyAPRM.pdf"

### Description

Documentation of APRM metadata using Microdata Management Toolkit