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## Foreword

It is an established fact that the youth constitutes the most productive component of a nation's population. The economic development of a country therefore has a direct relationship with the level of youth development and integration in the nation's economy. Giving these facts, the youth is basically regarded as an indispensable building block in planning for sustainable economic growth and development of any society. Essentially, youth, being the prime element of the population of a country, provides a robust foundation for both present and future economic growth and societal renaissance.

Hence, it is undesirable when a country fails to factor youth development into its national development strategy. However, in mainstreaming youth into national development strategy, some basic information with regard to the youth is needed to develop youth related policies and programmes.

In Nigeria, the youth comprises the largest part of the population. However, many of them are not meaningfully engaged in productive economic activities due to the prevailing socioeconomic environment over the years. This has made the youth vulnerable to all sorts of social vices with some of them readily available to be used for political violence, crimes, ethnic disputes etc. As part of efforts to generate empirical data to inform policy decisions related to youth development, and to support policy strategies for improving their access to careeroriented employment; as well as, to provide an evidence-based advocacy, the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development in 2020, funded a National Survey on youth, which was conducted by the National Bureau of Statistics.

This Report presents the findings and results of the Survey; the report provide vital information for policy making and evidence-based advocacy and planning for youth related development. The Report covers youth employment, education, health, sports, politics, drugs and crime, as well as migration. With the recent unprecedented demand for improved data in developing countries and the new focus on youth development agenda, this publication provides the needed data on youth.

It is our expectation, therefore, that the contents of the Report would provide a broader view of the characteristics of the Nigerian youth. The report which spans 162 pages mainly targets the needs of policy makers, the general reading public, investors, researchers and students who may be interested in understanding the dynamics of the Nation's youth development challenges. I hereby present to you the publication of the National Survey on Youth, 2020.

Mr Nebeolisa Anako

Permanent Secretary
Federal Ministry of Youth \& Sports Development, Nigeria
June, 2021

## Preface

The National Youth Survey, 2020 is a collaborative effort between the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development (FMYSD) and National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). The report is also a follow up on the National Baseline Youth Survey 2012 version, as the NBS attempts to fulfil its mandate of providing credible and comprehensive statistics on all levels of the country. Furthermore, the report enhances the ability of policy makers and other stakeholders to improve the efficacy of policies they put forward through the use of evidence based data. This broad based report includes detailed information on various socioeconomic characteristics of the youth in the country. Information is provided on a wide range variables such as: Demographic Characteristics of all Households, Water and Sanitation in Households, Youth Educational Attainment, Youth access to health-care, Youth Involvement in Agriculture, Youth Employment Situation, Youth Participation in Politics and Decision Making, Youth Information and Communication Technology/Computer Proficiency, Youth Conflict Prevention/Peace Building, Youth Involvement in Sports and Recreation, Youth Migration, Youth and Environment and Youth and Non-Farm Enterprises was considered in the report.

I therefore thank the Honourable Minister for Youth and Sports Development, the Permanent Secretary (Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development), the Directorate and Management for the high level of commitment exhibited to ensure the success of the project. I also commend the Demography and Social Statistics Department of National Bureau of Statistics for a job well done. I strongly believe that the output of this work, will no doubt find relevance in several policy circles, development and research institutions, training establishments, international development partners as well as the youths themselves, who are the ultimate beneficiaries of these and similar initiatives.

## Dr. Yemi Kale

Statistician-General of the Federation \& CEO
National Bureau of Statistics, Abuja.
June, 2021

## Acknowledgement

The information contained in this report is drawn from the data collected on the just concluded national youth survey 2020. The project was led by National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) in collaboration with the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development (FMYSD).

The National Bureau of Statistics would like to express our deep and sincere appreciation and gratitude to the Honorable Minister (FMYSD), Chief Sunday Dare for his immense support for the project. We also acknowledge the contribution of the Permanent Secretary (FMYSD), Mr Nebeolisa Anako for his comments and concern on the project. The Bureau appreciation also goes to the former Permanent secretary (FMYSD), Mr Olusade Adesola and Mr Gabriel Tanimu Aduda for their encouraging roles in making the project see the light of the day. The Bureau also appreciate the technical roles and support of some key staff of FMYSD right from the planning and preparatory stage which serve as a bedrock to the success of the survey; Mr Ogaba Ede Director (EYD), Mr Kabiru Mohamed Deputy Director (EYD), Mr segun Oke Director (Procurement), Mr Micheal Ngwaogu Deputy Director (EYD), Mrs Ochoga Ese PYDO (EYD) who put in a great deal of effort and time to ensure that the survey saw the light of the day.

The Bureau also wish to appreciate the high level of dedication of the survey implementation team in NBS during the training, data collection and monitoring of the field work. Special thanks goes to Dr Yemi Kale the Statistician General of the Federation for his general coordination of the project and also Mr Tunde Adebayo Adebisi (Director, Demography and Social Statistics Department \& Project Director) who brought his wealth of experience to ensure high level of coordination, Mr Elijah Fafunmi (Director,ICT), Mr Ademola Adegbie (Head DSD), Mr N.D. Wakili and Dr Surajudeen Abolade (Project Manager). Many thanks also goes to Mrs Yemi Oloyede (Branch Head Gender Statistics) Mr Austen Anyakora (Head SSD), Mr Samsudeen Lawal (ICT Department) and the entire staff of the Demography and Social Statistics Department. Our appreciations also goes to the team of consultants that facilitated the report writing; Mr S.B Adebayo, Alhaji Muritala Salami, Mr Rahman Busari and Mr Patrick Ofili

Conclusively, we will also want to specially thank the respondents of all households including those who took part by giving their precious time to assist with, facilitate or participate in the entire process and stages of the survey.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

After about a decade of the publication of the national baseline statistical survey of Nigeria youths, the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development (FMYSD) in collaboration with the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) have considered it imperative to conduct another round of National Youth Statistical Survey. This report is a follow up to the National Baseline Youth Survey of 2012 and its aimed at covering the void created by long time absence of up to date data needs of policy makers by providing credible and comprehensive statistics on Nigeria youths at all levels which is expected to enhance the quality of policies formulated in respect of Nigeria youths.

The survey is expected to provide useful data for the design and development of youth-specific programmes by the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development and other partners in the country. Hence the objectives include the following:

* Generate socioeconomic data on youth to inform policy decisions and guide their implementation.
* Provide evidence based data that would help identify and strengthen the role of youth in national development.
* Provide data for expanding the role of youth in society in order to enhance their contribution to security of their community, state and nation at large.
* Identify various potentials of youths in sports and other recreational activities.
* Provide an evidence-based advocacy tool on behalf of the youth.
* Provide government and other stakeholders with useful data that shall lead to developing young people's employability to ensure their successful transition to the labour market and strategies for improving their access to career oriented employment.
* Provide data that would update the 2012 Baseline National Youth Survey Indices

The reporting domain was at the national level and across the six (6) geo-political zones of the federation. Four (4) replicates representing forty (40) Enumeration Area (EA) were selected in each of the reporting domain within the zone using a systematic sample selection procedure. The EAs selected where updated and Household Listing Exercise was carried out for the compilation and construction of up-to-date frame of households in each of the EA selected across the zones. The selection of the ultimate sampling units - the households and the eligible respondents were randomly and systematically carried out using "Kish" Method of Sample
selection. However, fifteen (15) households were selected per Enumeration Areas (EA). In each of the household, "Kish" Sample selection method was adopted to select the eligible respondents within each household. A maximum of two eligible respondents were selected in each household.

This Survey covered all the six (6) geo-political zones of the Federation. The geo-political zones are North Central, North East, North West, South East, South- South and South West. The target population canvassed was the youths aged 15-35 years, with the sampling domain being the households and some institutions such as Police Command headquarters as well as National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA) in each Zone.

The subject-areas of interest canvassed during the survey include:

* Demographic Characteristics of all household members
* Household characteristics of respondents
* Water and sanitation
* Educational attainment of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Youth access to health-care
* Youth involvement in agriculture
* Information and Communication Technology/computer proficiency of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Conflict prevention/Peace building of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Involvement in Sport and Recreation of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Migration of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Non-Farm Enterprises for all household members 15-35 years.

The result of the survey revealed that males constituted 50.8 percent of the total population, while the females accounted for 49.2 percent. Further analysis indicates that among the male population, 53.4 percent were in age group 36 years and above which is the highest followed by persons in age group 0-14 years with 52 percent while persons aged 15-35 years had 48.5 percent. Furthermore, among the female population, 51.5 percent were in the age group 15-35 years which is the highest among the female population followed by age group 0-14 years with 48 percent while female in age group 36 years plus accounted for only 46.6 percent.

Out of the age group of married youths, 65.7 percent were female youth, while 34.3 per cent were male youth. Rate of "Divorce" and "Separated" were higher among the female youths (63.3 percent and 78 percent) respectively. For those who were "Never Married", male youth had 60.5 percent against female youth 39.5 percent.

Youth from North West contracted more Court Marriages ( 32.2 percent), more Religious Marriages ( 26.3 percent) and more Customary Marriages ( 40.6 percent); youth from South West contracted more mutual agreement form of marriage ( 67.1 percent), while youth from North East had less than 1 percent for both customary and court (ordinance) marriage.

The results of the survey revealed that 70.2 percent of households use safe water for drinking. Also when water is not available on the premises, Adult female ( 52 percent) are mainly responsible for collecting water. Although, Adult male (30.4 percent) in the households also fetch water, 10 percent of the "Female child under 15 equally fetches water and 6.1 percent male child under 15 fetches water.

Only 14.1 percent of the households interviewed treat their drinking water while exactly 85.9 percent of households reported not using any form of water treatment.

Nigerian households (77.7 percent) uses more open fire for cooking than open stove (13.9 percent) and close stove ( 8.1 percent) respectively. A very small proportion of households (16.5 percent) nationally responded that their stove had hood or chimney.

The survey result shows that 40.7 per cent of household "cooks outdoors", 31.9 percent of the households "cooks in the building" while 27.2 percent of the households "cooks in a separate building or room" at the national level

It is revealed that out of the total number of youths that were "Never in School", more female youths ( 62.6 percent) compared to their males' counterpart ( 37.4 percent) was recorded. Among the male youths, ( 55.1 percent) reported that they are "Now in School" compared to 44.9 percent female youths. Only 48.7 percent of male youth were in school "Before but not now" while 51.3 percent of female youths reported same

Result shows that 15.3 percent of Nigeria youth had primary school as their highest of level education, 67.9 percent of educated youths had their highest level of education as secondary level while 16.8 percent reported post-secondary school level.

Across the zone, North East recorded the highest percentage of youths ( 80.7 percent) patronage of Private Dispensary/Hospital followed by North Central with 71.5 percent. The story was at variance in other four zones; South East, South-South, North West and South-West with more access to community health centre.

Youth from South East reported that more than 60 percent of them covered less than one (1) kilometer from their residence to health facilities while 20.6 percent of youths in South West zone reported that the health care facilities is less than one kilometer from their residence.

From South West more youth ( 20.8 percent) reported that the health facilities are more than five (5) kilometer from their residence while 2.7 percent of youths from the South East made similar claim.

North West reported the highest percentage of youths engaged in crop farming (32.4 percent) followed by North East (20.4 percent) and South West (14.2 percent). In livestock farming, North East ( 44.7 percent) had the highest percentage of youths in the activity followed by North Central (20.6 percent) and North West (19.7 percent). In poultry farming North Central (32 percent) of youths are involved in the activity, followed by North East (27.8 percent) and South East ( 15.9 percent). South West reported the highest percentage ( 53.5 percent) in Fishing Forestry is more in South West ( 92.6 percent) than other zones in the country.

The result shows that 52.6 percent of youths worked as employee while 47.4 percent of youth as employers across the six Geo-Political zones.

Among youths employed 50.6 percent were male while 49.4 percent were female across all zones. Furthermore North East reported highest percentage of male employment (70.3 percent), followed by North West ( 58.2 percent) and South East ( 58 percent) \} were more in employment than their female counterparts while in the South South (57.5 percent) and North Central (54.7 percent) of female youths were more in employments than their male counterparts.

Report from zones indicate that 26.3 percent of youth in North West could not secure job followed by South West (23.4 percent) while North-East and South-South (14 . 2 percent) and (14 percent) respectively could not secure job. In the same vein, Youths in South East (12.5percent) and North Central ( 9.5 percent) were unemployed.

Among youths with computer literacy, 54 percent were males and 46 per cent females. South East and South South have 50 and 51 per cent of their computer literate youths as females
respectively, while North West has the least percentage of computer-literate female youths (19 percent). Most youths in Nigeria ( 95 percent) can surf the internet, while less than half (45.1 percent) have knowledge of word processing. Youths that are certified IT professional are 4.7 percent.

At the National level only 1.64 percent of youth have one form of training in Conflict Prevention. Of this, males ( 56.8 percent) are involved in conflict preventions training while females were only 43.2 percent. Among youths who are involved in conflict prevention, 44.5 percent indicated they were involved at ward level; 50 percent at community level; 3.2 percent and 1.9 percent at LGA and State levels respectively.

Out of the youth involved in Conflict Prevention, 46.1 percent of them were involved in Civil/Household type while 38 percent were political conflicts; 8.8 percent and 7.2 percent were Boundary dispute and religious crises respectively.

Nationally, more males ( 74.1 per cent) than females ( 24.9 per cent) were involved in recreation and sporting activities. Also a higher proportion ( 89 per cent) of males compared to only 11 per cent females are engaged in professional sporting activities.

Findings show that more Nigerian youths ( 81.6 percent) did not reside at their home states at the time of the survey. Of this, 52.3 percent were females. Most ( 60.2 percent) Nigerian youths' movements are tied to family reasons. Sizeable proportion ( 24.4 percent) of them moved as a result of transfer, while 8.9 percent of youth's movement is attributed to 'search for job'.

Findings reveal that more female youths ( 65.4 percent) operated business enterprises than their male counterpart .North West had the highest female youths ( 82.9 percent) followed by South South ( 73.5 percent) and South East ( 42.4 percent) had the least female youths.
The most common type of legal Business Enterprise is sole proprietorship, only 1.5 percent of the youths were into partnership, 0.3 percent were into private liability and 7.4 percent are of other businesses. Nationally, 34.5 percent of youths sourced fund through Government Grants to set up their business enterprises, 9.7 percent of them used their personal savings, 15.1 percent sourced fund through cooperative thrift while 2.4 percent of them obtained loan to start up their business enterprises. In respect of challenges faced in business management; 86.1 percent of the youths said they are facing challenges. Some youths ( 4.9 percent) face challenge of Inconsistency in Government policies; others are facing challenges of obsolete of their
equipment and very few of them ( 3 percent) were facing problem of not having proper training on their businesses.

## Chapter One

### 1.0 Introduction

The policy goal of youth in Nigeria is to provide an appropriate framework that protects the fundamental human rights of all youth, promote their optimal development and well-being and enhance their participation in every sphere of national development processes. In this regard, the 2019 edition of the National Youth Policy broadly targets all young people in Nigeria between the ages of 15 to 29 years while recognizing that youth are not a homogeneous group in terms of needs and circumstances. The youth policy is aimed at providing a basis for interventions to appropriately address the specific and special needs of each of several identified target groups classified such as low-risk youth, especially vulnerable youth and most-at-risk youth. In order to effectively measure the impact of the interventions on the strategic goals and objectives, there is need to produce relevant, robust, credible, timely and reliable statistics to guide the implementation of various interventions programmes and projects on youths in Nigeria.

Generally, several indicators attested to the precarious situations and unfulfilled potentials of global youth with regard to socioeconomic development and inclusion. These commitments of socioeconomic developments and inclusion had been linked to Sustainable Development Goal 8; promoting sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all especially the youth; will not be met unless action is taken to address the fact that youth are still far more likely than their adult counterparts to be unemployed, underemployed, employed in the informal sector or among the working poor. "Not in Employment, Education and Training" (NEET) rates for youth worldwide are currently around 30 percent for young women and 13 per cent for young men (ILO, 2019).

In order to address these unfulfilled potentials of youth with respect to socioeconomic development and inclusion in Nigeria, the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development was created in January 2007, on the realization of the growing significance and contributions of Youth to national development. Nigeria has a large youthful population, about 28.76 per cent of the total population is below the age of 15 years and 45.46 percent are between the ages of $15-35$ years, given an estimated total population of about 209.6 million people in 2021. If these two groups are taken together, the population of Nigerians 35 years and below is 74.22 percent of the entire population. In absolute terms, there are more young people in Nigeria
today than any other segment of the population and this comes with its peculiar social and economic implications.

Based on the social and economic implications the Ministry designed the 2019 National Youth Policy, which defines Youth as all young people in Nigerian between the ages of 15 29 years. Furthermore, the African Youth Charter recognizes Youth as people between ages of 15-35 years.

The high population of youth in Nigeria comes with its own peculiar social and economic implications which informed the conduct of this survey due to dearth of sufficient and reliable data on youth in Nigeria. The Ministry of Youth and Sports Development had relied only on the baseline youth survey data result conducted in 2012 which is obsolete and would not be adequate enough to help in addressing the unfulfilled potentials of the youth's socioeconomic development and inclusion. As we all are aware, statistics remain an indispensable tool in policy formulation and implementation, as well as monitoring and evaluation of policies and programmes of which youth policy is not an exemption. Young people are key actors and a driving force for global development and peace. They are critical partners in the development of nations and their contribution to society must be measured in terms of productive pursuits of service to humanity. The Youths are Nigeria's foremost social capital and require proper monitoring.

In 2012, the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development in collaboration with the National Bureau of Statistics carried out a Baseline National Youth Survey with the objective of providing useful data for the design and development of youth-specific programmes by the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development and other stakeholders in the country. The result of the baseline survey also, produced a baseline indices window to assess the welfare of the young people and also provide empirical facts on the state of the youth in the nation. The National Youth Survey 2021 is expected to build on the outputs of the Baseline Survey to measure and evaluate the impact of youth development as well as incorporate more comprehensive and germane indicators for the measurement of prevailing youth concerns in the country. Assuredly, the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development is desirous of implementing more effective and proactive strategies that will have significant impacts on the youth situation in the country especially with the prevailing circumstances. This study therefore revealed the condition of young people in Nigeria in the areas of employment, educational levels, skill acquisition and entrepreneurship, health status, ICT knowledge and others.

Presently, Nigerian youths are severely hampered by a number of challenges and are unable to attain their full potential which resulted in the recent "EndSars Protest" and lots of criminal vices like kidnapping, banditry, abductions, herdsmen/farmers clashes, insurgencies etc ravaging the country today.

### 1.1 Survey Objectives

The survey would provide useful data for the design and development of youth-specific programmes by the Federal Ministry of Youth and Sports Development and other partners in the country. Significantly the study would among other objectives achieve:

* Generate socioeconomic data on youth to inform policy decisions and guide their implementation.
* Provide evidence based data that would help identify and strengthen the role of youth in national development.
* Provide data for expanding the role of youth in society to enhance their contribution to security of their community, state and nation at large.
* Identify various potentials of youths in sports and other recreational activities.
* Provide an evidence-based advocacy tool on behalf of the youth.
* Provide government and other stakeholders with useful data that shall lead to developing young people's employability to ensure their successful transition to the labour market and strategies for improving their access to career oriented employment.
* Provide data that would update the 2012 Baseline National Youth Survey Indices


## Chapter Two

## Survey Design and Methodology

### 2.0 Sample Design

The frame of Enumeration Areas (EAs) of 2006 Housing and population Census conducted by National Population Commission (NpopC) was used. The National Integrated Survey of Households (NISH) 2014/2019 Master Sample Frame (MSF) was adopted for the survey. However, the NISH 2014/2019 Master Sample was constructed from LGA Master Sample which may be called Master Frame. To select the NISH sub-sample of EAs in each state, the 30 Master Sample EAs in each LGA for that state were pooled together. Hence, the total number of EAs in the LGA Master Sample for each state is equal to 30 times the number of the LGA in the state except in FCT, Abuja where it is 40 times.

Thereafter, a systematic sample of 200 EAs was selected with equal probability across all LGAs within the states. The National Integrated survey of Household (NISH) EAs in each state was divided into 20 replicates of 10 EAs each.

However, in 2020 National Youth Survey, the reporting domain was at the national level and sub-national of the six (6) geo-political zones of the federation. Four (4) replicates representing forty (40) EAs were selected in each of the reporting domain within the zone using a systematic sample selection procedure. The EAs selected where updated and Household Listing Exercise was carried out for the compilation and construction of up-to-date frame of households in each of the EA selected across the zones. The selection of the ultimate sampling units - the households and the eligible respondents were randomly and systematically carried out using "Kish" Method of Sample selection. However, fifteen (15) households were selected per Enumeration Areas (EA). In each of the household, "Kish" Sample selection method was adopted to select the eligible respondents within each household. A maximum of two eligible respondents was selected in each household.

### 2.1 Coverage

This Survey covered all the six (6) geo-political zones of the Federation. The geo-political zones are North Central, North East, North West, South East, South- South and South West. The target population canvassed was the youths aged 15-35 years, with the sampling domain
being the households and some institutions such as Police Command headquarters as well as National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA) in each Zone.

### 2.2 Scope

The subject-areas of interest canvassed during the survey include:

* Identification Section for the households and Institutions
* Demographic Characteristics of all household members
* Household characteristics of respondents
* Water and sanitation
* Educational attainment of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Youth access to health-care
* Knowledge and attitude about HIV/AIDS for all household members aged 15-35 years
* Youth involvement in agriculture
* Employment situation for all household members aged 15-35 years
* Youth participation in politics and decision making
* Information and Communication Technology/computer proficiency of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Conflict prevention/Peace building of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Involvement in Sport and Recreation of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Migration of all household members aged 15-35 years
* Family life for all household members 15-35 years.
* Youth and Environment
* Non-Farm Enterprises for all household members 15-35 years.


### 2.3 Training for Fieldwork

The training /briefing for the survey was implemented at two levels. The 1st level was the training of trainers (TOT) while the 2nd level was the Zonal-level training. The TOT was held in Abuja and it involved 18 trainers/monitors and the technical working group from National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). It lasted for two (2) days.

The zonal-level training was held across the six (6) geo-political zones of the federation. This training involved the interviewers, supervisors, and the zonal controllers and independent monitors respectively. It equally lasted for two (2) days.

### 2.4 Fieldwork Arrangement for Data Collection

The fieldwork and data collection arrangements for the survey are as follows;

* Thirty (30) teams were constituted per Zone
* A team comprised of two (2) enumerators
* One (1) supervisor was assigned to manage two teams
* A team covered eight (8) enumeration areas (EAs)
* The teams moved in a roving manner during the fieldwork

The fieldwork lasted for ten (10) days including travel time

### 2.5 Monitoring/Quality Check and Coordination

Field monitoring/quality check exercise was also carried out by eighteen (18) monitors from NBS headquarter staff in the six (6) geo-political zones of the federation during the period of data collection as part of the quality assurance measures. The overarching objective of the monitoring exercise was to ensure high quality data collected from the field which should pave way for credible and reliable data output. Those involved included NBS monitors from Headquarters, independent monitors and six (6) NBS zonal controllers. The exercise lasted for two (2) days for NBS Headquarters monitoring officers, independent monitors five (5) days while the zonal controllers monitored throughout the duration of the fieldwork.

## Chapter Three

## Demographic and Household Characteristics

### 3.0 General Demography

The composition of a country's population in terms of gender and age, is formed as a result of the natural movement indices. As the natural indices increases, the proportion of the young people in the population increases and vice versa. However, this pattern may be disrupted as a result of migration processes, insurgencies and wars. An indicator of the average median age is a clear expression of the population age structure. The lower the average mean age, the younger the nation is demographically. Conversely, if the mean age is high, the nation is at a stage of demographic ageing. The age structure of the population is also affected by the average life expectancy ratio. If this ratio is high, it indicates that the nation's socioeconomic conditions and health care status are at a high level; it also means that the proportion of elderly in the population is increasing.

### 3.1 Household Characteristics (Age Group)

The impact of demographic variables is usually reflected in the size, rate of growth, age structure, headship and geographical distribution of a population. These could be influenced by socioeconomic development through health, education, economic and welfare programmes.

The result of this survey revealed that males in Nigeria constituted 50.8 percent of the population, while the females accounted for 49.2 percent nationally across all age group distributions as shown in figure 3.1a.


Further disaggregation of the distribution in figure 3.1b indicates that 53.4 percent of male persons in age group 36 years plus accounted for the highest population, followed by male persons in age group 0-14 years with 52 percent of the population while the male persons
aged 15-35 years had 48.5 percent of the population. Relatively, 51.5 percent of the female in age group 15-35 years accounted for the highest population of the female; followed by female in age group 0-14 years with 48 percent while female in age group 36 years plus accounted for 46.6 percent.


Nigeria has a large youthful population. The National Youth Policy of 2019 defines 'Youth' as a Nigerian citizen between the ages 15-29 years. However, the African Charter Youth Age bracket is $15-35$ years, which informed the definition of youth in this survey. The total population of youth aged (15-35) years in this survey was estimated as $95,315,144$ million with females youth constituting 51.5 percent $(48,538,374)$ and male youth accounted for 48.5 percent $(46,776,769)$ of the youth population.

### 3.2 Age Group and Sex

The percentage distribution of male/female within each age group is shown in Table 3.3. It was generally found that the female/male ratio was higher in all the age groups except in the case of 15-19 years where the youth male ( 51.5 percent) showed a higher ratio than the youth female.

Figure 3.2b further shows the distribution of youth aged 18-35 years by age group and sex, 51.6 percent were female while 48.4 percent were male. Among the age group 26-30 years the female youths ( 53.1 percent) were more than the male while age group 31-35 years had more male youth ( 50.5 percent) than the female counterpart.


Figure 3.2c presents the percentage distribution of youth aged 15-35 years by age group and sectors (urban and rural). The figure shows that nationally, 78.8 percent of the youth domiciled in the rural areas while only 21.2 percent of youth lives in the urban areas.

Fig.3.2c: Percentage Distribution of Youth 15-35 by Sector (Urban and Rural)
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Also in figure 3.2 d among the age groups, $30-35$ years had more youths ( 82 percent) that lives in rural areas, followed by youths ( 81.6 percent) in age group 25-29 years, also youth (76.3 percent) in age group 20-24 years while youth ( 74.5 percent) in age group 15-19 years had the least youth. On the other hand, less than 30 percent youths lives in urban areas in each of the age group.


The population distribution of youth aged 15-35 years across the six geo-political zones of the federation in Nigeria by age group and sex revealed that South West had the highest youth population with $23,758,879$, followed by North West $20,773,698$, while North East zone has the least youth population of $11,255,079$. The table equally revealed that the population of female is greater than that of male across the zones except in the North West. Further disaggregation of the data by age indicates that in the North Central, age group 15-19 years has the highest population of youths with $2,053,781$ while age group $25-29$ years $(1,439,697)$ has the lowest population amongst the age group in the zone; also in North West, age group 30 35 years has the highest population of youth with $6,812,409$ while age group 20-24 years has the least population of the youths with 4,024,278 within the zone. See Table 3.6a, 3.6b, 3.6c in

## Annex

### 3.3 Marital Status and Forms of Marriage

Marital Status of the youths was grouped into five mutually-exclusive categories:

These are:

* Married: Couples living together having their marriage contracted either in Court of law according to custom/tradition, Religious centre (church/mosque) or based on Mutual agreement to live together as husband and wife.
* Divorced: A legally complete separation of already contracted marriage
* Separated: This is an already contracted marriage but due to some reasons the couple have separated and not living together. This form of marriage cannot be regarded as divorce
since there is no legal backing for not living together as a couple, hence they could still come back and live together.
* Widowed: This is a situation where one of the couple is dead.
* Never Married: These are single youths expecting marriage at any time.

Fig 3.3a shows the marital status of youth aged 15-35 years by sex. It revealed at a glance that more female youth were involved in all categories of marriage than their male youth counterpart except those who were "Never Married". Out of the married youths, 65.7 percent of the female youth were married, while only 34.3 percent of the male youth were married. Rate of "Divorce" and "Separated" were higher among the female youths ( 63.3 percent and 78 percent) than the male youths with just ( 36.7 percent and 22 percent) respectively. For those who were "Never Married", male youths had 60.5 percent and female youths 39.5 percent.


The forms of marriage contracted by married youths were grouped as either:

* Ordinance Marriage: form of marriage which took place in Court;
* Customary Marriage: that is referred to as traditional form of marriage perform according to the laws and customs of the people.
* Mutual agreement: Is a form of marriage where no formal/legal marriage contracted outside the Court/Ordinance, Religious or Customary, but the couple came to agreement informally to live together as husband and wife
* Religious Marriage: is a form of marriage contracted in any of the religious institutions (Christian or Islam).
Figure 3.3b presents the percentage distribution of youth by zone and forms of marriages where youths from North West respectively contracted more Court Marriages ( 32.2 percent), more

Religious Marriages ( 26.3 percent) and more Customary Marriages (40.6 percent); while youth from other zones respectively contracted less than 27 percent Court Marriages, also contracted less than 25 percent Religious Marriages and less than 30 percent Customary Marriages in each category. However, more youths ( 67.1 percent) in south west contracted more mutual agreement form of marriage than youth from other zones.


### 3.4 Water and Sanitation

### 3.4.1 Safe and Unsafe Drinking Water

Safe or unpolluted drinking water is a basic necessity of good health, while unsafe or polluted water is a veritable source of water borne diseases, such as diarrhea, cholera, typhoid, guinea worm, schistosomiasis etc. The population of persons who use safe water is constituted by those who use piped treated water, piped untreated water, tube-well/borehole, public tap/standpipe with hand pump, bottled water and protected well/spring water. The results of the survey revealed that 73.3 percent of households use safe water for drinking as shown in fig.

### 3.4.1



### 3.4.2 Fetching of Water in the Household

The results in fig 3.4.2 also show that when water is not available on the premises, it is primarily Adult female (52percent) who are mainly responsible for collecting water. Although, Adult male ( 30.4 percent) in the households also fetch water in the household, 10 percent of the "Female child under 15 equally fetches water, 6.1 percent male child under 15 fetches water, while " 1.5 percent "Don't Know" who fetches water in the household.


### 3.4.3 Water Treatment by Household

Improving water quality through certain treatments can help reduce the risk of disease. The result of this survey shown in figure 3.4.3a indicates percentage distribution of households by treatment of drinking water. Only 14.1 percent of the households interviewed treats water before drinking while exactly 85.9 percent of households reported not treating water before drinking.

Fig.3.4.3a: Percentage Distribution of Households by Who Usually Treat Water for Safe Drinking
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At the zonal level, over 70 percent of the households across the zones drink untreated water while less than 30 percent of the households treat water before drinking across the zone as shown in figure 3.4.3b.


### 3.4.4 Source of Fuel for Cooking by Household

Fuel for cooking has an impact on the environment concerning pollution and afforestation policy. The survey revealed nationally in figure 3.4.4a that Nigerian households ( 77.7 percent) uses more open fire for cooking than open stove ( 13.9 percent) and close stove ( 8.1 percent) respectively.


Similar pattern of the distribution was recorded in all the zones, indicating that above 65 percent of the households across the zones depended mainly on open fire for cooking than stove (open and close stove) as shown in figure 3.4.4b


### 3.4.5 Chimney or Hood

A very small proportion of households ( 16.5 percent) nationally responded that their stove had hood or chimney while large proportion of households ( 83.5 percent) reported that their stoves had no hood as shown in figure 3.4.5a.


Also, similar pattern of the distribution was recorded across the zones, indicating that less than 30 percent of the households in all the zones had "hood" in their stoves, while over 70 percent of the households had "no hood" in their stoves. See figure 3.4.5b


### 3.4.6 Cooking in the Household

The survey result presented in figure 3.4.6a showed that 40.7 per cent of household "cooks outdoor", 31.9 percent of the households "cooks in the building" while 27.2 percent of the households "cooks in a separate building or room" at the national level.

Fig.3.4.6a: Percentage Distribution of Households that Cooks in the House, in a Separate Building and Outdoors (National)


Further disaggregation by zone in figure 3.4.6b indicates that more households ( 56.7 percent) "cooks outdoor" in North Central compare to other zones, followed by households (50.7 percent) in South East, households ( 38.1 percent) in South South, households ( 36.9 percent) in South West, households ( 34.4 percent in North East and finally households ( 34.2 percent) in North West. On the other hand, more households ( 52.9 percent) "cooks in a separate building" in North West, followed by households ( 32.1 percent) in South-South and North Central had the least households ( 8.7 percent) that cooks in a separate building. Finally, North East had the highest percentage of households ( 45.3 percent) that "cooks in the house", followed by households (43.9 percent) in South West while North West had the least households (12.9 percent) that cooks in the house.

Fig.3.4.6b: Percentage Distribution of Households that
Cooks in the House, in a Separate Building and Outdoors


### 3.4.7 Toilet System

Conventional means of liquid waste disposal are the use of flush to sewage, flush to septic tank, covered pit latrine and VIP latrine, while the use of toilet on water, pail/bucket, uncovered pit latrine and bush/dung hill constitute improper or unconventional means. None availability of toilet facility in the household leads to the use of bush/dung hill.

Figure 3.4.7 shows the percentage distribution of households by type of toilet facilities by zone. It shows that more households makes use of conventional type of toilets system than the unconventional toilet system in most of the zones except in North Central and North West zone that make use of the unconventional toilet system more than the conventional toilet system. This implies that sanitation etiquette of Nigerians in terms of proper toilet waste disposal is still very poor because of the high rate of households who still make use of the unconventional toilet system across the zone.


Figure 3.4.8a shows the percentage distribution of household that share toilet facility with other households. The survey result revealed nationally that 34.2 percent of the households share their toilet facility with other households while 65.8 percent of the household does not share toilet facility with other households.

| Fig.3.4.8a: Percentage Distribution of Household that Share Toilet Facility with other Households (National) |  |
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Further disaggregation of the survey result on the distribution of household that share toilet facility by zone shown in figure 3.4 .8 b indicates that more households ( 59.5 percent) in North Central share toilet facility with other households, followed by household (47 percent) in South West, then household (31.3 percent) in South South, household (29 percent) in South East, also household (28 percent) in the North West and only 13.5 percent of the households in the North East share toilet facility with other households.


## Chapter Four

### 4.0 Youth and Education

Education continues to occupy a pivotal position in all government development programmes. It engenders the rate of socioeconomic, technological and political advancement of the citizenry in the country. Education influence positive changes in other sectors of the economy. Education is a form of learning in which knowledge, skills and habits of a group of people are transferred from one generation to the next through teaching.

### 4.1 Youth Attendance in School

Fig 4.1a shows that out of the total number of youths that were "Never in School", more female youths ( 62.6 percent) were "Never in School" compared to their males' counterpart (37.4 percent) who were equally "Never in School". Among the male youths, ( 55.1 percent) reported that they were "Now in School" while 44.9 percent female youths equally reported likewise (Now in School). Only 48.7 percent of male youth were in school "Before but not now" while 51.3 percent of female youths reported same (Before but not now).


Fig 4.1b shows the percentage distribution of youth aged 15-35 years by zone and attendance in school. The figure shows at a glance that more youth ( 38.7 percent) in North East "Never Attended School", followed by youth in North West ( 19.8 percent), then 16.3 percent youth from South West "Never Attended", also youth that had "Never Attended" in North Central, South South and South East all had less than 10 percent. South West youth had the highest percentage of youth that are "Now in school" with 25.2 percent while North East had the least percentage of youth (7.4 percent) that are "Now in School" across the zone. Equally, more youth (24 percent) were in "School Before But Not Now" in South West, followed by youth
(22.8 percent) in North West while other zones had less than 20 percent of the youth that were "In School Before But Not Now".


### 4.2 Highest Level of Education Attained



Figure 4.2 a shows the percentage distribution of educated youths by level nationally. Exactly 67.9 percent of educated youths had their highest level of education as secondary level, 16.8 percent of the youth had post-secondary school as their highest level of education while 15.3 percent had primary school as their highest of level education.


Figure 4.2 b shows the percentage distribution of youth by level of education and zone. Across the six (6) geo-political zones, over 58 percent of the youth had secondary education as the highest level reached and less than 30 percent of the youth attained post-secondary in all the zones while less youth had primary school education as the highest level reached across the zones respectively.

### 4.3 Types of Education Attended

In this survey, education was categorized into two: Formal and Informal.
Formal Education is a system of schooling which involve institutionalized teaching and learning with a curriculum, which itself is established according to a predetermined purpose of the schools in the system. It takes the forms of Primary, Secondary and Tertiary Education. Informal Education is a general term for education outside of a standard school setting. It can refer to various forms of alternative education such as home schooling, self-teaching, correspondences, through mass media, museum, library, from parent and many more.

Figure 4.3a shows the percentage distribution of youth by type of education. The survey result showed that, 89.5percent of the youths had formal education while 10.5 percent of the youths received informal education across the country.

Fig. 4.3a: Percentage Distribution of Youth by Type of Education


Figure 4.3 b presents the percentage distribution of youth by type of education and sex. The distribution revealed that more male youths ( 51.5 per cent) attended or received more "formal education" than the female youths ( 48.5 per cent) while the female youth ( 55.3 percent) were engaged more in "informal education" than their male counterpart (44.7 percent) respectively.


According to figure 4.3 c which presents the percentage distribution of youth by zone, type of education and sex, more male youth ( 55.9 percent) in the North West had more formal education, followed by male youth ( 52.6 percent) in the North East, then South West male youth ( 51.9 percent), South East and South South male youth had 49.7 percent and 48.6 percent
respectively of formal education, while female youth of South-South (51.4 percent), female youth of South East (50.3 percent), female youth of North Central (50.1 percent), female youth of South West (48.1 percent), female youth of North East (47.4 percent) and female youth of North West (44.1 percent) had formal education in that percentage order. On the other hand, more male youth ( 70.4 percent) in North Central were engaged in informal education, followed male youth (49.1 percent) in South-South, male youth ( 45 percent) in North West, South West male youth ( 43.4 percent), South East male youth ( 35.4 percent) and North East male youth ( 21.3 percent) while above 50 percent of female youth across all the zone had informal education except in North Central where only 29.6 percent of the female youth had informal education.

Fig.4.3d: Percentage Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Zone, Type of Education and Sex


### 4.4 Youth and Course of Study

This sub-section focuses on the course of study that youths undertake at higher institution categorized into "currently studying" or "graduated".
The figure 4.4 reveals that youths ( 31.2 percent) who are currently studying or graduated in social sciences are leading other courses, followed by youths ( 24.9 percent) studying or graduated in management science courses, while youths ( 1.5 percent) studying or graduated in medicine maintain the least favourite course of study or graduated by the youths.

FIG. 4.4: PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF YOUTH BY COURSE OF STUDY


## Chapter five

### 5.0 Youth and Health

Health by definition is a state of physical, mental and social well-being and not to merely the absence of disease or infirmity. The enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion and political belief, social or economic condition of the nation.

### 5.1 Access to Health Care Services

The result of the survey across the zone on accessibility to health care services by the youth indicates that North East zone reported the highest ( 80.7 percent) enjoyed the services from public dispensary hospitals followed by North Central with 71.5 percent. The story was at variance in other four zones; south-East, south-south, North-West and South-West that enjoyed health services from community health centre.


### 5.2 Youths and Distance to Health Facilities

In considering accessibility to health facilities the result shows that youth from south East Zone have closer access to health facilities than other zone with more than 60 percent of the youths reported that the health facility is less than one (1) kilometer from their residence while 20.6 percent of youths in south west zone reported that the health care facilities is less than one kilometer from their residence.

The figure furthers shows that the health facilities is far from the youths in South West more than any other zone; south west ( 20.8 percent) reported that the health facilities are more than five (5) kilometer from their residence while 2.7 percent of youths from the South East made similar claim.


### 5.3 Youth and Level of Health Care Facilities

The report indicates that the primary health care facilities are more pronounced than other level of health care facilities in all the zones; North west ( 88.7 percent) had the highest youths that utilizes the primary health care facilities followed by South South ( 85.1 percent) and south west had 82.2 percent while South East had the least of youths that make use of the primary health care facilities.
Also, the south east ( 37.8 percent) had the highest youths that patronize the secondary health facilities while North west recorded the least (11.3 percent) of the youths that make use of the secondary health facilities across the zones.


### 5.4 Youth and Assessment of Cost of Health Services

The result shows that 69.6 percent of youths nationally viewed the cost of health care services as being moderate, 17.9 percent considered the services expensive while very small proportion viewed the health services as very expensive (1.9 percent). Among all the zones, only North West and South-South reported free health services.

Fig. 5.4 Percentage distribution of youths assessment of cost of health care services by zone


## CHAPTER SIX

### 6.0 Youth and Agriculture

Over the years, agriculture has been the main driver of Nigeria economy because it has the highest contribution to the GDP of the country. Some decades back, Northern part of Nigeria had groundnut Pyramid apart from cotton production, hides and skins while in the south there was Cocoa production. Most of the populace engages in subsistence farming which cut across all the zones.

### 6.1 Youth and type of farming.

Crop farming cut across all the 6 Geo Political zones with North West having the highest percentage of youths engaged in crop farming ( 32.4 percent) followed by North East (20.4 percent) and South West (14.2 percent). In livestock farming, North East (44.7 percent) had the highest percentage of youths in the activity followed by North Central (20.6 percent) and North West (19.7 percent). The remaining 3 zones engaged less than 10 percent of youths in livestock production. In poultry farming North Central ( 32 percent) of youths are involved in the activity, followed by North East ( 27.8 percent) and South East (15.9 percent). Fishing activity by youths is more in South West ( 53.5 percent) than other zones. Forestry activity by youths is also more in South West ( 92.6 percent) than other zones across the country.


### 6.2 Youths and Employment in Agriculture

The result shows that 52.6 percent of youths worked as employee while 47.4 percent of youth as employers across the six Geo-Political zones.

North central ( 67.3 percent) had the highest percentage of youth as employers followed by South East ( 64.5 percent) while south west ( 29.0 percent) had the least percentage of youth as employer in Agriculture. With respect to employee in agriculture, South West (71 percent) had the highest of youths that worked as employees followed by South-South ( 64.9 percent) while North Central ( 32.7 percent) had the least of youth engaged as employees in agriculture.


### 6.3 Youth and Access to agricultural Input

Nationally, male youths ( 61.4 percent) have access to agricultural input in the country than their female ( 38.6 percent) counterparts. At the zonal level, the male youths also have access to agricultural input in most of the zones than the female counterparts except in the south east where the female youths ( 61.7 percent) have access to agricultural input than the male (38.3 percent) counterpart.

Fig. 6.3 Percentage distribution of youth that have access to Agricultural inputs by zone


## Chapter Seven

### 7.0 Youth and Employment

Young men and women are invaluable asset to the country especially with respect to socioeconomic development. They bring in their energy, talent and creativity to work in order to grow the economy. Youths face challenges in the labor market, large number of young people work long hours for low pay with limited job security and no voice at work.

### 7.1 Youth in Employment

The result indicates that among youths that were employed 50.6 percent were male while 49.4 percent were female across all zones. Furthermore the report shows that male youths\{North East ( 70.3 percent), North West ( 58.2 percent) and South East ( 58 percent)\} were more in employment than their female counterparts while in the south south ( 57.5 percent) and North central ( 54.7 percent) of female youths were more in employments than their male counterparts.


### 7.2 Youth and Unemployment

The result shows different reasons why youths cannot secure a job .The general over view in the zones indicate that 26.3 percent of youth in North- West could not secure job followed by South West (23.4percent)while North- West and South -South (14.2 percent) and ( 14 percent) respectively could not secure job. In the same vein, Youths in South East ( 12.5 percent) and North Central ( 9.5 percent) were unemployed.
The reasons why youth were not engaged in North -West ( 62.1 percent) was as a result of sickness while ( 40.2 percent) of the youth believed that job was not available. In North East (47.2 percent) of youths were invalid for work followed by North West (33.7 percent) of youths were not qualified; while South West (29.8percent) of their youths were students.


### 7.3 Youth Employment by Sector

Nationally South West had the highest youths in employment (29.0 percent) followed by North central ( 25.9 percent) and South South had (17.2 percent) while North East had the least (5.7 percent) of the youths in employment.
At zonal level, North Central, Mining Quarry company engaged ( 86.3 percent) of youths; Electricity employed (46.8 percent) and Manufacturing Company employed 45.7 percent while Financial Inter-mediation had the least 4.4 percent of youths employed.
In North East ( 23.4 percent) of youth were engaged by Federal / state civil service followed by community services ( 8.8 percent) and organized road transports ( 8.3 percent) while hotel and Restraint employed the least ( 3 percent) of the youths

In North west ( 29.6 percent) of youth were engaged by Oil and Gas company; Community services engaged (19.1 percent) and (16 percent) were employed by Real Estate while Hotel and Restaurant had the least (4.2percent)

South East, financial inter-mediation engaged ( 25.2 percent) of the youth; Oil and Gas employed ( 23.3 percent) of youths and Electricity company ( 20.4 percent) while community services had the least ( 3.5 percent) of youth in employment

It was reported that (47.1percent) of the youth were employed by Oil and Gas in South-South ;( 25.7 percent) were engaged by Electricity company and Other Community Services had the least 3.6 percent of the youths

Lastly South West ( 53.8 percent) of youths were employed by Real Estate; Road transport engaged ( 50.8 percent) followed by financial inter-mediation ( 49.9 percent) while Electricity company had the least (7.1 percent) of the youth in employment.

Fig. 7.3 Percentage distribution of Youth in Employment by zone and sector


## Chapter Eight

### 8.0 Youth Participation in Politics and Decision Making

Participation of all citizens in formal political processes is very important for democracy. For political system to be representative, all part of society must be included. In liberation struggle from colonialism in the 1920s, some young activists contributed immensely towards the achievement of democracy

Below are the political parties that contested in 2019 election viz Action Congress (AC), All Progressives Congress (APC), Congress for progressive change (CPC), Labour Party (LP), People Democratic Party (PDP) and other. From the 2019 election result shows that the youth constituted up to $60 \%$ of the population that participated in the election process of voting. Not too young campaign has awoken the desire of young Nigeria to participate in election and has increased the desire to create a better nation. Hence, the increase in number of youths running for the Office of the President, National Assembly, Gubernatorial and State House of Assembly in 2019 Elections.

It was also shown that Nigeria youths within the age group of 18 to 35 are the highest population of voters as they constituted $51.11 \%$ of the total age group registered to vote at the polls

### 8.1 Youth with Political Affiliation.

The result shows in political party male youths ( 65 percent) affiliated most in PDP party. The male youths affiliated more in political parties across all the zones than females. The reflection were shown clearly in South- East and North East zones .Looking at the two dominant parties APC and PDP the youths affiliated more in PDP than APC and in other parties.


### 8.2 Youth in Elective Offices.

The result shows that male youths ( 71.6 percent) were elected into Political Offices than their Female counterpart across all the 6 Geo Political zones.

Out of the six Geo-Political zones, in North East and South East all electives offices occupied by the youth had (100 percent) male. The North Central (84. 4 percent), South-west ( 77.5 percent) and South- South ( 56.8 percent) while in North West female youths ( 66.7 percent) were more in political Offices than their male youths.

Fig. 8.2 Percentage distribution of youths and elective Offices by zone and sex


## Chapter Nine

### 9.0 Youth and Information \& Communication Technology (ICT)/Computer Proficiency

Information and Communication Technology/Computer Proficiency have permeated all aspects of life, providing newer, better, and quicker ways for people to interact, network, seek help, gain access to information, and learn. The growth of ICT is changing the way economic and social development occurs all over the world. New ICT and Computer-related tools have been known to make Institutions and markets more productive, enhance skills and learning, improve governance at all levels and make it easier for services to be accessed.

A nation where the growth of information technology is powered by young and industrious youths, would someday lead the development of the continent.

### 9.1 Youth with Computer Literacy

Among youths with computer literacy, 54 percent were males and 46 per cent females. South East and South South have 50.3 and 51.2 per cent of their computer literate youths as females respectively, while North West has the least percentage of computer-literate female youths (19.4 percent) as shown in figure 9.1


### 9.2 Youth and Type of Computer Literacy

Most youths in Nigeria ( 95 percent) can surf the internet, while less than half ( 45.1 percent) know word processing. Youths that are certified IT professional are 4.7 percent. In the North East, the percentage of youth that are Certified IT Professional accounted for 26.9 percent which is highest when compared with Certified IT Professional Youths in other zones. North West zone recorded 6.4 percent, South South 5.6 percent while South West recorded the least percentage of Youth that are Certified IT Professionals with 0.9 percent


## Chapter Ten

### 10.0 Youth and Conflict Prevention/Peace Building

Conflict prevention can be described as a diplomatic approach to a variety of activities and strategies designed to pre-empt and subsequently neutralise potential triggers to widespread violent conflict. In the same vein, Peace building is the development of constructive personal, group, and political relationships across ethnic, religious, class, national, and racial boundaries. It aims to resolve injustice in nonviolent ways and to transform the structural conditions that generate deadly conflict.

It is globally acknowledged that underdevelopment, poverty, and violent conflict reinforce each other. To provide support for development in Nigeria, there is an urgent need for a paradigm shift in favour of youths' involvements in conflict sensitive programme.

Mainstreaming youth-led peace-building in development will have a long term positive impact on both economic and social life of the people.

### 10.1 Youth and Conflict Prevention Training

At the National level, it was observed that 1.64 percent of youth have one form of training in Conflict Prevention. Of this, more males ( 56.8 percent) are involved in conflict preventions training than females ( 43.2 percent). Out of the total youth in the South South, 5.05 percent were trained in conflict prevention and this is the highest percentage when compared with other zones. In South East zone, 3.03 percent of youth were trained, followed by a distant 0.71 percent for North Central. The least percentage of youth trained in conflict prevention was recorded in south West zone with 0.05 percent.


### 10.2 Level of Involvements of Youths in Conflict Prevention

Conflict prevention is being done at different levels; some people are involved at the community level while some are involved at the State level depending on the status of the individuals. Across the country, out of those involved in conflict prevention, 44.9 percent indicated they were involved at ward level; 50 percent at community level; 3.2 percent and 1.9 percent at LGA and State levels respectively.


### 10.3 Youth and Type of Conflict Prevented

The youths are a reference point in any conflict prevention whether at home or in the society. Table 1.49 shows the type of Conflict prevented by Youth. Out of the youth involved in Conflict Prevention, 46.1 percent of them were involved in Civil/Household type while 38 percent were political conflicts; 8.8 percent and 7.2 percent were Boundary dispute and religious crises respectively.


### 10.4 Youth and Type of Organization Through which Conflict is Prevented

Nationally, the youths are more involved with Faith Based Organization (FBO) (66.1 percent), followed by Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) (18.2 percent), while Voluntary Youth Organization (VYOs) and Community based Organizations (CBOs) recorded 10.8 and 4.9 percent respectively

Fig. 10.4: Percentage Distribution of Type of Organisation Through which Nigerian Youth Prevent Conflict


## Chapter Eleven

### 11.0 Youth in Sports and Recreation

Sports and recreational activities are very useful engagements for both in-school and out-ofschool youths. To a large extent, various social and economic benefits are available to the nation through the participation of youths in various forms of sports. In addition to physical benefits, sports and recreational activities also have strong influences on inclusion and community building, character-building, delinquency control and community safety.

### 11.1 Youth and Level of Involvement in Sports

At national level, more males ( 75.5 per cent) than females ( 24.5 per cent) were involved in recreation and sporting activities. Also a higher proportion ( 89 per cent) of males compared to only 11 per cent females are engaged in professional sporting activities. The level of involvement in recreational and sporting activities at zonal levels is similar with the national.


### 11.2 Youth and Level of Involvement in Sports by Gender

As observed in Fig. 11.2 there are variations in the involvement of Nigerian youths in Sport by sex and at level of sport across zones, though the trend is similar with national. The percentage involvement of females ( 36.8 percent) is the highest in South West, followed by South South with ( 32.2 percent), while the North West recorded the least percentage involvement of females in Sport with 3.5 percent at recreational level. At professional level, North West recorded the
highest percentage of female involvement with 49.9 percent followed by South South with 42.1 percent.


### 11.3 Youth and Forms of Disability by Sex

The bar chart in fig 11.3 reveals a variation in the tendency of male and female youths to exhibit one form of disability or the other. Both males and females were equally affected by visual impairment compared to Poliomyelitis and Partial Paralysis where more males than females were affected with 66 and 62.5 percent respectively.

Fig. 11.3: Percentage Distribution of Youth by Sex and Form of Disability


## Chapter Twelve

### 12.0 Youth Migration

Migration is increasingly becoming a force that can contribute to development and an integral aspect of the global development process. In Nigeria, and as observed around the world, youth migration is a critical issue in rural and urban areas. Though some urban areas, cities and towns provide better opportunities for youths, others serve as breeding grounds for poverty, unemployment and crime, causing severe social problems. Migration is a serious issue for both the origin and destination points. Internal migration within countries is on the rise and people move in response to inequitable distribution of resources, services and opportunities or to escape violence, natural disasters or increasing occurrence of extreme weather conditions.

### 12.1 Youth and Place of Residence

The result from the survey show that more Nigerian youths ( 81.6 percent) did not reside at their home states at the time of the survey, of this, 52.3 percent were females. The result is similar across zones with North West and North East recording 95.4 percent and 90.3 percent youth that did not reside in their home state respectively while South South and South East recorded the least percentages with 75.2 percent and 68.1 percent respectively


### 12.2 Youth and Reason for Movement

As observed from the chat below, over 60.2 percent of Nigerian youths' movements are tied to family reasons. Sizeable proportion ( 24.4 percent) of them moved as a result of transfer, while 8.9 percent of youth's movement is attributed to 'search for job'.

Fig 12.2 Percentage Distribution of Youth's Movement by Reason


### 12.3 Ever-Changed Base

The pie chart below shows the percentage distribution of youths who have ever-changed base and reason for movement. Nationally, more than one-third ( 34.0 per cent) of the youths who had ever-changed their location did so due to family reasons compared to 28 per cent, and 26 per cent who relocated due to education and search for job respectively. Only 4 per cent and 1 per cent stated they changed their residence due to transfer and conflict/civil unrest respectively.

Fig. 12.3: Youth Ever Change Base by Reason
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## Chapter 13

### 13.0 Family Life/Parenting

The family as a unit of care has great effect in tackling young people problems and this could be influenced by family functioning. This survey assesses the relationship between youths' family functioning with socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral risk of the youths. In this regard, the quality of parents' relationships makes a lot of difference to youth in many ways. A youth trend analysis found that whether parents are married or cohabitating, parental relationship quality is associated with youth's behavioral problems, social competence, school engagement and depression. Also, parent-youth connectedness is associated with a wide range of health indicators, however, close positive family relationships that feature open communication help young people stay healthy and avoid substance use and violent behavior.

### 13.1 Youth and Parents

Nationally, fig.13.1a shows the percentage distribution of youth who lives with both parents; more youths ( 37.8 percent) lives with both parents, followed by youth ( 35.3 percent) who are now married and living with spouse, youth living with one parent only accounted for 13.2 percent, the proportion of youth now working and living on their own accounted for 7.5 percent while youth living with guardian had the least with just 6.3 percent

Fig. 13.1a: Percentage distribution of Youth who live with their Parents


Further analysis result by zone in fig. 13.1b revealed that youth ( 55.7 percent) who are "Now Married and Living with their Spouse" are more in North East, followed by youth (39.8 percent) in North West, while the South South youth ( 24.4 percent) now married and living with their spouse had the least value across the zone. Also, more youth ( 45.2 percent) from North Central lives with "Both Parents" compared to other zones; followed by youth (44.3 percent) in North West, South South youth ( 40.4 percent) while South West had the least percentage of youth ( 29.8 percent) that lives with "Both Parents" across the zones. In all the zones, less than 25 percent of the youths live with "One Parent Only", equally, less than 12 percent of the youth are "Now Working and Living on Their Own" and less than 10 percent of the youth are "Living with Guardian" respectively in all the zones.


According to the survey result shown in fig. 13.1c, the percentage distribution of youths and parents relationship nationally indicates that 43.6 percent of youths reported that their relationship with their parents were "Lovely", followed by "Very Lovely" relationship of youth and parents ( 41.6 percent), youth "Cordial" relationship with parents (14.7 percent) while percentage of youth and parents "Not cordially" and "Stay out of their way" relationship were respectively very insignificant.


Across the zone, as shown in fig. 13.1d more youth (63.1 percent) in South-South had "Very Lovely" relationship with their parents, followed by youth in the North Central ( 60.2 percent) and youth ( 14.4 percent) in North West had the least "Very Lovely" relationship with their parents. Also, 58.5 percent of the youth in North West had "Lovely" relationship with their parents to be at the top of the pyramid, while youth ( 32.9 percent) in North Central had the lowest "Lovely" relationship with their parents. Only 27.1 percent of the youth in North West and 22.4 percent of the youth in South West had significant level of "Cordial" relationship with their parents while youth from other zones had less than 13 percent "Cordial" relationship with their parents. "Not Cordially" and "Stay out of their way" relationship with parents were virtually non-existence across the zones.


Figure 13.1e shows the percentage distribution of youths and parents by quality and quantity of time parents spent with their youths nationally. The figure revealed that 38.3 percent of the youths spent more time with their "Mother", 37.2 percent of the youths spent more time with "Both Parents", while 23.9 percent of them spent more time with their "Father" and less than 1 percent of the youths "Don't Know" which of their parents they spent more time with.


Further disaggregation by zone as shown in figure 13.1f indicates that 69.3 percent of youths spent more time with both parents in South West, followed by youth (43 percent) in North West while only 11.9 percent of the youth in South East spent time with both parents. Relatively, 53.3 percent of youth in South East spent more quality time with their "Mothers", 51 percent of youths in North East also spent quality time with their "Mothers", 48.5 percent of the youths equally spent time with their "Mothers" in North Central, 40.9 percent youths in South-South spent more time with their "Mothers" while less than 30 percent of the youths in both South West and North West only spent time with their "Mothers" respectively. Furthermore, less than 35 percent of the youths across the zones spent quality time with their "Fathers" while "Don't Know" who spent more time amongst the parents is less than 3 percent in all the the zones.


At the national level, fig. 13.1g presents the percentage distribution of youth and which parents to emulate. The figure shows that 39.7 percent of the youths prefer to emulate both parents, 30.3 percent prefer to emulate their father, 29.4 percent prefer to emulate their mother while less than 1 percent were indifferently on whom to emulate.


Distributing the percentage of youth and which parents to emulate into zones as shown in figure 13.1 h , revealed that more youths ( 81.1 percent) prefers both parents in South West compared to other zones while less than 30 percent of the youths prefers both parents in each of the remaining five (5) zones. Equally, more youth (51.4 percent) in North East prefers to emulate their "Father", followed by 44.9 percent of the youths who prefers their "Father" in South East, then 35.5 percent of the youth in North West, youth in North central 32.5 percent, youth in South-South 23.1 percent and South West 6.2 percent prefers to emulate their "Fathers" respectively. However, less than 50 percent of the youths across the zones prefers to emulate their mother while the percentage of youth that responded "None" and "Don't Know" were absolutely insignificant.


Figure 13.1i shows the percentage distribution of youths who live with one parents by reasons. The survey result reveals that more youths ( 54.7 percent) lives with one parent due to the "Death of One of the Parents", 24.6 percent of the youth lives with one parent because of "Separation of Parents", 11.9 percent of the youth lives one parents due to "Exigency of Work" and lastly, 8.7 percent of the youth lives with one parents based on parent's divorce.

Fig. 13.1i: Percentage Distribution of Youth Who Live with One Parent by Reason(s)
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The result of the survey across the zones in figure 13.1i shows that in all the zones, the main reason youth live with one parent was due to the "Death of one of the Parents" except in North West. Also, across the zones, only North West had above 50 percent of the youths that lives with one parent because of "Separation of Parents" while other reasons "Exigency of Work" and "Parents Divorced" accounted for less than 20 percent for the reason why youth live with one parent in all the zones.


### 13.2 Youth and Guardian

Figure 13.2a shows the percentage distribution of youths who live with guardians by reasons. The survey result reveals that more youths ( 71.2 percent) lives with guardians due to "Education", 14.5 percent of the youth lives with guardians because of "Death of Parents", 9.1 percent of the youth lives with guardians due to "Separation of Parents" and finally, 5.2 percent of the youth lives with guardians based on parent's divorced.


The result of the survey distributed across the zones in figure 13.2 b showed that in all the zones, the main reason more youth live with guardians was due to the "Education" except in North West with less than 40 percent. Also, across the zones, only North West had above 40 percent of the youths that lives with guardians because of "Death of Parents" while less than 20 percent of the youths lives with guardians as a result of "Parents Separation" and "Parents Divorced" respectively across all the zones.

Fig.13.2b: Percentage Distribution of Youth Who Live with Guardians by Zone and Reason(s)


The result of the survey across the zones in figure 13.2 b shows that in all the zones, the main reason more youth live with guardians was due to the "Education" except in North West with less than 40 percent. Also, across the zones, only North West had above 40 percent of the youths that lives with guardians because of "Death of Parents" while less than 20 percent of the youths lives with guardians as a result of "Parents Separation" and "Parents Divorced" respectively across all the zones.

## Chapter Fourteen

### 14.0 Youth and Environmental Concerns

Environmental degradation can be defined as a process through which the natural environment is compromised in one way or the other by reducing biological diversity and the general health of the environment. This process can be entirely natural, or it can be accelerated or caused solely by human activities. The United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction defines environmental degradation as 'the reduction of the capacity of the environment to meet social and ecological objectives, and needs'.

The natural causes of environmental degradation include flood, typhoons, droughts, rising temperatures, fires, etc.; while those attributed to human are modern urbanization, industrialization, overpopulation growth, deforestation, bush burning etc. This problem can be resolved through environmental protection and environmental resources management of which youths could be of immense help if their energy, inventiveness, creative talents and labour power can be harnessed.

Nationally, 14.6 percent of the youth experienced one form of environmental concerns or the other across the different geo-political zone of the country. Out of these, more youths (40 percent) in the South South experienced environmental concern, followed by youth in the South East. The least percentage of youth which experienced environmental concerns was from North West with 3 percent.

### 14.1 Youth and Environmental Concerns by Type

The most prominent type of environmental concern experienced by youth in the country is erosion and this is more pronounced in the South East with 97 percent, followed by youth from South South with 68.6 percent while 25.6 percent of youth from North West was the least. The next most experienced environmental concern by youths in the country is Air Pollutions. This is more pronounced in the South West with 33.1 percent of youth closely followed by youth from North West with 24.5 percent while youth from South East recorded the least percentage with 1.6 percent.

Fig. 14.1: Percentage Distribution of Youths Who Experienced Environmental Concern by Type and Zone


## Chapter Fifteen

### 15.0 Youth and Business Enterprises

Business enterprise refers to a person sporting a business opportunity and setting up a business. Business enterprise means a firm, sole proprietorship, association corporate, company, or other business entity of any kind including, but not limited, Liability Corporation, joint venture, estate, or trust.

### 15.1 Youth and Business Enterprise

The result shows that across the six geo-political zone, female youths ( 65.4 percent) operated business enterprises than their male counterpart .North West had the highest female youths ( 82.9 percent) followed by South South ( 73.5 percent) and North Central ( 70.7 percent) and North East (36 percent) had the least female youths .On the other hand North East male youths (64 percent) were more than females followed by South East ( 57.7 percent) and North West (17.1 percent) had the least of male youths in business enterprises.


### 15.2 Youth and Business Start-up and Annual Turnover

The result shows that youths made 75 percent turnover on business enterprises were start up across the six Geo political zone. At zonal level youths in South-South yielded highest turnover followed by North Central (39 percent) of youth's turnover and North-West (25.7 percent) while North East (12.7 percent) had the least turnover in the Business enterprises. Conversely South -East and southwest zones recorded lost ( 35.9 percent and 20.3 percent) respectively in the Business enterprises that were set up by the youths.


### 15.3 Youth and Business Registration

The result indicates that only ( 8.9 percent) of youths registered business enterprises across the six geo-political zones. South West had the highest (13.2 percent) of youths that registered business Enterprises followed by South-South (12.2 percent) and North Central (8 percent) while South East (7.2 percent) had the least of youths that registered their business enterprises. There was no report for North East and North West on youths that registered their Business enterprises


### 15.4 Youth and Legal Business Enterprises by Type.

The result shows the type of business enterprises set up by youths across the six geo-political zones. Nationally ,(90.8 percent) of youths were into sole proprietorship, (1.5 percent) were into partnership, ( 0.3 percent) were into private liability and ( 7.4 percent) were into other businesses. At zonal level, (100 percent) of the youths were engaged as sole proprietorship in North East and North west while 40.2 percent in the South West were into other businesse. In the North Central ( 97 percent) were into sole proprietorship and ( 0.3 percent) were into partnership. In South South ( 96.7 percent) engaged as sole proprietorship, 1.8 percent were into partnership and 0.9 percent were into private liability while 0.6 percent of the youths were involved in other Businesses.


### 15.5 Youth and Sources of Business Funding

The result indicates various ways youths across the six Geo political zones source for fund to set up their businesses enterprises. This include personal savings, loan, family sources ,cooperate/Esusu, grants and Other sources. Nationally, 34.5 percent of youths sourced fund through Government Grants to set up their business enterprises, 29.7 percent of youths used their personal savings while 15.1 percent sourced fund through cooperative thrift and 2.4 percent of the youth obtained loan to start up their business enterprises. The results on zonal level shows that 96.6 percent of youths from South South obtained grant to start-up businesses and 49.2 percent of youths from North central also obtained grant to start-up their bussinesses while in South West, 26.2 percent of youth acquired fund through Cooperative thrift to start-up their businesses. In North west (44.4 percent) and south West (24.8 percent) of youths obtained funds through other sources as shown in figure 15.5.

Fig. 15.5 Percentage distribution of youths with sources of fund and zone


### 15.6 Youth and Bank Loan Finances

The survey result shows that nationally, female youths ( 55.1 percent) has access to bank loan than their males (44.9 percent) counterpart. At the zonal level, Female from the South South ( 76.8 percent) had the highest female youths who have access to bank loan to finance their businesses followed by North west ( 65.5 percent) and South West ( 63.6 percent) respectively while female from North Central ( 28.4 percent) had the least access to Bank loan. Conversely, 71.6 percent of male youths from North Central has access to bank loan to finance their businesses which is the highest among the male youths across the zones followed by male youths from South East ( 61.7 percent) and North East ( 57.5 percent) while male youths from South South ( 23.2 percent) had the least access to bank loan to finance their businesses.


### 15.7 Youth and Reasons for Inaccessibility of Bank Loan

There are many reasons why youths found it difficult to finance their Businesses through bank loans. These reasons include high rate of interest, stringent bank polices, Government policies and other measures that were adopted by banking institutions. The result from the survey shows that nationally, youths ( 31.7 percent) could not access bank loan due to high rate of interest followed by stringent Bank policies ( 24.8 percent) and Government policies ( 7.3 percent) while (13.2 percent) of the youths attributed it to other measures. At zonal level, youths from South South and South West (45.7 percent and 35.5 percent respectively) could not access bank loan due to high rate of interest while youths from North West and North Central ( 54.5 percent and 33.8 percent respectively )could not access bank loans due to Stringent policies. Youths from South South and North East (15.7 percent and 13.3 percent respectively) could not access bank loans due to Government policies.


### 15.8 Youth and Major Challenges Facing Businesses

The result indicates different types of challenges faced by youths in their business enterprises. Nationally, 86.1 percent of youths faced the challenge of access to fund to finance their businesses. Some youths (4.9 percent) faced the challenge of Inconsistency in Government policies; others faced the challenge of obsolete equipments ( 4.6 percent) while some youths (3 percent) faced the problem of not undergoing proper training on their businesses.

At zonal level, most youths from all the zones reported the challenge of financing their businesses; youths South West (100 percent) top the list followed by North East ( 93.6 percent) while youths from South East ( 78.1 percent) were least. However, youths from North central ( 9.2 percent) faced the challenge of obsolete equipment for their businesses followed by youth from South East (3.5 percent) while youths from South- East (10 percent) reported inconsistent in Government policies as a major challenge that is affecting their businesses.

Fig. 15.8 Percentage Distribution of youths with major challenges facing businesses


### 15.9 Youth and Business Training

The result shows that nationally, Female youths ( 58.8 percent) had business trainings more than their male (41.2 percent) counterpart. At zonal level, male youths from North East ( 80.8 percent) had the highest number that had business training followed by male youths from south East ( 76.3 percent) and male youths from South West ( 56.9 percent) while male youths from North west ( 26.3 percent) had the least youths that undergone business training. The female youths from North West (73.7 percent) recorded the highest among the female youths that undergone business training followed by female youths from South South ( 69.6 percent) while female youths from North East (19.2 percent) had the least female youths that had business training.

Fig. 15.9 Percentage of youths with Business training by zone and sex


## APPENDIX

Table 3.1: Distribution of Household members by Age group and Sex

| Age | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-14$ | $31,382,999$ | 52 | $28,988,998$ | 48 | $60,371,998$ | 100 |
| $15-35$ | $46,213,675$ | 48.5 | $49,130,935$ | 51.5 | $95,344,610$ | 100 |
| $36+$ | $28,803,035$ | 53.4 | $25,099,784$ | 46.6 | $53,902,819$ | 100 |
| Total | $106,399,709$ | 50.8 | $103,219,718$ | 49.2 | $\mathbf{2 0 9 , 6 1 9 , 4 2 7}$ | 100 |

Table 3.2: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Age Group and Sex

| Age Group | Male | Percent | Female | Percent | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | $12,539,693$ | 51.5 | $11,819,793$ | 48.5 | $24,359,486$ |
| $20-24$ | $9,848,124$ | 48.8 | $10,322,174$ | 51.2 | $20,170,298$ |
| $25-29$ | $10,156,676$ | 46.8 | $11,556,894$ | 53.2 | $21,713,570$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2 , 5 4 4 , 4 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 6 9 8}, 861$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 2 4 3 , 3 5 4}$ |

Table 3.3: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Age Group and Sex

| Age <br> Group | Male <br> Population | \% of <br> Male | Female <br> Population | \% of <br> Female | Total <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $15-19$ | $12,539,693$ | 51.5 | $11,819,793$ | 48.5 | $24,359,486$ |
| $20-24$ | $9,848,124$ | 48.8 | $10,322,174$ | 51.2 | $20,170,298$ |
| $25-29$ | $10,156,676$ | 46.8 | $11,556,894$ | 53.2 | $21,713,570$ |
| $30-35$ | $14,232,277$ | 49 | $14,839,513$ | 51 | $29,071,790$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 , 7 7 6 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 5 3 8 , 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 3 1 5 , 1 4 4}$ |

Table 3.4: Distribution of Youth Aged 18-35 by Age Group and Sex

| Age <br> Group | Male <br> Population | \% of <br> Male | Female <br> Population | \% of <br> Female | Total <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $18-20$ | $7,997,964$ | 48.8 | $8,378,640$ | 51.2 | $16,376,604$ |
| $21-25$ | $10,416,264$ | 47.8 | $11,394,103$ | 52.2 | $21,810,367$ |
| $26-30$ | $10,205,341$ | 46.9 | $11,570,987$ | 53.1 | $21,776,328$ |
| $31-35$ | $10,262,717$ | 50.5 | $10,061,932$ | 49.5 | $20,324,649$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 , 8 8 2 , 2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 0 5 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 , 2 8 7 , 9 4 8}$ |

Table 3.5: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Age Group and Sector

| Age <br> Group | Urban <br> Population | \% of <br> Urban | Rural <br> Population | \% of <br> Rural | Total <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-19$ | $6,206,790$ | 25.5 | $18,152,697$ | 74.5 | $24,359,486$ |
| $20-24$ | $4,780,620$ | 23.7 | $15,389,678$ | 76.3 | $20,170,298$ |
| $25-29$ | $4,001,836$ | 18.4 | $17,711,734$ | 81.6 | $21,713,570$ |
| $30-35$ | $5,236,405$ | 18 | $23,835,385$ | 82 | $29,071,790$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 , 2 2 5 , 6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 8 9 , 4 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 3 1 5 , 1 4 4}$ |

Table 3.6A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Zone, Age group and sex

| Age Group | North Central |  |  | North West |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $1,920,302$ | $2,053,781$ | $3,974,083$ | $2,777,021$ | $1,761,032$ | $4,538,053$ |
| $20-24$ | $1,465,651$ | $1,508,181$ | $2,973,832$ | $1,961,011$ | $2,063,267$ | $4,024,278$ |
| $25-29$ | $1,451,272$ | $1,439,697$ | $2,890,969$ | $2,429,667$ | $2,969,291$ | $5,398,958$ |
| $30-35$ | $1,629,119$ | $1,916,189$ | $3,545,308$ | $3,334,330$ | $3,478,079$ | $6,812,409$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 4 6 6 , 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 7 , 8 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 8 4 , 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 0 2 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 7 1 , 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 7 3 , 6 9 8}$ |

Table 3.6B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Zone, Age group and sex

| Age Group | North East |  |  | South East |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 15-19 | 1,158,920 | 1,246,470 | 2,405,390 | 1,325,757 | 1,118,045 | 2,443,802 |
| 20-24 | 1,141,361 | 1,221,244 | 2,362,605 | 1,239,072 | 1,158,757 | 2,397,829 |
| 25-29 | 1,198,985 | 1,456,317 | 2,655,302 | 1,275,353 | 1,507,713 | 2,783,066 |
| 30-35 | 1,814,306 | 2,017,476 | 3,831,782 | 2,072,690 | 2,246,892 | 4,319,582 |
| Total | 5,313,572 | 5,941,507 | 11,255,079 | 5,912,872 | 6,031,407 | 11,944,279 |

Table 3.6C: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Zone, Age group and sex

| Age <br> Group | South West |  |  | South South |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | $3,549,561$ | $3,506,827$ | $7,056,388$ | $1,808,131$ | $2,133,637$ | $3,941,768$ |
| $20-24$ | $2,336,174$ | $2,525,016$ | $4,861,190$ | $1,704,855$ | $1,845,710$ | $3,550,565$ |
| $25-29$ | $2,296,066$ | $2,671,243$ | $4,967,309$ | $1,505,334$ | $1,512,633$ | $3,017,967$ |
| $30-35$ | $3,546,935$ | $3,327,057$ | $6,873,992$ | $1,834,896$ | $1,853,820$ | $3,688,716$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 7 2 8 , 7 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 3 0 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 7 5 8 , 8 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 8 5 3 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 4 5 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 9 9 , 0 1 6}$ |

Table 3.7: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by marital status and sex

| Marital status | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Married | $13,429,438$ | 34.3 | $25,719,794$ | 65.7 | $39,149,232$ | 100 |
| Divorced | 131,154 | 36.7 | 226,355 | 63.3 | 357,508 | 100 |
| Separated | 233,037 | 22 | 824,199 | 78 | $1,057,236$ | 100 |
| Widowed | 31,231 | 9.8 | 286,890 | 90.2 | 318,121 | 100 |
| Never Married | $32,951,910$ | 60.5 | $21,481,137$ | 39.5 | $54,433,047$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 , 7 7 6 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 5 3 8 , 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 3 1 5 , 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.8: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, form of marriage and sex

| Form of Marriage | Sex | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NorthCentral | NorthEast | NorthWest | SouthEast | SouthSouth | SouthWest | Total |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Ordinance (Court) | Male | 23.4 | 0 | 39.4 | 11 | 0 | 26.3 | 100 |
|  | Female | 27.8 | 0 | 29.7 | 27 | 6.5 | 9 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.6 | 0 | 32.2 | 22.9 | 4.8 | 13.4 | 100 |
| Religious | Male | 13.5 | 25.2 | 24.2 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 21 | 100 |
|  | Female | 13.5 | 23.9 | 27.4 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 17.3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.5 | 24.3 | 26.3 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 18.5 | 100 |
| Customary | Male | 5.4 | 0 | 47.3 | 14.6 | 24.1 | 8.7 | 100 |
|  | Female | 6.6 | 0.2 | 37.8 | 13.8 | 29.1 | 12.5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 6.2 | 0.1 | 40.6 | 14 | 27.6 | 11.4 | 100 |
| Mutual Agreement | Male | 4.8 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 10.6 | 10 | 69.4 | 100 |
|  | Female | 3.5 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 14.1 | 65.7 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 10.5 | 12.6 | 67.1 | 100 |
| Total | Male | 11.2 | 18.2 | 23.8 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 27.5 | 100 |
|  | Female | 11.4 | 17.4 | 25.8 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 23 | 100 |
|  | Total | 11.3 | 17.7 | 25.1 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 24.5 | 100 |

Table 3.9: Distribution of Youth aged 15-35 Years by Marital Status and Sex

| Marital status |  |  | Married | Divorced | Separated | Widowed | Never Married | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Male | Number | 74,481 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,465,212 | 12,539,693 |
|  |  | Percent | 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53.9 | 51.5 |
|  | Female | Number | 1,134,086 | 0 | 0 | 21,086 | 10,664,621 | 11,819,793 |
|  |  | Percent | 93.8 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 46.1 | 48.5 |
|  | Total | Number | 1,208,567 | 0 | 0 | 21,086 | 23,129,833 | 24,359,486 |
|  |  | Percent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Male | Number | 737,153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,110,971 | 9,848,124 |
|  |  | Percent | 14.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60.4 | 48.8 |
|  | Female | Number | 4,286,205 | 22,506 | 15,757 | 32,342 | 5,965,365 | 10,322,174 |
|  |  | Percent | 85.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 39.6 | 51.2 |
|  | Total | Number | 5,023,358 | 22,506 | 15,757 | 32,342 | 15,076,336 | 20,170,298 |
|  |  | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Male | Number | 3,007,924 | 12,697 | 0 | 0 | 7,136,055 | 10,156,676 |
|  |  | Percent | 27 | 9.3 | 0 | 0 | 69.9 | 46.8 |
|  | Female | Number | 8,139,106 | 123,858 | 214,937 | 10,743 | 3,068,249 | 11,556,894 |
|  |  | Percent | 73 | 90.7 | 100 | 100 | 30.1 | 53.2 |
|  | Total | Number | 11,147,030 | 136,555 | 214,937 | 10,743 | 10,204,304 | 21,713,570 |
|  |  | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30-35 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Male | Number | 9,609,880 | 118,457 | 233,037 | 31,231 | 4,239,672 | 14,232,277 |
|  |  | Percent | 44.1 | 59.7 | 28.2 | 12.3 | 70.4 | 49 |
|  | Female | Number | 12,160,397 | 79,991 | 593,505 | 222,718 | 1,782,902 | 14,839,513 |
|  |  | Percent | 55.9 | 40.3 | 71.8 | 87.7 | 29.6 | 51 |
|  | Total | Number | 21,770,277 | 198,447 | 826,542 | 253,949 | 6,022,574 | 29,071,790 |
|  |  | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | Male | Number | 13,429,438 | 131,154 | 233,037 | 31,231 | 32,951,910 | 46,776,769 |
|  |  | Percent | 34.3 | 36.7 | 22 | 9.8 | 60.5 | 49.1 |
|  | Female | Number | 25,719,794 | 226,355 | 824,199 | 286,890 | 21,481,137 | 48,538,374 |
|  |  | Percent | 65.7 | 63.3 | 78 | 90.2 | 39.5 | 50.9 |
|  | Total | Number | 39,149,232 | 357,508 | 1,057,236 | 318,121 | 54,433,047 | 95,315,144 |
|  |  | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 3.10A: Distribution of Households by main source of drinking water and zone

| Zone | Piped into dwelling |  | Piped into yard or plot |  | Public tap/standpipe |  | Tubewell/borehole |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 0 | 0 | 69,575 | 1 | 593,240 | 8.5 | 3,674,597 | 52.6 |
| North-East | 273,531 | 5.3 | 25,968 | 0.5 | 378,393 | 7.4 | 2,096,493 | 40.7 |
| North-West | 859,317 | 8.2 | 28,115 | 0.3 | 570,913 | 5.5 | 2,337,160 | 22.3 |
| South-East | 174,478 | 2.7 | 332,339 | 5.2 | 833,618 | 13 | 3,133,961 | 48.9 |
| South-South | 362,816 | 4.5 | 98,409 | 1.2 | 594,239 | 7.3 | 3,017,696 | 37.1 |
| South-West | 343,544 | 2.5 | 42,973 | 0.3 | 1,403,781 | 10.2 | 4,905,953 | 35.6 |
| Total | 2,013,686 | 4 | 597,379 | 1.2 | 4,374,184 | 8.6 | 19,165,860 | 37.6 |

Table 3.10B: Distribution of Households by main source of drinking water and zone

| Zone | Protected dug well |  | Unprotected dug well |  | Protected spring |  | Unprotected <br> spring |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | $1,264,560$ | 18.1 | 470,230 | 6.7 | 30,098 | 0.4 | 203,272 | 2.9 |
| North-East | 549,782 | 10.7 | $1,064,446$ | 20.7 | 0 | 0 | 120,937 | 2.3 |
| North-West | $3,222,778$ | 30.8 | $2,881,318$ | 27.5 | 100,669 | 1 | 75,275 | 0.7 |
| South-East | 153,984 | 2.4 | 36,557 | 0.6 | 122,412 | 1.9 | 9,416 | 0.1 |
| South-South | $1,169,553$ | 14.4 | 213,039 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 76,781 | 0.9 |
| South-West | $2,843,732$ | 20.6 | $1,401,991$ | 10.2 | 12,176 | 0.1 | 92,392 | 0.7 |
| Total | $9,204,389$ | 18.1 | $6,067,581$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9}$ | 265,355 | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | 578,073 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |

Table 3.10C: Distribution of Households by main source of drinking water and zone

| Zone | Rainwater collection |  | Tanker-truck |  | Cart with small tank/drum |  | Surface water (river, stream, dam, lake, pond, canal, irrigation channel) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318,430 | 4.6 |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 119,453 | 2.3 | 5,688 | 0.1 | 271,552 | 5.3 |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91,147 | 0.9 | 32,649 | 0.3 |
| South-East | 224,329 | 3.5 | 257,563 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 569,408 | 8.9 |
| South-South | 77,321 | 0.9 | 556,389 | 6.8 | 10,273 | 0.1 | 1,049,516 | 12.9 |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 75,919 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 2,070,219 | 15 |
| Total | 301,651 | 0.6 | 1,009,325 | 2 | 107,108 | 0.2 | 4,311,776 | 8.5 |

Table 3.10D: Distribution of Households by main source of drinking water and zone

| Zone | Bottled water |  | Satchet Water |  | Other (specify) |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | 0 | 0 | 357,471 | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | $6,981,472$ | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 15,086 | 0.3 | 226,788 | 4.4 | $5,148,118$ | 100 |
| North-West | 10,430 | 0.1 | 265,277 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | $10,475,048$ | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | 557,776 | 8.7 | 0 | 0 | $6,405,843$ | 100 |
| South-South | 27,035 | 0.3 | 888,925 | 10.9 | 0 | 0 | $8,141,995$ | 100 |
| South-West | 65,892 | 0.5 | 507,080 | 3.7 | 32,230 | 0.2 | $13,797,881$ | 100 |
| Total | 103,357 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $2,591,616$ | 5.1 | 259,018 | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $50,950,357$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.11: Distribution of Households by sources of water used for other purposes and zone

| Zone | NorthCentral | NorthEast | NorthWest | SouthEast | SouthSouth | South- <br> West | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Piped into dwelling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Piped into yard or plot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Public tap/standpipe | 25 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Tubewell/borehole | 13.9 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 47.4 | 16.9 | 12.5 | 100 |
| Protected dug well | 7.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 38.1 | 50.2 | 100 |
| Unprotected dug well | 20 | 0 | 20.6 | 2.9 | 56.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Protected spring | 53.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Unprotected spring | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rainwater collection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Tanker-truck | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.7 | 85.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Cart with small tank/drum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Surface water (river, stream, dam, lake, pond, canal, irrigation channel) | 46.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Bottled water | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 13.3 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 20.7 | 34 | 21.3 | 100 |

Table 3.12: Distribution of Households by how long it takes to get water, the average minutes and zone

| zone | Water <br> outside <br> premises | Water on <br> premises | Don't <br> know | Total | Average |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number <br> of <br> minutes |
|  | 85.5 | 14.5 | 0 | 100 | 17 |
| North-East | 75.9 | 23.6 | 0.5 | 100 | 19 |
| North-West | 53.9 | 44.5 | 1.6 | 100 | 7 |
| South-East | 80.2 | 19.1 | 0.7 | 100 | 11 |
| South-South | 65.7 | 32.5 | 1.8 | 100 | 12 |
| South-West | 77.8 | 21.8 | 0.4 | 100 | 16 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Table 3.13: Distribution of Households by who usually go to fetch water from the source and zone

| Zone | Adult <br> woman | Adult <br> man | Female <br> (under 15) | Male child (under <br> 15) | DK | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | 12.1 | Percent | 6.5 | 0.1 |
| North- <br> Central | 62.8 | 18.5 | 14.6 | 13.9 | 100 |  |  |
| North-East | 19.6 | 51.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 100 |  |
| North- <br> West | 59.9 | 29.6 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 100 |  |
| South-East | 39.6 | 48.1 | 13.3 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 100 |  |
| South- <br> South | 50.5 | 29.3 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 100 |  |
| South- <br> West | 59.8 | 22.6 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4}$ |  |  |  | Percent |  |

Table 3.14: Distribution of Households who usually treat water for safe drinking by zone

|  | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Zone | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | $1,906,655$ | 27.3 | $5,074,817$ | 72.7 | $6,981,472$ | 100 |
| North-East | 418,210 | 8.1 | $4,729,908$ | 91.9 | $5,148,118$ | 100 |
| North-West | $1,349,966$ | 12.9 | $9,125,082$ | 87.1 | $10,475,048$ | 100 |
| South-East | 340,648 | 5.3 | $6,065,195$ | 94.7 | $6,405,843$ | 100 |
| South-South | $1,887,074$ | 23.2 | $6,254,921$ | 76.8 | $8,141,995$ | 100 |
| South-West | $1,299,214$ | 9.4 | $12,498,667$ | 90.6 | $13,797,881$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 , 2 0 1 , 7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 7 4 8 , 5 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 9 5 0 , 3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.15: Distribution of Households by methods of treating water for safe drinking and zone

| Methods |  | NorthCentral | NorthEast | NorthWest | SouthEast | SouthSouth | SouthWest | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Boil | Yes | 5.6 | 0.2 | 33 | 9 | 47.9 | 4.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 41.8 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 1.6 | 10.3 | 28.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |
| Add bleach/chlorine | Yes | 13 | 1.7 | 11.1 | 2.9 | 59 | 12.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 32.2 | 7.5 | 22 | 5.5 | 12.3 | 20.5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |
| Strain it through a cloth | Yes | 69.7 | 6 | 10.2 | 14.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  | No | 20 | 5.8 | 20 | 3.3 | 30.1 | 20.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |
| Use water filter (ceramic, sand, composite, etc.) | Yes | 11.5 | 77.6 | 0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 100 |
|  | No | 27.5 | 1 | 20 | 4.8 | 27.7 | 19 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |
| Solar disinfection | Yes | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  | No | 26.5 | 5.7 | 18.8 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |
| Let it stand and settle | Yes | 53.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 11.8 | 32.1 | 100 |
|  | No | 9 | 8.8 | 30.1 | 7.6 | 35.5 | 9 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |
| Other | Yes | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  | No | 26.3 | 5.8 | 18.8 | 4.7 | 26.3 | 18.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 26.5 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 18 | 100 |

Table 3.16: Distribution of Households by types of toilet facilities and zone

| Toilet Facilities | North <br> Central | North <br> East | North <br> West | South <br> East | South <br> South | South <br> West | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conventional | 10.1 | 8.2 | 18.7 | 17.3 | 18.8 | 26.9 | 100 |
| Unconventional | 21.0 | 14.0 | 24.3 | 3.1 | 10.3 | 27.4 | 100 |
| National | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16.0 | 27.1 | 100 |

Table 3.17A: Distribution of Households by types of toilet facilities and zone

| Types | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  | South-East |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Flush to piped sewer system | 0 | 0 | 17,065 | 0.6 | 550,053 | 19.2 | 1,090,073 | 38 |
| Flush to septic tank | 947,158 | 12.7 | 18,549 | 0.2 | 174,131 | 2.3 | 1,895,997 | 25.5 |
| Flush to pit (latrine) | 816,577 | 8 | 367,263 | 3.6 | 808,075 | 7.9 | 1,183,128 | 11.6 |
| Flush to somewhere else | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,475 | 61.7 | 18,279 | 38.3 |
| Flush to unknown place/not sure/ DK where | 0 | 0 | 9,151 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ventilated Improved pit latrine (VIP) | 184,297 | 22.1 | 65,044 | 7.8 | 130,145 | 15.6 | 8,308 | 1 |
| Pit latrine with slab | 1,467,489 | 11.7 | 2,301,765 | 18.3 | 4,657,542 | 37 | 1,687,177 | 13.4 |
| Pit latrine without slab/open pit | 349,588 | 5.1 | 2,274,807 | 33.1 | 3,604,142 | 52.4 | 132,936 | 1.9 |
| Composting toilet | 8,724 | 9.9 | 0 | 0 | 36,731 | 41.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Bucket | 24,428 | 52.4 | 0 | 0 | 22,220 | 47.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Hanging toilet/hanging latrine | 0 | 0 | 4,946 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11,078 | 2.2 |
| No facilities or bush or field | 3,183,211 | 33.9 | 89,528 | 1 | 462,535 | 4.9 | 378,867 | 4 |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 6,981,472 | 13.7 | 5,148,118 | 10.1 | 10,475,048 | 20.6 | 6,405,843 | 12.6 |

Table 3.17B: Distribution of Households by types of toilet facilities and zone

| Types | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Flush to piped sewer system | 669,398 | 23.3 | 543,727 | 18.9 | 2,870,316 | 100 |
| Flush to septic tank | 2,027,658 | 27.3 | 2,374,372 | 31.9 | 7,437,865 | 100 |
| Flush to pit (latrine) | 2,328,833 | 22.8 | 4,691,566 | 46 | 10,195,443 | 100 |
| Flush to somewhere else | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,754 | 100 |
| Flush to unknown place/not sure/ DK where | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,151 | 100 |
| Ventilated Improved pit latrine (VIP) | 188,707 | 22.6 | 257,837 | 30.9 | 834,339 | 100 |
| Pit latrine with slab | 1,181,990 | 9.4 | 1,288,590 | 10.2 | 12,584,552 | 100 |
| Pit latrine without slab/open pit | 177,893 | 2.6 | 343,067 | 5 | 6,882,433 | 100 |
| Composting toilet | 10,273 | 11.7 | 32,230 | 36.6 | 87,958 | 100 |
| Bucket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46,647 | 100 |
| Hanging toilet/hanging latrine | 451,492 | 91.7 | 25,068 | 5.1 | 492,584 | 100 |
| No facilities or bush or field | 1,095,476 | 11.7 | 4,171,594 | 44.5 | 9,381,211 | 100 |
| Other (specify) | 10,273 | 12.8 | 69,831 | 87.2 | 80,104 | 100 |
| Total | 8,141,995 | 16 | 13,797,881 | 27.1 | 50,950,357 | 100 |

Table 3.18A: Distribution of Households that share toilet facilities by the number of households and zone

| Z Zone | share this facility with other households |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | $2,260,823$ | 59.5 | $1,537,438$ | 40.5 | $3,798,261$ | 100 |
| North-East | 684,569 | 13.5 | $4,374,021$ | 86.5 | $5,058,590$ | 100 |
| North-West | $2,803,776$ | 28 | $7,208,737$ | 72 | $10,012,513$ | 100 |
| South-East | $1,750,321$ | 29 | $4,276,655$ | 71 | $6,026,976$ | 100 |
| South-South | $2,206,092$ | 31.3 | $4,840,426$ | 68.7 | $7,046,518$ | 100 |
| South-West | $4,524,569$ | 47 | $5,101,719$ | 53 | $9,626,287$ | 100 |
| Total | $14,230,150$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ | $27,338,996$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8}$ | $41,569,146$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.18B: Distribution of Households that share toilet facilities by the number of households and zone

| Zone | households in total use of this toilet facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| NorthCentral | 117,776 | 5.2 | 585,170 | 25.9 | 610,003 | 27 | 291,385 | 12.9 |
| North-East | 25,473 | 3.7 | 134,292 | 19.6 | 162,486 | 23.7 | 35,366 | 5.2 |
| North-West | 112,913 | 4 | 574,087 | 20.5 | 517,404 | 18.5 | 398,143 | 14.2 |
| South-East | 273,072 | 15.6 | 470,260 | 26.9 | 310,183 | 17.7 | 261,440 | 14.9 |
| South-South | 190,330 | 8.6 | 611,001 | 27.7 | 795,923 | 36.1 | 315,233 | 14.3 |
| South-West | 220,594 | 4.9 | 1,074,561 | 23.7 | 999,955 | 22.1 | 1,006,879 | 22.3 |
| Total | 940,158 | 6.6 | 3,449,372 | 24.2 | 3,395,955 | 23.9 | 2,308,447 | 16.2 |

Table 3.18C: Distribution of Households that share toilet facilities by the number of households and zone

| Zone | households in total use of this toilet facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ |  | $\mathbf{6}$ |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| North- <br> Central | 169,248 | 7.5 | 237,296 | 10.5 | 85,496 | 3.8 | 37,514 | 1.7 |  |
| North-East | 85,571 | 12.5 | 34,130 | 5 | 14,097 | 2.1 | 52,926 | 7.7 |  |
| North-West | 567,739 | 20.2 | 151,911 | 5.4 | 196,350 | 7 | 233,081 | 8.3 |  |
| South-East | 52,620 | 3 | 23,264 | 1.3 | 51,513 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| South-South | 180,597 | 8.2 | 36,768 | 1.7 | 39,472 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 |  |
| South-West | 522,837 | 11.6 | 348,797 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 192,662 | 4.3 |  |
| Total | $1,578,612$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1}$ | 832,165 | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | 386,928 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | 516,182 | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |  |

Table 3.18D: Distribution of Households that share toilet facilities by the number of households and zone

| Zone | households in total use of this toilet facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{9}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ and above |  | Don't Know |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | 58,888 | 2.6 | 22,683 | 1 | 45,365 | 2 | $2,260,823$ | 100 |
| North-East | 29,925 | 4.4 | 110,303 | 16.1 | 0 | 0 | 684,569 | 100 |
| North-West | 45,347 | 1.6 | 6,802 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | $2,803,776$ | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | 307,968 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | $1,750,321$ | 100 |
| South-South | 18,384 | 0.8 | 18,384 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | $2,206,092$ | 100 |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 143,243 | 3.2 | 15,041 | 0.3 | $4,524,569$ | 100 |
| Total | 152,544 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | 609,382 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | 60,406 | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $14,230,150$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.19: Distribution of Head of household by Religion and zone

| Zone | Christianity | Islam | Traditional | Others | No religion | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North-Central | 26.2 | 73.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| North-East | 22.8 | 77.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| North-West | 28.1 | 71.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 96.4 | 0.2 | 3 | 0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| South-South | 84.8 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| South-West | 59.2 | 38.7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.20: Distribution of Head of household by Mother tongue and zone

| Zone | Hausa | Yoruba | Igbo | others | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North- <br> Central | 11.3 | 74.3 | 0.6 | 13.8 | 100 |
| North-East | 45.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 54.2 | 100 |
| North-West | 73.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 23.7 | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 0.2 | 96.5 | 3.3 | 100 |
| South-South | 0.2 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 86.5 | 100 |
| South-West | 1.6 | 92 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.21: Distribution of Household with average number of rooms by zone

| Zone | Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| North-Central |  |
| North-East |  |
| North-West |  |
| South-East | 3 |
| South-South | 3 |
| South-West | 3 |
| Total | 2 |

Table 3.22: Distribution of Household by Type of flooring material and zone

| Flooring Material | North- <br> Central | North- <br> East | North- <br> West | South- <br> East | South- <br> South | South- <br> West | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
|  | 2.4 | 34.3 | 29 | 1.9 | 9.9 | 22.4 | 100 |
| Dung | 0 | 20.6 | 79.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Wood planks | 0 | 0 | 51.9 | 0 | 0 | 48.1 | 100 |
| Palm/Bamboo | 0 | 63.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.8 | 100 |
| Parquet or polished <br> wood | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Vinyl or asphalt strips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Ceramic tiles | 4.4 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 25 | 41.5 | 21.7 | 100 |
| Cement | 18.1 | 4 | 18.7 | 14 | 15.2 | 29.9 | 100 |
| Carpet | 5.8 | 2 | 48.9 | 23.5 | 19.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 0 | 40.5 | 0 | 0 | 59.5 | 100 |
| Total | 13.7 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ | 100 |

Table 3.23: Distribution of Household by Type of roofing material and zone

| Roofing <br> Materials | North- <br> Central | North- <br> East | North- <br> West | South- <br> East | South- <br> South | South- <br> West | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| No Roof | 0 | 0 | 34.1 | 9 | 0 | 56.9 | 100 |
| Thatch/palm leaf | 0 | 67.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 31.9 | 100 |
| Sod | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Rustic mat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Palm/bamboo | 0 | 24.6 | 19.5 | 0 | 0 | 55.9 | 100 |
| Wood planks | 0 | 14 | 38.1 | 0 | 0 | 47.9 | 100 |
| Plastic sheeting | 0 | 11.4 | 0 | 72.3 | 16.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Iron Sheets/Zinc | 15.2 | 7.6 | 21.4 | 13.5 | 17.5 | 24.9 | 100 |
| Wood | 2.2 | 26.4 | 16 | 0 | 9.4 | 45.9 | 100 |
| Calamine/cement <br> fiber | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 10.2 | 86.9 | 100 |
| Ceramic tiles | 0 | 0 | 8.5 | 20.2 | 41.7 | 29.6 | 100 |
| Cement | 8.6 | 3.7 | 18.7 | 17.2 | 6 | 45.8 | 100 |
| Roofing shingles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 86.4 | 100 |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 41.8 | 53.8 | 4.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ | 10.1 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.24: Distribution of Household by Type of wall material and zone

| Wall Material | North- <br> Central | North- <br> East | North- <br> West | South- <br> East | South- <br> South | South- <br> West | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| No walls | 0 | 0 | 54.4 | 0 | 0 | 45.6 | 100 |
| Cane/palm/trunks | 0 | 44.8 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 | 51.4 | 100 |
| Dirt | 0 | 55.7 | 14.3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Bamboo with mud | 7.2 | 19.1 | 29.5 | 0 | 20.4 | 23.9 | 100 |
| Stone with mud | 8.5 | 30.5 | 45.5 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 10.6 | 100 |
| Uncovered adobe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Plywood | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Reused wood | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Cement | 18 | 3.6 | 9.4 | 20.1 | 18.7 | 30.1 | 100 |
| Stone <br> lime/cement | 2.9 | 66.9 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 13.3 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Bricks | 3.8 | 0.8 | 74.3 | 0 | 1 | 19.9 | 100 |
| Cement blocks | 15.9 | 4.3 | 11.4 | 13.8 | 23.5 | 31.1 | 100 |
| Covered adobe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.1 | 85.9 | 100 |
| Wood planks/shingles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.7 | 87.3 | 8 | 100 |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 40.3 | 0 | 46.4 | 0 | 13.3 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.25: Distribution of Household by cooking fuel used and Zone

| Fuel used for Cooking | NorthCentral | North- <br> East | NorthWest | South- <br> East | SouthSouth | South- <br> West | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Electricity | 11.9 | 2.1 | 53.3 | 3.1 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 100 |
| Liquid Propane Gas (LPG) | 13 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 16.9 | 24 | 42.4 | 100 |
| Natural gas | 4.6 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 74.6 | 100 |
| Biogas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerosene | 5.9 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 31.1 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 100 |
| Coal / Lignite | 25.2 | 0 | 74.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Charcoal | 61.5 | 9.3 | 18 | 1.5 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Wood | 10.9 | 16.1 | 30.3 | 9.9 | 14.3 | 18.4 | 100 |
| Straw/shrubs/grass | 22.5 | 77.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Agricultural crop residue | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Other (specify) | 38.2 | 0 | 0 | 61.8 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |

Table 3.26: Distribution of Household that cook by open fire or stove and zone

| Zone | Open <br> fire | Open <br> stove | Closed <br> stove | Other <br> (specify) | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 69.4 | 6.3 | 23.4 | 0.9 | 100 |
| North-East | 93.8 | 5.2 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| North-West | 89.5 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 65.7 | 25.9 | 8 | 0.4 | 100 |
| South-South | 76.3 | 19.3 | 4.4 | 0 | 100 |
| South-West | 65.3 | 19.9 | 14.3 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 3.27: Distribution of Household that have chimney or hood in their stove by zone

| Zone | Yes |  | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Total |  |
|  | 28.5 | 71.5 | Percent |
| North-East | 10.7 | 89.3 | 100 |
| North-West | 13.3 | 86.7 | 100 |
| South-East | 17.1 | 82.9 | 100 |
| South-South | 13.4 | 86.6 | 100 |
| South-West | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5}$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 5}$ | 100 |

Table 3.28: Distribution of Household that cook in separate rooms or outdoors by zone

| Zone | In the house | In a separate building | Outdoors | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 34.1 | 8.7 | 56.7 | 0.4 | 100 |
| North-East | 45.3 | 20.2 | 34.4 | 0 | 100 |
| North-West | 12.9 | 52.9 | 34.2 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 26.7 | 22.6 | 50.7 | 0 | 100 |
| South-South | 29.8 | 32.1 | 38.1 | 0 | 100 |
| South-West | 43.9 | 18.8 | 36.9 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Total | 31.9 | 27.2 | 40.7 | 0.2 | 100 |

Table 3.29: Distribution of Households with appliances by zone

| Household Appliances |  | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NorthCentral | North- <br> East | NorthWest | South- <br> East | SouthSouth | South- <br> West | Total |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Electricity | Yes | 18.1 | 6.8 | 13.5 | 16.7 | 18.6 | 26.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 5.1 | 16.6 | 34.3 | 4.5 | 10.8 | 28.7 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Radio | Yes | 15.9 | 7.3 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 18.5 | 29.4 | 100 |
|  | No | 8.6 | 16.4 | 34 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 21.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Television | Yes | 16.1 | 4.7 | 11.9 | 16.4 | 22.1 | 28.9 | 100 |
|  | No | 10.8 | 16.9 | 31.5 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 24.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| VCR/VCD | Yes | 20.1 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 17.2 | 22.7 | 27.6 | 100 |
|  | No | 12 | 11.6 | 23.9 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 26.9 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| DVD | Yes | 15.9 | 3.8 | 9.2 | 17.9 | 22 | 31.2 | 100 |
|  | No | 12 | 14.8 | 29 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 24 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Mobile Telephone | Yes | 15.1 | 8.4 | 17.8 | 14 | 18.3 | 26.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 5.7 | 19.8 | 36.7 | 4 | 2.4 | 31.4 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Land Line Telephone | Yes | 2.5 | 0 | 47.4 | 9.7 | 16.6 | 23.8 | 100 |
|  | No | 13.8 | 10.2 | 20.3 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Sewing Machine | Yes | 20.9 | 9 | 19 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 25.4 | 100 |
|  | No | 13 | 10.2 | 20.7 | 12.5 | 16.4 | 27.2 | 100 |


|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refrigerator | Yes | 11.2 | 3.9 | 10.6 | 18.6 | 27.4 | 28.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 14.5 | 12.1 | 23.7 | 10.7 | 12.4 | 26.7 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Water Pump | Yes | 3.6 | 4.8 | 23.1 | 24.9 | 11.7 | 31.9 | 100 |
|  | No | 14.2 | 10.3 | 20.4 | 12 | 16.2 | 26.9 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Clock | Yes | 18.4 | 4.8 | 13.4 | 15.4 | 20.5 | 27.5 | 100 |
|  | No | 7.4 | 17.1 | 30.1 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 26.6 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Generator | Yes | 9.2 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 24.2 | 24.1 | 35.2 | 100 |
|  | No | 15 | 12.6 | 24.9 | 9.1 | 13.6 | 24.7 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Computer | Yes | 8.7 | 3.3 | 17 | 15.4 | 35.7 | 20 | 100 |
|  | No | 14 | 10.5 | 20.8 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 27.5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Fan | Yes | 19.5 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 17 | 22 | 28.5 | 100 |
|  | No | 6.2 | 17.1 | 36.4 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 25.2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Air Conditioner | Yes | 3.3 | 2 | 21.5 | 24.1 | 23.3 | 25.8 | 100 |
|  | No | 14 | 10.3 | 20.5 | 12.3 | 15.8 | 27.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Blender/Mixer/Food Processor | Yes | 14.7 | 2.1 | 11 | 9.3 | 27.1 | 35.8 | 100 |
|  | No | 13.6 | 11.2 | 21.9 | 13 | 14.4 | 25.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Water Heater | Yes | 14.7 | 10.6 | 19.7 | 5.9 | 29.7 | 19.4 | 100 |
|  | No | 13.6 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 27.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |

Table 3.30: Distribution of Household that own the items by zones

| Own item |  | NorthCentral | NorthEast | NorthWest | SouthEast | SouthSouth | SouthWest | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Watch | Yes | 17.5 | 3.4 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 20.7 | 32.8 | 100 |
|  | No | 8.4 | 19.4 | 31.8 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 19.2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Bicycle | Yes | 5.4 | 15.6 | 31.2 | 9.8 | 24.6 | 13.4 | 100 |
|  | No | 14.7 | 9.5 | 19.3 | 12.9 | 15 | 28.7 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Motocycle/Scooter | Yes | 22.4 | 9.4 | 21.5 | 9.2 | 19 | 18.5 | 100 |
|  | No | 10.4 | 10.4 | 20.2 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 30.3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Animal draw-cart | Yes | 0 | 53.8 | 36 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 100 |
|  | No | 14 | 9.2 | 20.2 | 12.8 | 16.3 | 27.6 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Car/Truck | Yes | 19.3 | 3.2 | 11.8 | 18.6 | 20.1 | 27 | 100 |
|  | No | 13.1 | 10.8 | 21.4 | 12 | 15.6 | 27.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |
| Engine Boat with motor | Yes | 0 | 48.5 | 33.3 | 5 | 3 | 10.2 | 100 |
|  | No | 13.8 | 9.8 | 20.5 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 27.2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13.7 | 10.1 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16 | 27.1 | 100 |

Table 4.1A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, school attendance and sex

| Attendance | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number |
| Never | $1,214,615$ | 9.9 | $4,752,166$ | 38.7 | $2,432,388$ | 19.8 |
| Now in School | $4,861,668$ | 17.8 | $2,020,567$ | 7.4 | $5,621,382$ | 20.6 |
| Before but not <br> now | $7,307,910$ | 13.1 | $4,482,345$ | 8 | $12,719,927$ | 22.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 8 4 , 1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 5 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 7 3 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ |

Table 4.1B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, school attendance and sex

| Attendance | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number |
| Never | 186,110 | 1.5 | 207,092 | 1.7 | $3,501,217$ | 28.5 |
| Now in <br> School | $2,809,376$ | 10.3 | $5,094,289$ | 18.7 | $6,861,698$ | 25.2 |
| Before but <br> not now | $8,948,794$ | 16.1 | $8,897,635$ | 16 | $13,395,965$ | 24 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 4 4 , 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 9 9 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 7 5 8 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9}$ |

Table 4.2A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, school attendance and sex

| Attendance | Sex | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Never | Male | 373,610 | 8.1 | 2,029,594 | 44.2 | 694,709 | 15.1 |
|  | Female | 841,005 | 10.9 | 2,722,572 | 35.4 | 1,737,679 | 22.6 |
|  | Total | 1,214,615 | 9.9 | 4,752,166 | 38.7 | 2,432,388 | 19.8 |
| Now in School | Male | 2,487,058 | 16.5 | 1,245,852 | 8.3 | 3,366,753 | 22.4 |
|  | Female | 2,374,610 | 19.4 | 774,716 | 6.3 | 2,254,629 | 18.4 |
|  | Total | 4,861,668 | 17.8 | 2,020,567 | 7.4 | 5,621,382 | 20.6 |
| Before but not now | Male | 3,605,676 | 13.3 | 2,038,127 | 7.5 | 6,440,567 | 23.7 |
|  | Female | 3,702,234 | 12.9 | 2,444,218 | 8.5 | 6,279,360 | 22 |
|  | Total | 7,307,910 | 13.1 | 4,482,345 | 8 | 12,719,927 | 22.8 |
| Total | Male | 6,466,344 | 13.8 | 5,313,572 | 11.4 | 10,502,029 | 22.5 |
|  | Female | 6,917,848 | 14.3 | 5,941,506 | 12.2 | 10,271,668 | 21.2 |
|  | Total | 13,384,193 | 14 | 11,255,078 | 11.8 | 20,773,697 | 21.8 |

Table 4.2B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, school attendance and sex

| Attendance | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Never | 426,267 | 9.3 | 209,912 | 4.6 | 781,492 | 17.0 | 4,595,334 | 100 |
|  | 509,848 | 6.6 | 747,180 | 9.7 | 1,219,725 | 15.8 | 7,698,253 | 100 |
|  | 936,115 | 7.6 | 957,092 | 7.8 | 2,001,217 | 16.3 | 12,293,587 | 100 |
| Now in School | 1,616,278 | 10.8 | 2,617,572 | 17.4 | 3,701,280 | 24.6 | 15,034,791 | 100 |
|  | 1,193,099 | 9.8 | 2,476,717 | 20.2 | 3,160,418 | 25.8 | 12,234,189 | 100 |
|  | 2,809,376 | 10.3 | 5,094,289 | 18.7 | 6,861,698 | 25.2 | 27,268,980 | 100 |
| Before but not now | 4,211,849 | 15.5 | 4,190,224 | 15.4 | 6,660,202 | 24.5 | 27,146,645 | 100 |
|  | 4,736,945 | 16.6 | 4,707,412 | 16.5 | 6,735,763 | 23.5 | 28,605,932 | 100 |
|  | 8,948,794 | 16.1 | 8,897,635 | 16 | 13,395,965 | 24 | 55,752,577 | 100 |
| Total | 6,254,393 | 13.4 | 7,017,708 | 15.0 | 11,142,973 | 23.8 | 46,776,769 | 100 |
|  | 6,439,892 | 13.3 | 7,931,308 | 16.3 | 11,115,906 | 22.9 | 48,538,374 | 100 |
|  | 12,694,286 | 13.3 | 14,949,017 | 15.7 | 22,258,880 | 23.4 | 95,315,144 | 100 |

Table 4.3A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by School Attendance, Age group and sex

| Attendance | 15-19 years |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Never | 758,841 | 41.2 | 1,084,767 | 58.8 | 646,404 | 30.7 | 1,459,680 | 69.3 |
| Now in School | 9,083,178 | 53.1 | 8,022,023 | 46.9 | 3,510,160 | 61.4 | 2,206,380 | 38.6 |
| Before but not now | 2,697,673 | 49.9 | 2,713,003 | 50.1 | 5,691,560 | 46.1 | 6,656,115 | 53.9 |
| Total | 12,539,693 | 51.5 | 11,819,793 | 48.5 | 9,848,124 | 48.8 | 10,322,174 | 51.2 |

Table 4.3B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by School Attendance, Age group and sex

| Attendance | 25-29 years |  |  |  | 30-35 years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Never | 1,052,139 | 34.8 | 1,969,189 | 65.2 | 2,137,949 | 40.2 | 3,184,619 | 59.8 |
| Now in School | 1,510,368 | 57 | 1,139,312 | 43 | 931,084 | 51.8 | 866,473 | 48.2 |
| Before but not now | 7,594,168 | 47.3 | 8,448,393 | 52.7 | 11,163,244 | 50.9 | 10,788,421 | 49.1 |
| Total | 10,156,676 | 46.8 | 11,556,894 | 53.2 | 14,232,277 | 49 | 14,839,513 | 51 |

Table 4.4A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Age group, Level of education and sex

| Age Group | Below Primary |  |  |  | Primary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 15-19 years | 44,893 | 40.1 | 67,096 | 59.9 | 1,091,641 | 48.8 | 1,147,326 | 51.2 |
| 20-24 years | 0 | 0 | 44,893 | 100 | 550,407 | 27.2 | 1,475,905 | 72.8 |
| 25-29 years | 31,289 | 13.5 | 200,801 | 86.5 | 1,076,089 | 36.7 | 1,859,159 | 63.3 |
| 30-35 years | 76,182 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2,032,650 | 37.4 | 3,395,425 | 62.6 |
| Total | 152,364 | 32.8 | 312,790 | 67.2 | 4,750,788 | 37.6 | 7,877,815 | 62.4 |

Table 4.4B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Age group, Level of education and sex

| Age Group | Secondary |  |  |  | Post-Secondary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 15-19 years | 10,134,141 | 52.6 | 9,121,742 | 47.4 | 510,176 | 56.1 | 398,863 | 43.9 |
| 20-24 years | 6,672,846 | 55.6 | 5,321,099 | 44.4 | 1,978,466 | 49.5 | 2,020,598 | 50.5 |
| 25-29 years | 5,723,373 | 50.3 | 5,666,166 | 49.7 | 2,273,785 | 55 | 1,861,579 | 45 |
| 30-35 years | 7,058,154 | 52.5 | 6,393,855 | 47.5 | 2,927,341 | 61.1 | 1,865,614 | 38.9 |
| Total | 29,588,515 | 52.8 | 26,502,862 | 47.2 | 7,689,769 | 55.6 | 6,146,654 | 44.4 |

Table 4.5A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, Level of education and sex

| Attendance | Sex | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Below Primary | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152,364 | 100 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 15,334 | 4.9 | 275,253 | 88 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 15,334 | 3.3 | 427,618 | 91.9 |
| Primary | Male | 361,615 | 7.6 | 612,848 | 12.9 | 1,440,659 | 30.3 |
|  | Female | 694,595 | 8.8 | 1,106,860 | 14.1 | 3,077,442 | 39.1 |
|  | Total | 1,056,210 | 8.4 | 1,719,708 | 13.6 | 4,518,101 | 35.8 |
| Secondary | Male | 4,094,756 | 13.8 | 2,063,724 | 7 | 6,927,589 | 23.4 |
|  | Female | 3,910,054 | 14.8 | 1,709,073 | 6.4 | 4,502,457 | 17 |
|  | Total | 8,004,811 | 14.3 | 3,772,797 | 6.7 | 11,430,046 | 20.4 |
| Post-Secondary | Male | 1,636,363 | 21.3 | 607,407 | 7.9 | 1,286,708 | 16.7 |
|  | Female | 1,472,194 | 24 | 387,667 | 6.3 | 678,838 | 11 |
|  | Total | 3,108,558 | 22.5 | 995,074 | 7.2 | 1,965,545 | 14.2 |

Table 4.5B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, Level of education and sex

| Attendance | Sex | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Below <br> Primary | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152,364 | 100 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,203 | 7.1 | 312,790 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,203 | 4.8 | 465,154 | 100 |
| Primary | Male | 364,743 | 7.7 | 653,447 | 13.8 | 1,317,477 | 27.7 | 4,750,788 | 100 |
|  | Female | 293,567 | 3.7 | 668,316 | 8.5 | 2,037,035 | 25.9 | 7,877,815 | 100 |
|  | Total | 658,309 | 5.2 | 1,321,763 | 10.5 | 3,354,512 | 26.6 | 12,628,603 | 100 |
| Secondary | Male | 4,604,286 | 15.6 | 4,640,634 | 15.7 | 7,257,526 | 24.5 | 29,588,515 | 100 |
|  | Female | 4,626,996 | 17.5 | 5,167,014 | 19.5 | 6,587,268 | 24.9 | 26,502,862 | 100 |
|  | Total | 9,231,282 | 16.5 | 9,807,648 | 17.5 | 13,844,794 | 24.7 | 56,091,377 | 100 |
| PostSecondary | Male | 859,097 | 11.2 | 1,513,715 | 19.7 | 1,786,479 | 23.2 | 7,689,769 | 100 |
|  | Female | 1,009,481 | 16.4 | 1,348,798 | 21.9 | 1,249,676 | 20.3 | 6,146,654 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1,868,579 | 13.5 | 2,862,513 | 20.7 | 3,036,155 | 21.9 | 13,836,423 | 100 |

Table 4.6: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone, Type of Education and sex

| zone | Formal |  |  |  | Informal |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NorthCentral | 6,017,053 | 49.9 | 6,045,001 | 50.1 | 75,682 | 70.4 | 31,843 | 29.6 |
| North-East | 3,189,627 | 52.6 | 2,870,590 | 47.4 | 94,351 | 21.3 | 348,344 | 78.7 |
| North-West | 7,944,031 | 55.9 | 6,258,728 | 44.1 | 1,863,289 | 45 | 2,275,262 | 55 |
| South-East | 5,784,922 | 49.7 | 5,851,113 | 50.3 | 43,204 | 35.4 | 78,931 | 64.6 |
| South-South | 5,729,622 | 48.6 | 6,064,591 | 51.4 | 1,078,174 | 49.1 | 1,119,538 | 50.9 |
| South-West | 9,608,024 | 51.9 | 8,913,176 | 48.1 | 753,458 | 43.4 | 983,005 | 56.6 |
| Total | 38,273,279 | 51.5 | 36,003,199 | 48.5 | 3,908,157 | 44.7 | 4,836,922 | 55.3 |

Table 4.7: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Course of Study and Age group

| Course of Study | Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 <br> years | 20-24 <br> years | 25-29 <br> years | 30-35 <br> years | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Agriculture \& Agriculture related | 9.8 | 16.1 | 23.5 | 50.7 | 100 |
| Arts | 4.3 | 33.5 | 25.7 | 36.5 | 100 |
| Engineering | 9.4 | 34.7 | 23.4 | 32.6 | 100 |
| Law | 10.9 | 20.9 | 22.4 | 45.8 | 100 |
| Management Sciences | 6.9 | 24 | 40.1 | 29 | 100 |
| Medicine | 5.3 | 35.8 | 33.7 | 25.2 | 100 |
| Medical Related | 5.4 | 50.3 | 13.6 | 30.8 | 100 |
| Pure \& Applied Sciences | 8.4 | 27.1 | 29.3 | 35.2 | 100 |
| Social Sciences | 5.3 | 31.3 | 29.8 | 33.6 | 100 |
| Total | 6.7 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 34 | 100 |

Table 4.8A: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone and Course of Study

| zone |  <br> Agriculture <br> related | Arts | Engineering | Law | Management <br> Sciences |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
|  | 1.4 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 26.7 |
| North-East | 0.8 | 18.2 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.8 |
| North-West | 10.5 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 16.3 | 19.5 |
| South-East | 2.5 | 8.7 | 12.6 | 5 | 30.9 |
| South-South | 1.6 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 21.7 |
| South-West | 5.2 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 32.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9}$ |

Table 4.8B: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by zone and Course of Study

| zone | Medicine | Medical <br> Related |  <br> Applied Sciences | Social <br> Sciences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| NorthCentral | 0 | 3.2 | 10.2 | 34.8 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 9.1 | 5.9 | 52.2 | 100 |
| North-West | 1.7 | 0.7 | 8.8 | 31.1 | 100 |
| South-East | 3.4 | 6 | 7 | 23.9 | 100 |
| South-South | 1.9 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 37.8 | 100 |
| South-West | 1.7 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 18.6 | 100 |
| Total | 1.5 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 31.2 | 100 |

Table 5.1: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 Accessibility to Health Care Facilities by Zone and Type of Health Facility

| zone | Private <br> Dispensary <br> /Hospital | Public <br> Dispensary <br> /Hospital | Religious <br> Dispensary <br> /Hospital | Community <br> Health <br> Centre | Pharmacist <br> /Chemist | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 23.2 | 71.5 | 0 | 5.3 | 0 | 100 |
| North-East | 3.7 | 87 | 0.3 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 100 |
| North-West | 21.6 | 20.9 | 0.3 | 56.9 | 0.3 | 100 |
| South-East | 19.5 | 20.5 | 7.3 | 31.7 | 21 | 100 |
| South-South | 38.7 | 15.6 | 0.1 | 40 | 5.7 | 100 |
| South-West | 13.3 | 12.1 | 0.3 | 66.3 | 8.1 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 5.2: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by distance to Health Facility and Type of Health Facility

| Distance | Private Dispensary /Hospital | Public <br> Dispensary/Ho spital | Religious <br> Dispensary/Ho spital | Commu <br> nity <br> Health <br> Centre | Pharmacist/Ch emist | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0<1 \\ & \text { Kilometre } \end{aligned}$ | 20.2 | 34.2 | 1.7 | 31.9 | 12 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{lrr} \hline 1 & -\quad 2 \\ \text { Kilometres } \end{array}$ | 21.7 | 32.5 | 1.2 | 41.9 | 2.6 | 100 |
| K - <br> Kilometres  | 23.6 | 37.2 | 0.7 | 36.8 | 1.6 | 100 |
| 5 <br> Kilometres and above | 20.7 | 24.7 | 0.4 | 54.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | 21.1 | 32.9 | 1.3 | 38.5 | 6.2 | 100 |

Table 5.3: Distribution of youth Aged 15-35 by Accessibility to Health Care Facilities and zone

| zone | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 61.5 | 32.1 | 6.4 | 100 |
| North-East | 79.7 | 19.5 | 0.7 | 100 |
| North-West | 88.7 | 11.3 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 58.8 | 37.8 | 3.4 | 100 |
| South-South | 85.1 | 14.4 | 0.5 | 100 |
| South-West | 82.2 | 17.3 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 5.4: Percentage Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Assessment of Cost of Service in Health Facilities and zone

| zone | Free | Low | Moderate | Expensive | Very Expensive | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  | Percent |
| North-Central | 0.1 | 6.1 | 78.4 | 13.1 | 2.2 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 7.9 | 68.4 | 21.9 | 1.9 | 100 |
| North-West | 2.9 | 11.7 | 76 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 2.9 | 51.8 | 37.1 | 8.2 | 100 |
| South-South | 0.7 | 11.7 | 75.4 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 100 |
| South-West | 0 | 14.8 | 63.6 | 21 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 6.1A: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Type of farming, Sex and zone

| zone | Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NorthCentral | 1,472,070 | 77.1 | 438,324 | 22.9 | 76,118 | 17.5 | 359,433 | 82.5 |
| North-East | 3,006,119 | 70.9 | 1,234,599 | 29.1 | 214,052 | 33.6 | 423,280 | 66.4 |
| North- <br> West | 4,498,375 | 66.9 | 2,227,648 | 33.1 | 93,187 | 45.8 | 110,192 | 54.2 |
| South-East | 581,317 | 38.3 | 938,305 | 61.7 | 104,687 | 41 | 150,384 | 59 |
| SouthSouth | 1,969,262 | 57.6 | 1,447,207 | 42.4 | 47,853 | 59.6 | 32,443 | 40.4 |
| South- <br> West | 1,555,380 | 52.5 | 1,405,930 | 47.5 | 730,897 | 77.1 | 217,013 | 22.9 |
| Total | 13,082,523 | 63 | 7,692,014 | 37 | 1,266,794 | 49.5 | 1,292,745 | 50.5 |

Table 6.1B: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Type of farming, Sex and zone

| zone | Livestock |  |  |  | Poultry |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  | Yes |  | No |  |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NorthCentral | 656,427 | 28 | 1,689,518 | 72 | 457,580 | 19.5 | 1,888,366 | 80.5 |
| North-East | 1,426,763 | 29.2 | 3,451,287 | 70.8 | 397,436 | 8.1 | 4,480,614 | 91.9 |
| NorthWest | 627,823 | 9.1 | 6,301,580 | 90.9 | 120,848 | 1.7 | 6,808,554 | 98.3 |
| South-East | 139,583 | 7.9 | 1,635,110 | 92.1 | 228,206 | 12.9 | 1,546,486 | 87.1 |
| SouthSouth | 118,686 | 3.4 | 3,378,079 | 96.6 | 32,443 | 0.9 | 3,464,322 | 99.1 |
| South- <br> West | 224,175 | 5.7 | 3,685,045 | 94.3 | 195,527 | 5 | 3,713,694 | 95 |
| Total | 3,193,456 | 13.7 | 20,140,619 | 86.3 | 1,432,040 | 6.1 | 21,902,036 | 93.9 |

Table 6.1C: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Type of farming, Sex and zone

| zone | Fishing |  |  |  | Forestry |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  | Yes |  | No |  |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | 30,534 | 1.3 | $2,315,411$ | 98.7 | 0 | 0 | $2,345,945$ | 100 |
| North- <br> East | 0 | 0 | $4,878,050$ | 100 | 0 | 0 | $4,878,050$ | 100 |
| North- <br> West | 0 | 0 | $6,929,403$ | 100 | 0 | 0 | $6,929,403$ | 100 |
| South- <br> East | 381,360 | 21.5 | $1,393,333$ | 78.5 | 19,940 | 1.1 | $1,754,752$ | 98.9 |
| South- <br> South | 142,747 | 4.1 | $3,354,017$ | 95.9 | 0 | 0 | $3,496,764$ | 100 |
| South- <br> West | 637,073 | 16.3 | $3,272,147$ | 83.7 | 249,243 | 6.4 | $3,659,978$ | 93.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 1 , 7 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 4 2 , 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9 , 1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 0 6 4 , 8 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8}$ |

Table 6.2: Distribution of Youth Aged $15-35$ by Type of farming and zone

| Type of Farming | Item | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NorthCentral | North- <br> East | NorthWest | South- <br> East | SouthSouth | South- <br> West | Total |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Crop | Yes | 9.2 | 20.4 | 32.4 | 7.3 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 17 | 24.9 | 7.9 | 10 | 3.1 | 37 | 100 |
|  | Total | 10.1 | 20.9 | 29.7 | 7.6 | 15 | 16.8 | 100 |
| Livestock | Yes | 20.6 | 44.7 | 19.7 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 7 | 100 |
|  | No | 8.4 | 17.1 | 31.3 | 8.1 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 10.1 | 20.9 | 29.7 | 7.6 | 15 | 16.8 | 100 |
| Poultry | Yes | 32 | 27.8 | 8.4 | 15.9 | 2.3 | 13.7 | 100 |
|  | No | 8.6 | 20.5 | 31.1 | 7.1 | 15.8 | 17 | 100 |
|  | Total | 10.1 | 20.9 | 29.7 | 7.6 | 15 | 16.8 | 100 |
| Fishing | Yes | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 12 | 53.5 | 100 |
|  | No | 10.5 | 22 | 31.3 | 6.3 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 10.1 | 20.9 | 29.7 | 7.6 | 15 | 16.8 | 100 |
| Forestry | Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.4 | 0 | 92.6 | 100 |
|  | No | 10.2 | 21.1 | 30 | 7.6 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 100 |
|  | Total | 10.1 | 20.9 | 29.7 | 7.6 | 15 | 16.8 | 100 |

Table 6.3: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 who are in Agriculture by zone, Employment Status and sex

| zone | Employer |  |  | Employee |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 44.9 | 55.1 | 100 | 45.9 | 54.1 | 100 |
| North-East | 67.3 | 32.7 | 100 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 100 |
| North-West | 34.6 | 65.4 | 100 | 82.1 | 17.9 | 100 |
| South-East | 64 | 36 | 100 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 100 |
| South-South | 34.9 | 65.1 | 100 | 46.6 | 53.4 | 100 |
| South-West | 52.4 | 47.6 | 100 | 49.9 | 50.1 | 100 |
| Total | 48.4 | 51.6 | 100 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 100 |

Table 6.4: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 in
Agriculture by zone and Employment Status

| zone | Employer | Employee | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 67.3 | 32.7 | 100 |
| North-East | 44.2 | 55.8 | 100 |
| North-West | 50.4 | 49.6 | 100 |
| South-East | 64.5 | 35.5 | 100 |
| South-South | 35.1 | 64.9 | 100 |
| South-West | 29 | 71 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 6.5: Distribution of Youth Aged 15-35 by Average Annual Turnover of Agriculture Firm/Business, Type of Farming Activity and zone

| zone | Crop | Livestock | Poultry | Fishing | Forestry |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average |
| North-Central | 150,091 | 107,325 | 38,594 | 400,000 | . |
| North-East | 156,911 | 272,337 | 56,761 | . | . |
| North-West | 260,849 | 148,740 | 453,396 | . | . |
| South-East | 148,206 | 81,861 | 111,170 | 133,374 | 43,000 |
| South-South | 315,232 | 791,800 | 400,000 | 595,636 | . |
| South-West | 333,620 | 252,061 | 121,103 | 144,125 | 286,621 |
| Total | 240,524 | 223,676 | 109,659 | 201,324 | 268,574 |

Table 6.6: Distribution of Youth's Maximum Annual Turnover of Agriculture
Firm/Business by zone and Type of Farming Activity

| zone | Crop | Livestock | Poultry | Fishing | Forestry |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum |
| North-Central | $1,200,000$ | 880,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | . |
| North-East | $1,500,000$ | $4,000,000$ | 200,000 | . | . |
| North-West | $18,000,000$ | $1,000,568$ | $3,000,000$ | . | . |
| South-East | 700,000 | 245,000 | 500,000 | 300,000 | 53,000 |
| South-South | $7,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ | 650,000 | $5,000,000$ | . |
| South-West | $\mathbf{7 , 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 500,000 | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 800,000 | 850,000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

Table 6.7: Distribution of Youth's Average Annual Turnover in Agriculture by Distance to Market/Input and Type of Farming Activity

| Distance | Crop | Livestock | Poultry | Fishing | Forestry |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average |
| 0<1 Kilometre | 336,897 | 369,217 | 305,439 | 889,393 | 62,257 |
| 1-2 Kilometres | 162,872 | 154,619 | 111,469 | 137,857 | . |
| 3-4 Kilometres | 215,348 | 194,346 | 167,811 | 141,644 | 16,000 |
| 5 Kilometres and <br> above | 169,314 | 225,785 | 49,219 | 135,939 | 324,879 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 8 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 , 3 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2 , 4 2 8}$ |

Table 6.8: Distribution of Youth Annual Turnover in Agriculture by Distance to Market/Input and Type of Farming Activity

| Distance | Crop | Livestock | Poultry | Fishing | Forestry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum |
| $0<1$ Kilometre | $6,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ | $3,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ | 98,000 |
| 1 - 2 Kilometres | $7,000,000$ | $4,000,000$ | 650,000 | 300,000 | . |
| 3 - 4 Kilometres | $9,507,563$ | 500,000 | 540,000 | 400,000 | 16,000 |
| 5 Kilometres and above | $3,000,000$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 200,000 | 800,000 | 850,000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 5 0 7 , 5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

Table 6.9: Distribution of Youth who have access to Market/Input by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 65.8 | 34.2 | 100 | 71.9 | 28.1 | 100 |
| North-East | 65.6 | 34.4 | 100 | 70.8 | 29.2 | 100 |
| North-West | 66.9 | 33.1 | 100 | 64.1 | 35.9 | 100 |
| South-East | 38.3 | 61.7 | 100 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 100 |
| South-South | 57.1 | 42.9 | 100 | 79.9 | 20.1 | 100 |
| South-West | 59.8 | 40.2 | 100 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 7.1: Distribution of Youth in Employment by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 45.3 | 54.7 | 100 | 51.5 | 48.5 | 100 |
| North-East | 70.3 | 29.7 | 100 | 43.6 | 56.4 | 100 |
| North-West | 58.2 | 41.8 | 100 | 49.5 | 50.5 | 100 |
| South-East | 58 | 42 | 100 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 100 |
| South-South | 42.5 | 57.5 | 100 | 51 | 49 | 100 |
| South-West | 50.7 | 49.3 | 100 | 48.7 | 51.3 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 7.2: Distribution of Unemployed Youth by reasons for not working and Zone

| Item | NorthCentral | NorthEast | NorthWest | South- <br> East | SouthSouth | SouthWest | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Looked and available for work but could not get | 6.7 | 9.3 | 36.3 | 12.3 | 19.1 | 16.3 | 100 |
| Sick | 1.8 | 9.2 | 62.1 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 16.9 | 100 |
| Believed no job available | 1.3 | 18.9 | 40.2 | 2.7 | 11.1 | 25.8 | 100 |
| Waiting to join work | 1.7 | 15.9 | 20.8 | 30.2 | 8.2 | 23.1 | 100 |
| Laid off 30 days or less | 0 | 93 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 100 |
| Student | 17.9 | 8.1 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 17.9 | 29.8 | 100 |
| Others | 10.7 | 16.5 | 25.1 | 18.1 | 2.9 | 26.8 | 100 |
| Total | 9.5 | 14.2 | 26.5 | 12.5 | 14 | 23.4 | 100 |

Table 7.3: Distribution of Youth in Employment by zone and Employment Status

| zone | Employer |  | Employee |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | $4,634,841$ | 67.3 | $2,248,422$ | 32.7 | $6,883,264$ | 100 |
| North-East | 665,897 | 44.2 | 839,141 | 55.8 | $1,505,038$ | 100 |
| North-West | $1,291,469$ | 50.4 | $1,271,063$ | 49.6 | $2,562,532$ | 100 |
| South-East | $2,170,177$ | 64.5 | $1,192,268$ | 35.5 | $3,362,444$ | 100 |
| South-South | $1,606,176$ | 35.1 | $2,969,573$ | 64.9 | $4,575,749$ | 100 |
| South-West | $2,232,800$ | 29 | $5,476,180$ | 71 | $7,708,980$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 0 1 , 3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 9 6 , 6 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 5 9 8 , 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 7.4: Distribution of Youth in Employment by zone and
Sector

| Sector | North- <br> Central | North- <br> East | North- <br> West | South- <br> East | South- <br> South | South- <br> West | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Fed/State/LG <br> Service | 32.3 | 23.4 | 15 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 100 |
| Manufacturing | 45.7 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 12.3 | 23.5 | 100 |
| Building <br> Construction \& | 26.6 | 2.5 | 7 | 23 | 6.3 | 34.7 | 100 |
| Mining \& Quarrying | 86.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Financial <br> Intermediation | 4.4 | 0 | 8.9 | 25.2 | 11.6 | 49.9 | 100 |
| Private Professional <br> Service | 20.9 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 14.8 | 19.9 | 30.5 | 100 |
| Wholesale \& Retail | 26.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 12.3 | 22.8 | 23.2 | 100 |
| Organised <br> Transport Road | 16.1 | 8.3 | 6 | 12.9 | 5.9 | 50.8 | 100 |
| Other <br> Service | 15.7 | 8.8 | 19.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 49.3 | 100 |
| Hotel \& Restaurant | 21.4 | 3 | 4.2 | 13.4 | 15.9 | 42.2 | 100 |
| Oil \& Gas | 0 | 0 | 29.6 | 23.3 | 47.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Electricity | 46.8 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 25.7 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Real Estate, Renting | 0 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 15.2 | 53.8 | 100 |
| Total | 25.9 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 17.2 | 29 | 100 |

Table 8.1A: Distribution of Youth with Political Affiliation by zone and Sex

| zone | All Progressives Congress (APC) |  |  |  | People's Democratic Party (PDP) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 2,155,448 | 56 | 1,691,980 | 44 | 229,008 | 52.2 | 210,033 | 47.8 |
| North-East | 182,148 | 93.8 | 12,118 | 6.2 | 84,706 | 75.8 | 27,081 | 24.2 |
| North-West | 1,283,760 | 57.2 | 959,759 | 42.8 | 155,765 | 60.8 | 100,443 | 39.2 |
| South-East | 57,606 | 81.9 | 12,740 | 18.1 | 169,216 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| South-South | 1,197,941 | 51.9 | 1,108,183 | 48.1 | 315,504 | 71.1 | 128,148 | 28.9 |
| South-West | 1,654,815 | 64.1 | 927,498 | 35.9 | 153,986 | 54.2 | 130,351 | 45.8 |
| Total | 6,531,717 | 58.1 | 4,712,278 | 41.9 | 1,108,185 | 65 | 596,056 | 35 |

Table 8.1B: Distribution of Youth with Political Affiliation by zone and Sex

| zone | Others |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Percent |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | 0 |
| North-Central | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 8,903 | 0 |
| North-West | 31,289 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| South-East | 172,817 | 88.1 | 23,264 | 11.9 |
| South-South | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South-West | 35,811 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 9 , 9 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ |

Table 8.2: Distribution of Youth in Elective Offices by zone and Sex

| zone |  | Yes |  | No |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male | Female |  |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |
| North-Central | 84.4 | 15.6 | 52.4 | 47.6 |  |
| North-East | 100 | 0 | 83.9 | 16.1 |  |
| North-West | 33.3 | 66.7 | 58.5 | 41.5 |  |
| South-East | 100 | 0 | 90.2 | 9.8 |  |
| South-South | 56.8 | 43.2 | 54.6 | 45.4 |  |
| South-West | 77.5 | 22.5 | 61.6 | 38.4 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 9}$ |  |

Table 8.3: Distribution of Youth classified as Registered and Unregistered Voters by zone and Sex

| zone | Yes |  |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |  |
| North- <br> Central | $4,294,289$ | 50.7 | $4,169,410$ | 49.3 | $2,172,055$ | 44.1 | $2,748,439$ | 55.9 |  |  |  |
| North- <br> East | $3,796,168$ | 46.8 | $4,321,466$ | 53.2 | $1,517,404$ | 48.4 | $1,620,040$ | 51.6 |  |  |  |
| North- <br> West | $6,292,284$ | 54.3 | $5,302,596$ | 45.7 | $4,209,745$ | 45.9 | $4,969,072$ | 54.1 |  |  |  |
| South- <br> East | $4,003,029$ | 50.4 | $3,932,684$ | 49.6 | $1,909,844$ | 47.6 | $2,098,724$ | 52.4 |  |  |  |
| South- <br> South | $4,864,488$ | 52.9 | $4,338,648$ | 47.1 | $1,988,727$ | 39.8 | $3,007,153$ | 60.2 |  |  |  |
| South- <br> West | $5,668,961$ | 53.5 | $4,935,318$ | 46.5 | $6,059,776$ | 46.1 | $7,094,826$ | 53.9 |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 , 9 1 9 , 2 1 9}$ | 51.7 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 0 0 , 1 2 2}$ | 48.3 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 5 7 , 5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 3 8 , 2 5 2}$ | 54.7 |  |  |  |

Table 8.4: Distribution of Youth with and without Leadership Training by zone and Sex

| zone | Yes |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NorthCentral | 242,312 | 60.2 | 159,869 | 39.8 | 6,224,032 | 47.9 | 6,757,979 | 52.1 |
| North-East | 40,683 | 53.8 | 34,995 | 46.2 | 5,272,889 | 47.2 | 5,906,511 | 52.8 |
| North-West | 316,745 | 61.6 | 197,711 | 38.4 | 10,185,283 | 50.3 | 10,073,958 | 49.7 |
| South-East | 97,209 | 60.9 | 62,314 | 39.1 | 5,815,663 | 49.3 | 5,969,094 | 50.7 |
| South-South | 666,424 | 48.2 | 716,439 | 51.8 | 6,186,791 | 48.3 | 6,629,361 | 51.7 |
| South-West | 88,453 | 43 | 117,459 | 57 | 11,640,284 | 49.4 | 11,912,684 | 50.6 |
| Total | 1,451,827 | 53 | 1,288,788 | 47 | 45,324,943 | 49 | 47,249,587 | 51 |

Table 8.5: Distribution of Youth by Type of Leadership Training and Sex

| Type of Training | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Autocratic leadership Training (e.g. Military). | 106,131 | 83.6 | 20,859 | 16.4 |
| Bureaucratic leadership Training. | 128,656 | 80.2 | 31,813 | 19.8 |
| Party Leader | 647,773 | 46.4 | 747,973 | 53.6 |
| Democratic leadership or participative leadership <br> Training. | 19,291 | 43.6 | 24,983 | 56.4 |
| People-oriented leadership or relations-oriented <br> leadership Training. | 149,344 | 68.5 | 68,528 | 31.5 |
| Servant leadership Training. | 107,983 | 37.2 | 182,186 | 62.8 |
| Task-oriented leadership Training. | 172,530 | 48 | 186,808 | 52 |
| Transactional leadership Training. | 0 | 0 | 16,221 | 100 |
| Transformational leadership Training. | 49,768 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 70,352 | 88.2 | 9,416 | 11.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 1 , 8 2 7}$ | 53 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 8 , 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |

Table 9.1: Distribution of Youth with or without Computer Literacy by zone and Sex

| zone | Yes |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| North- <br> Central | $2,955,325$ | 56.5 | $2,274,595$ | 43.5 | $3,511,020$ | 43.1 | $4,643,254$ | 56.9 |  |
| North-East | 839,883 | 62.6 | 502,174 | 37.4 | $4,473,689$ | 45.1 | $5,439,332$ | 54.9 |  |
| North-West | $1,298,498$ | 66.3 | 659,565 | 33.7 | $9,203,531$ | 48.9 | $9,612,103$ | 51.1 |  |
| South-East | $2,214,212$ | 51.8 | $2,059,951$ | 48.2 | $3,698,661$ | 48.2 | $3,971,456$ | 51.8 |  |
| South-South | $2,938,212$ | 50.9 | $2,836,829$ | 49.1 | $3,915,003$ | 46.5 | $4,508,972$ | 53.5 |  |
| South-West | $2,574,435$ | 53.4 | $2,242,469$ | 46.6 | $9,154,302$ | 48.3 | $9,787,674$ | 51.7 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 2 0 , 5 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 7 5 , 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 9 5 6 , 2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 9 6 2 , 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 8}$ |  |

Table 9.2A: Distribution of Youth by zone and Type of Computer Literacy

| Type of Computer Literacy | Item | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Browsing the Internet | Yes | 5,113,234 | 23.4 | 1,207,889 | 5.5 | 1,412,998 | 6.5 |
|  | No | 116,685 | 7.5 | 134,169 | 8.6 | 545,065 | 34.8 |
|  | Total | 5,229,919 | 22.4 | 1,342,057 | 5.7 | 1,958,063 | 8.4 |
| Word Processing | Yes | 2,124,026 | 21.9 | 651,923 | 6.7 | 711,940 | 7.3 |
|  | No | 3,105,894 | 22.7 | 690,134 | 5 | 1,246,123 | 9.1 |
|  | Total | 5,229,919 | 22.4 | 1,342,057 | 5.7 | 1,958,063 | 8.4 |
| Graphics and Design | Yes | 875,683 | 24 | 467,797 | 12.8 | 409,252 | 11.2 |
|  | No | 4,354,236 | 22 | 874,260 | 4.4 | 1,548,811 | 7.8 |
|  | Total | 5,229,919 | 22.4 | 1,342,057 | 5.7 | 1,958,063 | 8.4 |
| Specialized software packages | Yes | 366,304 | 13.5 | 274,149 | 10.1 | 267,091 | 9.9 |
|  | No | 4,863,616 | 23.5 | 1,067,908 | 5.2 | 1,690,972 | 8.2 |
|  | Total | 5,229,919 | 22.4 | 1,342,057 | 5.7 | 1,958,063 | 8.4 |
| Certified IT Professional | Yes | 126,718 | 10.1 | 326,704 | 25.9 | 268,678 | 21.3 |
|  | No | 5,103,202 | 23.1 | 1,015,354 | 4.6 | 1,689,385 | 7.6 |
|  | Total | 5,229,919 | 22.4 | 1,342,057 | 5.7 | 1,958,063 | 8.4 |

Table 9.2B: Distribution of Youth by zone and Type of Computer Literacy

| Type of Computer Literacy | Item | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Browsing the Internet | Yes | 4,191,908 | 19.2 | 5,612,288 | 25.7 | 4,293,709 | 19.7 | 21,832,026 | 100 |
|  | No | 82,254 | 5.3 | 162,753 | 10.4 | 523,195 | 33.4 | 1,564,121 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4,274,162 | 18.3 | 5,775,041 | 24.7 | 4,816,904 | 20.6 | 23,396,147 | 100 |
| Word Processing | Yes | 2,276,802 | 23.5 | 2,470,499 | 25.5 | 1,458,572 | 15 | 9,693,763 | 100 |
|  | No | 1,997,360 | 14.6 | 3,304,542 | 24.1 | 3,358,332 | 24.5 | 13,702,384 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4,274,162 | 18.3 | 5,775,041 | 24.7 | 4,816,904 | 20.6 | 23,396,147 | 100 |
| Graphics and Design | Yes | 678,249 | 18.6 | 621,815 | 17.1 | 592,310 | 16.2 | 3,645,107 | 100 |
|  | No | 3,595,913 | 18.2 | 5,153,226 | 26.1 | 4,224,594 | 21.4 | 19,751,040 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4,274,162 | 18.3 | 5,775,041 | 24.7 | 4,816,904 | 20.6 | 23,396,147 | 100 |
| Specialized software packages | Yes | 556,669 | 20.6 | 680,482 | 25.1 | 563,661 | 20.8 | 2,708,356 | 100 |
|  | No | 3,717,494 | 18 | 5,094,559 | 24.6 | 4,253,243 | 20.6 | 20,687,791 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4,274,162 | 18.3 | 5,775,041 | 24.7 | 4,816,904 | 20.6 | 23,396,147 | 100 |
| Certified IT <br> Professional | Yes | 127,120 | 10.1 | 306,041 | 24.3 | 104,209 | 8.3 | 1,259,470 | 100 |
|  | No | 4,147,042 | 18.7 | 5,469,000 | 24.7 | 4,712,695 | 21.3 | 22,136,677 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4,274,162 | 18.3 | 5,775,041 | 24.7 | 4,816,904 | 20.6 | 23,396,147 | 100 |

Table 9.3: Distribution of Youth with Certified IT Professionals by Area of specialization and sex

| Type | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Artificial Intelligence | 97,118 | 54.9 | 79,796 | 45.1 |
| Database Administration | 143,663 | 57.5 | 105,988 | 42.5 |
| Graphics \& Design | 319,158 | 56.6 | 244,246 | 43.4 |
|  <br> Animation) | 14,839 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Networking | 71,218 | 74.6 | 24,245 | 25.4 |
| Software Engineering | 52,409 | 77.8 | 14,955 | 22.2 |
| Web Design \& Management | 43,371 | 47.2 | 48,463 | 52.8 |
| Total | 741,777 | 58.9 | 517,693 | 41.1 |

Table 10.1: Distribution of Youth who were involved in conflict prevention by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 53,653 | 79.4 | 13,959 | 20.6 | 6,412,691 | 48.2 | 6,903,890 | 51.8 |
| North-East | 45,382 | 78.6 | 12,366 | 21.4 | 5,268,190 | 47 | 5,929,140 | 53 |
| North-West | 243,057 | 61.6 | 151,231 | 38.4 | 10,258,971 | 50.3 | 10,120,438 | 49.7 |
| South-East | 124,627 | 64.6 | 68,407 | 35.4 | 5,788,245 | 49.3 | 5,963,001 | 50.7 |
| South-South | 280,087 | 38.7 | 443,381 | 61.3 | 6,573,128 | 48.8 | 6,902,419 | 51.2 |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 25,068 | 100 | 11,728,736 | 49.4 | 12,005,076 | 50.6 |
| Total | 746,808 | 51.1 | 714,410 | 48.9 | 46,029,962 | 49 | 47,823,964 | 51 |

Table 10.2A: Distribution of Youth who were involved in conflict prevention by zone and level of Involvement

| Level of Involvement | Item | North Central |  | North East |  | North West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | Ward | 35,551 | 5.9 | 22,877 | 3.8 | 139,667 | 23.1 |
|  | Community | 21,592 | 3.9 | 26,957 | 4.9 | 102,030 | 18.4 |
|  | LGAs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,685 | 43.2 |
|  | State | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 57,143 | 4.7 | 49,834 | 4.1 | 259,382 | 21.3 |
| No | Ward | 147,438 | 28.7 | 8,903 | 1.7 | 146,243 | 28.5 |
|  | Community | 225,020 | 15.2 | 20,651 | 1.4 | 565,698 | 38.3 |
|  | LGAs | 0 | 0 | 22,506 | 49.2 | 14,057 | 30.7 |
|  | State | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,879 | 100 |
|  | Total | 372,457 | 17.5 | 52,060 | 2.5 | 814,877 | 38.4 |

Table 10.2B: Distribution of Youth who were involved in conflict prevention by zone and level of Involvement

| Level of Involvement | Item | South- <br> East |  | SouthSouth |  | SouthWest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | Ward | 38,219 | 6.3 | 368,763 | 60.9 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Community | 112,165 | 20.3 | 290,361 | 52.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | LGAs | 23,264 | 56.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | State | 0 | 0 | 16,221 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 173,647 | 14.3 | 675,345 | 55.6 | 0 | 0 |
| No | Ward | 35,450 | 6.9 | 121,119 | 23.6 | 53,716 | 10.5 |
|  | Community | 278,888 | 18.9 | 125,444 | 8.5 | 259,986 | 17.6 |
|  | LGAs | 0 | 0 | 9,192 | 20.1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | State | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 314,338 | 14.8 | 255,755 | 12 | 313,702 | 14.8 |

Table 10.3: Distribution of Youth who were involved in conflict prevention by zone and Type of Conflict

| zone | Civil/Household |  | Boundary Dispute |  | Political |  | Religious |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 216,514 | 50.4 | 15,703 | 3.7 | 182,988 | 42.6 | 14,395 | 3.4 |
| North-East | 79,388 | 77.9 | 15,334 | 15 | 7,172 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| North-West | 620,114 | 57.7 | 42,172 | 3.9 | 138,987 | 12.9 | 272,986 | 25.4 |
| South-East | 361,696 | 74.1 | 58,713 | 12 | 27,695 | 5.7 | 39,881 | 8.2 |
| South-South | 98,950 | 10.6 | 63,804 | 6.9 | 757,533 | 81.4 | 10,814 | 1.2 |
| South-West | 161,865 | 51.6 | 35,811 | 11.4 | 116,027 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 8 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 0 , 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ |

Table 10.4: Distribution of Youth who were involved in conflict prevention by zone and Type of Organisation

| zone | NGOs |  | VYOs |  | CBOs |  | Faith Based |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | 272,784 | 35 | 17,884 | 2.3 | 69,575 | 8.9 | 418,757 | 53.8 |
| North-East | 30,914 | 3.7 | 5,688 | 0.7 | 8,903 | 1.1 | 780,775 | 94.5 |
| North-West | 26,301 | 5.3 | 0 | 0 | 61,898 | 12.5 | 405,625 | 82.1 |
| South-East | 570,516 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 164,231 | 3.6 | $3,824,673$ | 83.9 |
| South-South | 761,048 | 25.2 | $1,545,616$ | 51.1 | 266,029 | 8.8 | 450,140 | 14.9 |
| South-West | 100,270 | 40.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145,392 | 59.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 1 , 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 9 , 1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 0 , 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 2 5 , 3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 7}$ |

Table 10.5: Distribution of Youth with training and without training on conflict prevention by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | 53,653 | 79.4 | 13,959 | 20.6 | $6,412,691$ | 48.2 | $6,903,890$ | 51.8 |
| North-East | 45,382 | 78.6 | 12,366 | 21.4 | $5,268,190$ | 47 | $5,929,140$ | 53 |
| North-West | 243,057 | 61.6 | 151,231 | 38.4 | $10,258,971$ | 50.3 | $10,120,438$ | 49.7 |
| South-East | 124,627 | 64.6 | 68,407 | 35.4 | $5,788,245$ | 49.3 | $5,963,001$ | 50.7 |
| South-South | 280,087 | 38.7 | 443,381 | 61.3 | $6,573,128$ | 48.8 | $6,902,419$ | 51.2 |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 25,068 | 100 | $11,728,736$ | 49.4 | $12,005,076$ | 50.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 6 , 8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 4 , 4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 0 2 9 , 9 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 8 2 3 , 9 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |

Table 11.1A: Distribution of Youth actively involved in Sport by zone and Level

| zone | Recreation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | $2,995,019$ | 67.7 | $1,428,698$ | 32.3 |
| North-East | $1,426,144$ | 87.5 | 203,541 | 12.5 |
| North-West | $1,905,008$ | 85.5 | 324,001 | 14.5 |
| South-East | $2,068,259$ | 77.2 | 610,951 | 22.8 |
| South-South | $2,187,438$ | 65.4 | $1,159,280$ | 34.6 |
| South-West | $3,874,007$ | 80 | 970,710 | 20 |
| Total | $14,455,875$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5}$ | $4,697,180$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ |

Table 11.1B: Distribution of Youth actively involved in Sport by zone and Level

| zone | As Profession |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 59,978 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| North-East | 135,158 | 95 | 7,172 | 5 |
| North-West | 165,061 | 59.8 | 110,872 | 40.2 |
| South-East | 95,825 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| South-South | 70,833 | 65 | 38,120 | 35 |
| South-West | 455,513 | 85 | 80,574 | 15 |
| Total | 982,368 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6}$ | 236,739 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ |

Table 11.2A: Distribution of Youth actively involved in Sport by Type and Level

| Type of Sports | Recreation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Basketball | 379,260 | 28.4 | 954,611 | 71.6 |
| Boxing | 148,731 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Football | $12,596,469$ | 91.1 | $1,234,257$ | 8.9 |
| Hockey | 14,324 | 53.9 | 12,244 | 46.1 |
| Swimming | 72,385 | 16 | 380,195 | 84 |
| Tennis | 577,847 | 84.5 | 105,912 | 15.5 |
| Track \& Field | 339,036 | 18 | $1,546,198$ | 82 |
| Volley Ball | 207,793 | 30.9 | 463,765 | 69.1 |
| Wrestling | 120,030 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 5 5 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 9 7 , 1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ |

Table 11.2B: Distribution of Youth actively involved in Sport by Type and Level

| Type of Sports | As Profession |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Basketball | 72,669 | 63.7 | 41,345 | 36.3 |
| Boxing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Football | 857,039 | 83.2 | 173,081 | 16.8 |
| Hockey | 0 | 0 | 7,172 | 100 |
| Swimming | 22,561 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennis | 14,395 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Track \& Field | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volley Ball | 15,703 | 50.9 | 15,140 | 49.1 |
| Wrestling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 982,368 | 80.6 | 236,739 | 19.4 |

Table 11.3: Distribution of Youth by form of Disability and sex

| Type of <br> Disability |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |  |
| Visual <br> impairment | 70,126 | 51.3 | 66,554 | 48.7 | 136,679 | 100 |  |
| Poliomyelitis | 50,108 | 65 | 26,981 | 35 | 77,089 | 100 |  |
| Partial <br> Paralyses | 11,632 | 14.9 | 66,481 | 85.1 | 78,113 | 100 |  |
| Others | 123,526 | 64.1 | 69,214 | 35.9 | 192,740 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 5 , 3 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 4 , 6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 12.1A: Distribution of Youth not at Home State by Sex and zone

| zone | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North- <br> Central | $5,937,009$ | 49.2 | $6,120,901$ | 50.8 | $12,057,909$ | 100 |
| North- <br> East | $4,813,871$ | 47.5 | $5,321,239$ | 52.5 | $10,135,110$ | 100 |
| North- <br> West | $8,816,725$ | 49.4 | $9,033,935$ | 50.6 | $17,850,660$ | 100 |
| South- <br> East | $4,750,239$ | 51.8 | $4,414,299$ | 48.2 | $9,164,537$ | 100 |
| South- <br> South | $4,853,673$ | 48.3 | $5,191,616$ | 51.7 | $10,045,290$ | 100 |
| South- <br> West | $9,140,335$ | 50.1 | $9,108,345$ | 49.9 | $18,248,680$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 , 3 1 1 , 8 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 9 0 , 3 3 4}$ | 50.6 | $\mathbf{7 7 , 5 0 2 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 12.1B: Distribution of Youth not at Home State by Sex and zone

| zone | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| NorthCentral | 529,336 | 39.9 | 796,948 | 60.1 | 1,326,283 | 100 |
| North- <br> East | 499,701 | 44.6 | 620,267 | 55.4 | 1,119,968 | 100 |
| NorthWest | 1,685,304 | 57.7 | 1,237,733 | 42.3 | 2,923,037 | 100 |
| South- <br> East | 1,162,634 | 41.8 | 1,617,108 | 58.2 | 2,779,743 | 100 |
| SouthSouth | 1,999,542 | 48.1 | 2,154,184 | 51.9 | 4,153,726 | 100 |
| South- <br> West | 2,588,401 | 47 | 2,921,799 | 53 | 5,510,200 | 100 |
| Total | 8,464,917 | 47.5 | 9,348,040 | 52.5 | 17,812,957 | 100 |

Table 12.2A: Distribution of Youth movement to Present Residence by zone and Reason for movement

| Zone | Reason for movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Conflict and civil <br> unrest |  |  |  |  |  |  | Search for Job |  | Employment |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |  |  |  |  |  |
| North- <br> Central | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North-East | 12,613 | 7.8 | 5,688 | 3.5 | 25,597 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 51,468 | 11.6 | 75,049 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | 63,144 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| South-South | 0 | 0 | 57,315 | 6.5 | 10,814 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 64,459 | 7.5 | 22,203 | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 , 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12.2B: Distribution of Youth movement to Present Residence by zone and Reason for movement

| Zone | Reason for movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Transfer |  | Education |  | Family |  |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |  |
| North- <br> Central | 0 | 0 | 11,341 | 6.7 | 158,561 | 93.3 |  |
| North-East | 8,161 | 5.1 | 34,624 | 21.4 | 74,813 | 46.3 |  |
| North-West | 22,673 | 5.1 | 8,616 | 1.9 | 285,683 | 64.4 |  |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | 22,156 | 4.4 | 415,978 | 83 |  |
| South-South | 0 | 0 | 25,954 | 3 | 783,487 | 89.3 |  |
| South-West | 164,729 | 19.3 | 85,946 | 10.1 | 517,107 | 60.5 |  |
| Total | 195,564 | 6.5 | $\mathbf{1 8 8 , 6 3 7}$ | 6.3 | $\mathbf{2 , 2 3 5 , 6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 3}$ |  |

Table 12.3A: Distribution of Youth movement (Ever change base) to Present Residence by zone and Reason for movement

| zone | Reason for Movement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Conflict and civil <br> unrest |  | Search for Job |  | Employment |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North- <br> Central | 75,900 | 3.1 | 317,776 | 13 | 106,870 | 4.4 |
| North-East | 12,613 | 2.2 | 53,049 | 9.1 | 50,081 | 8.6 |
| North-West | 64,619 | 2.8 | 542,344 | 23.1 | 201,565 | 8.6 |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | $1,522,669$ | 31.8 | 371,666 | 7.8 |
| South-South | 45,960 | 0.9 | $1,389,622$ | 27.1 | 471,498 | 9.2 |
| South-West | 68,757 | 1.4 | 786,046 | 15.5 | 398,574 | 7.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 7 , 8 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 1 1 , 5 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 0 , 2 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |

Table 12.3B: Distribution of Youth movement (Ever change base) to Present Residence by zone and Reason for movement

| zone | Reason for Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Transfer |  | Education |  | Family |  |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |  |
| North- <br> Central | 10,469 | 0.4 | $1,280,482$ | 52.3 | 654,745 | 26.8 |  |
| North-East | 18,054 | 3.1 | 344,016 | 59 | 105,109 | 18 |  |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 193,176 | 8.2 | $1,347,699$ | 57.4 |  |
| South-East | 77,546 | 1.6 | $1,032,745$ | 21.5 | $1,788,817$ | 37.3 |  |
| South-South | 0 | 0 | $1,538,858$ | 30 | $1,683,227$ | 32.8 |  |
| South-West | 98,838 | 1.9 | $1,757,472$ | 34.5 | $1,977,111$ | 38.9 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 4 6 , 7 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 5 6 , 7 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 1}$ |  |

Table 12.4A: Distribution of Youth by zone and Type of Movement

| zone | Type of Movement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural-urban |  | Urban-rural |  | Inter-state |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| NorthCentral | 1,100,329 | 45 | 180,153 | 7.4 | 955,072 | 39 |
| NorthEast | 221,224 | 38 | 53,667 | 9.2 | 229,880 | 39.4 |
| NorthWest | 832,562 | 35.4 | 221,971 | 9.4 | 579,529 | 24.7 |
| South- <br> East | 1,968,557 | 41.1 | 137,921 | 2.9 | 1,984,897 | 41.4 |
| SouthSouth | 669,127 | 13 | 931,641 | 18.2 | 2,912,528 | 56.8 |
| South- <br> West | 352,139 | 6.9 | 573,688 | 11.3 | 2,925,858 | 57.5 |
| Total | 5,143,938 | 25.2 | 2,099,042 | 10.3 | 9,587,764 | 47 |

Table 12.4B: Distribution of Youth by zone and Type of Movement

| zone | Type of Movement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Inter-local <br> governments |  | North-south |  | South-north |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North-Central | 30,534 | 1.2 | 64,558 | 2.6 | 115,595 | 4.7 |
| North-East | 16,570 | 2.8 | 7,914 | 1.4 | 17,065 | 2.9 |
| North-West | 509,468 | 21.7 | 67,340 | 2.9 | 138,534 | 5.9 |
| South-East | 547,252 | 11.4 | 10,524 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| South-South | 588,832 | 11.5 | 15,140 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| South-West | 776,019 | 15.3 | 85,946 | 1.7 | 50,135 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 4 6 8 , 6 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1 , 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 1 , 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |

Table 12.4C: Distribution of Youth by zone and Type of Movement

| zone | Type of Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | West-east |  | East-west |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2,446,242$ | 100 |  |
| North-East | 13,850 | 2.4 | 22,753 | 3.9 | 582,922 | 100 |  |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2,349,404$ | 100 |  |
| South-East | 11,078 | 0.2 | 133,213 | 2.8 | $4,793,443$ | 100 |  |
| South-South | 0 | 0 | 11,896 | 0.2 | $5,129,165$ | 100 |  |
| South-West | 28,649 | 0.6 | 294,364 | 5.8 | $5,086,797$ | 100 |  |
| Total | 53,576 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2 , 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 3 8 7 , 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 13.1A: Distribution of Youth who live with parents by zone and sex

| zone | Yes with both parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |  |
| North- <br> Central | $3,425,554$ | 56.7 | $2,618,325$ | 43.3 | $6,043,879$ | 100 |  |
| North- <br> East | $2,460,789$ | 64.6 | $1,347,622$ | 35.4 | $3,808,410$ | 100 |  |
| North- <br> West | $5,966,696$ | 64.8 | $3,239,102$ | 35.2 | $9,205,798$ | 100 |  |
| South- <br> East | $2,532,981$ | 61.2 | $1,605,200$ | 38.8 | $4,138,180$ | 100 |  |
| South- <br> South | $3,067,171$ | 53.5 | $2,670,291$ | 46.5 | $5,737,462$ | 100 |  |
| South- <br> West | $3,906,356$ | 55.1 | $3,179,398$ | 44.9 | $7,085,753$ | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 , 3 5 9 , 5 4 7}$ | 59.3 | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 5 9 , 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 1 9 , 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 13.1B: Distribution of Youth who live with parents by zone and sex

| zone | Yes with one parent only |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North- <br> Central | 575,792 | 41.9 | 799,347 | 58.1 | $1,375,138$ | 100 |
| North- <br> East | 260,052 | 69.8 | 112,281 | 30.2 | 372,333 | 100 |
| North- <br> West | $1,074,259$ | 66.8 | 534,182 | 33.2 | $1,608,441$ | 100 |
| South- <br> East | $1,396,103$ | 56.3 | $1,084,811$ | 43.7 | $2,480,914$ | 100 |
| South- <br> South | $1,355,017$ | 51.8 | $1,262,826$ | 48.2 | $2,617,842$ | 100 |
| South- <br> West | $2,146,496$ | 51.8 | $2,000,508$ | 48.2 | $4,147,004$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 8 0 7 , 7 1 8}$ | 54 | $5,793,955$ | 46 | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 0 1 , 6 7 3}$ | 100 |

Table 13.1C: Distribution of Youth who live with parents by zone and sex

| zone | No, with guardian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |  |
| North- <br> Central | 673,938 | 50.7 | 654,869 | 49.3 | $1,328,807$ | 100 |  |
| North- <br> East | 70,238 | 67.7 | 33,511 | 32.3 | 103,749 | 100 |  |
| North- <br> West | 337,378 | 71.7 | 133,092 | 28.3 | 470,470 | 100 |  |
| South- <br> East | 418,748 | 50.3 | 413,486 | 49.7 | 832,233 | 100 |  |
| South- <br> South | 494,478 | 46 | 581,262 | 54 | $1,075,740$ | 100 |  |
| South- <br> West | $1,283,099$ | 59 | 890,375 | 41 | $2,173,474$ | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 2 7 7 , 8 7 9}$ | 54.8 | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 6 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 8 4 , 4 7 4}$ | 100 |  |

Table 13.1D: Distribution of Youth who live with parents by zone and sex

| zone | No, now working and living on my own |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North- <br> Central | 457,144 | 87.3 | 66,739 | 12.7 | 523,883 | 100 |
| North-East | 315,698 | 45.2 | 382,597 | 54.8 | 698,295 | 100 |
| North- <br> West | $1,103,054$ | 90 | 122,662 | 10 | $1,225,717$ | 100 |
| South-East | 627,845 | 63.1 | 366,404 | 36.9 | 994,249 | 100 |
| South- <br> South | 907,310 | 69.5 | 398,232 | 30.5 | $1,305,542$ | 100 |
| South- <br> West | $1,428,968$ | 60 | 953,998 | 40 | $2,382,967$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4 0 , 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 9 0 , 6 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 3 0 , 6 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 13.1E: Distribution of Youth who live with parents by zone and sex

| zone | No, now married and living with my spouse |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North- <br> Central | $1,333,917$ | 32.4 | $2,778,568$ | 67.6 | $4,112,485$ | 100 |
| North- <br> East | $2,206,796$ | 35.2 | $4,065,495$ | 64.8 | $6,272,290$ | 100 |
| North- <br> West | $2,020,641$ | 24.5 | $6,242,630$ | 75.5 | $8,263,271$ | 100 |
| South- <br> East | 937,197 | 26.8 | $2,561,506$ | 73.2 | $3,498,704$ | 100 |
| South- <br> South | $1,029,239$ | 29.7 | $2,433,190$ | 70.3 | $3,462,429$ | 100 |
| South- <br> West | $2,963,817$ | 37.2 | $5,005,865$ | 62.8 | $7,969,682$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 9 1 , 6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 0 8 7 , 2 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 7 8 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 13.2A: Distribution of Youth and how parents relate with them by zone and sex

| Item | Sex | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| Very lovingly | M | 2,180,421 | 24.9 | 1,457,306 | 16.6 | 803,994 | 9.2 |
|  | F | 1,458,454 | 23.4 | 808,227 | 13 | 521,485 | 8.4 |
|  | T | 3,638,875 | 24.3 | 2,265,533 | 15.1 | 1,325,479 | 8.8 |
| Lovingly | M | 967,925 | 10.5 | 881,927 | 9.6 | 3,530,000 | 38.4 |
|  | F | 1,018,322 | 15.6 | 510,335 | 7.8 | 1,854,900 | 28.4 |
|  | T | 1,986,247 | 12.6 | 1,392,262 | 8.9 | 5,384,900 | 34.3 |
| Cordially | M | 277,209 | 8.2 | 114,383 | 3.4 | 1,632,702 | 48.4 |
|  | F | 141,549 | 7.4 | 29,060 | 1.5 | 862,718 | 45.3 |
|  | T | 418,757 | 7.9 | 143,443 | 2.7 | 2,495,419 | 47.3 |
| Not cordially | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stay out of their way | M | 0 | 0 | 7,172 | 32.4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | T | 0 | 0 | 7,172 | 32.4 | 0 | 0 |

Table 13.2B: Distribution of Youth and how parents relate with them by zone and sex

| Item | Sex | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| Very lovingly | M | 994,249 | 11.3 | 2,003,056 | 22.9 | 1,326,788 | 15.1 |
|  | F | 802,323 | 12.9 | 1,614,827 | 26 | 1,014,519 | 16.3 |
|  | T | 1,796,572 | 12 | 3,617,883 | 24.1 | 2,341,307 | 15.6 |
| Lovingly | M | 1,144,079 | 12.4 | 1,012,207 | 11 | 1,661,141 | 18.1 |
|  | F | 672,987 | 10.3 | 967,058 | 14.8 | 1,496,651 | 23 |
|  | T | 1,817,066 | 11.6 | 1,979,265 | 12.6 | 3,157,792 | 20.1 |
| Cordially | M | 379,698 | 11.3 | 51,908 | 1.5 | 918,426 | 27.2 |
|  | F | 114,934 | 6 | 88,406 | 4.6 | 668,229 | 35.1 |
|  | T | 494,632 | 9.4 | 140,314 | 2.7 | 1,586,655 | 30.1 |
| Not cordially | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | F | 14,955 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | T | 14,955 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stay out of their way | M | 14,955 | 67.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | T | 14,955 | 67.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 13.3A: Distribution of Youth who live with one parents by zone and the Reasons

| zone | Reason for staying with one of the spouse |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Exigency of work of <br> one of the parents |  |  |  |  | Separation of <br> parents |
|  | No Parents divorced |  |  |  |  |  |
| North- <br> Central | 277,427 | 20.2 | 263,468 | 19.2 | No,476 | 6.7 |
| North-East | 26,215 | 7 | 63,560 | 17.1 | 49,710 | 13.4 |
| North- <br> West | 58,270 | 3.6 | 882,897 | 54.9 | 116,541 | 7.2 |
| South-East | 108,841 | 4.4 | 29,080 | 1.2 | 14,401 | 0.6 |
| South- <br> South | 590,995 | 22.6 | 406,613 | 15.5 | 202,766 | 7.7 |
| South- <br> West | 439,040 | 10.6 | $1,460,601$ | 35.2 | 625,972 | 15.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 0 , 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 6 , 2 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 1 , 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |

Table 13.3B: Distribution of Youth who live with one parents by zone and the Reasons

| zone | Reason for staying with one of the spouse |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Death of one of the parents |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 741,768 | 53.9 | 1,375,138 | 100 |
| North-East | 232,847 | 62.5 | 372,333 | 100 |
| North-West | 550,734 | 34.2 | 1,608,441 | 100 |
| South-East | 2,328,592 | 93.9 | 2,480,914 | 100 |
| South-South | 1,417,468 | 54.1 | 2,617,842 | 100 |
| South-West | 1,621,391 | 39.1 | 4,147,004 | 100 |
| Total | 6,892,800 | 54.7 | 12,601,673 | 100 |

Table 13.4A: Distribution of Youth who live with Guardians by zone and the Reasons

| Zone | reason for staying with Guandian |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | For education <br> purpose/learn trade |  | Separation of <br> parents |  | Parents divorced |  |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North-Central | $1,156,724$ | 87 | 33,152 | 2.5 | 40,567 | 3.1 |
| North-East | 45,506 | 43.9 | 18,549 | 17.9 | 0 | 0 |
| North-West | 174,357 | 37.1 | 83,438 | 17.7 | 13,604 | 2.9 |
| South-East | 681,850 | 81.9 | 9,416 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 |
| South-South | 799,979 | 74.4 | 59,478 | 5.5 | 101,653 | 9.4 |
| South-West | $1,402,588$ | 64.5 | 340,202 | 15.7 | 154,702 | 7.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 2 6 1 , 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 4 , 2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0 , 5 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ |

Table 13.4B: Distribution of Youth who live with Guardians by zone and the Reasons

| Zone | reason for staying with Guandian |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Death of parents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number |  |  | Percent |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  |  |
| North-Central | 98,364 | 7.4 | $1,328,807$ | 100 |  |
| North-East | 39,694 | 38.3 | 103,749 | 100 |  |
| North-West | 199,071 | 42.3 | 470,470 | 100 |  |
| South-East | 140,967 | 16.9 | 832,233 | 100 |  |
| South-South | 114,630 | 10.7 | $1,075,740$ | 100 |  |
| South-West | 275,982 | 12.7 | $2,173,474$ | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 6 8 , 7 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 8 4 , 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 14.1: Distribution of Youth who experienced environmental concerns by zone

| zone | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Per | No | Per | No | Per |
| North- <br> Central | $2,639,450$ | 19.7 | $10,744,743$ | 80.3 | $13,384,193$ | 100 |
| North- <br> East | 981,966 | 8.7 | $10,273,112$ | 91.3 | $11,255,078$ | 100 |
| North- <br> West | $1,403,475$ | 6.8 | $19,370,222$ | 93.2 | $20,773,697$ | 100 |
| South- <br> East | $1,819,559$ | 15.2 | $10,124,721$ | 84.8 | $11,944,280$ | 100 |
| South- <br> South | $4,030,985$ | 28.4 | $10,168,031$ | 71.6 | $14,199,016$ | 100 |
| South- <br> West | $5,561,767$ | 23.4 | $18,197,113$ | 76.6 | $23,758,880$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 3 7 , 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 , 8 7 7 , 9 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 8}$ | $95,315,144$ | 100 |

Table 14.2A: Distribution of Youth who experienced environmental concerns by zone and Type of Environmental Concerns

| Type of Pollution | Item | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Air Pollution | Yes | 311,233 | 18.1 | 274,767 | 16 | 419,682 | 24.5 |
|  | No | 2,328,217 | 15.8 | 707,198 | 4.8 | 983,793 | 6.7 |
|  | Total | 2,639,450 | 16.1 | 981,966 | 6 | 1,403,475 | 8.5 |
| Water Pollution | Yes | 466,273 | 24.7 | 90,765 | 4.8 | 447,570 | 23.7 |
|  | No | 2,173,177 | 14.9 | 891,201 | 6.1 | 955,905 | 6.6 |
|  | Total | 2,639,450 | 16.1 | 981,966 | 6 | 1,403,475 | 8.5 |
| Erosions | Yes | 1,013,088 | 10.1 | 581,810 | 5.8 | 324,228 | 3.2 |
|  | No | 1,626,362 | 25.6 | 400,156 | 6.3 | 1,079,247 | 17 |
|  | Total | 2,639,450 | 16.1 | 981,966 | 6 | 1,403,475 | 8.5 |
| Bush Burning | Yes | 210,251 | 44.2 | 8,409 | 1.8 | 31,289 | 6.6 |
|  | No | 2,429,198 | 15.2 | 973,557 | 6.1 | 1,372,186 | 8.6 |
|  | Total | 2,639,450 | 16.1 | 981,966 | 6 | 1,403,475 | 8.5 |
| Deterioration through agric. activities | Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77,996 | 15.8 |
|  | No | 2,639,450 | 16.6 | 981,966 | 6.2 | 1,325,479 | 8.3 |
|  | Total | 2,639,450 | 16.1 | 981,966 | 6 | 1,403,475 | 8.5 |
| Indiscriminate dumping of solid waste | Yes | 638,605 | 37 | 26,215 | 1.5 | 94,094 | 5.5 |
|  | No | 2,000,844 | 13.6 | 955,751 | 6.5 | 1,309,381 | 8.9 |
|  | Total | 2,639,450 | 16.1 | 981,966 | 6 | 1,403,475 | 8.5 |

Table 14.2B: Distribution of Youth who experienced environmental concerns by zone and Type of Environmental Concerns

| Type of Pollution | Item | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Air Pollution | Yes | 23,264 | 1.4 | 118,956 | 6.9 | 567,958 | 33.1 |
|  | No | 1,796,295 | 12.2 | 3,912,029 | 26.6 | 4,993,809 | 33.9 |
|  | Total | 1,819,559 | 11.1 | 4,030,985 | 24.5 | 5,561,767 | 33.8 |
| Water Pollution | Yes | 13,294 | 0.7 | 98,409 | 5.2 | 770,647 | 40.8 |
|  | No | 1,806,265 | 12.4 | 3,932,576 | 27 | 4,791,120 | 32.9 |
|  | Total | 1,819,559 | 11.1 | 4,030,985 | 24.5 | 5,561,767 | 33.8 |
| Erosions | Yes | 1,774,693 | 17.6 | 3,127,460 | 31 | 3,254,839 | 32.3 |
|  | No | 44,866 | 0.7 | 903,525 | 14.2 | 2,306,928 | 36.3 |
|  | Total | 1,819,559 | 11.1 | 4,030,985 | 24.5 | 5,561,767 | 33.8 |
| Bush Burning | Yes | 0 | 0 | 207,632 | 43.6 | 18,263 | 3.8 |
|  | No | 1,819,559 | 11.4 | 3,823,353 | 24 | 5,543,504 | 34.7 |
|  | Total | 1,819,559 | 11.1 | 4,030,985 | 24.5 | 5,561,767 | 33.8 |
| Deterioration through agric. activities | Yes | 8,308 | 1.7 | 98,409 | 19.9 | 308,689 | 62.6 |
|  | No | 1,811,250 | 11.4 | 3,932,576 | 24.7 | 5,253,079 | 32.9 |
|  | Total | 1,819,559 | 11.1 | 4,030,985 | 24.5 | 5,561,767 | 33.8 |
| Indiscriminate dumping of solid waste | Yes | 0 | 0 | 380,118 | 22 | 587,296 | 34 |
|  | No | 1,819,559 | 12.4 | 3,650,866 | 24.8 | 4,974,471 | 33.8 |
|  | Total | 1,819,559 | 11.1 | 4,030,985 | 24.5 | 5,561,767 | 33.8 |

Table 14.3A: Distribution of Youth who are involved in the effort to convert the environmental degradation by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North- <br> Central | 743,949 | 51.7 | 694,377 | 48.3 | $1,438,326$ | 100 |
| North-East | 95,216 | 91.9 | 8,409 | 8.1 | 103,625 | 100 |
| North-West | 330,349 | 44.9 | 406,078 | 55.1 | 736,428 | 100 |
| South-East | 422,071 | 49.5 | 430,933 | 50.5 | 853,005 | 100 |
| South- <br> South | 881,896 | 71.3 | 355,786 | 28.7 | $1,237,683$ | 100 |
| South-West | 280,756 | 75.5 | 91,317 | 24.5 | 372,074 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 4 , 2 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 8 6 , 9 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 4 1 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 14.3B: Distribution of Youth who are involved in the effort to convert the environmental degradation by zone and sex

| zone | No |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| North-Central | 616,483 | 51.3 | 584,640 | 48.7 | $1,201,124$ | 100 |  |
| North-East | 409,431 | 46.6 | 468,910 | 53.4 | 878,341 | 100 |  |
| North-West | 378,870 | 56.8 | 288,177 | 43.2 | 667,047 | 100 |  |
| South-East | 516,788 | 53.5 | 449,766 | 46.5 | 966,554 | 100 |  |
| South-South | $1,108,724$ | 39.7 | $1,684,579$ | 60.3 | $2,793,302$ | 100 |  |
| South-West | $2,312,300$ | 44.6 | $2,877,394$ | 55.4 | $5,189,694$ | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 3 4 2 , 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 5 3 , 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 9 6 , 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 14.4: Distribution of Youth who are involved in the effort to convert the environmental degradation by zone

| zone | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | $1,438,326$ | 54.5 | $1,201,124$ | 45.5 | $2,639,450$ | 100 |
| North-East | 103,625 | 10.6 | 878,341 | 89.4 | 981,966 | 100 |
| North-West | 736,428 | 52.5 | 667,047 | 47.5 | $1,403,475$ | 100 |
| South-East | 853,005 | 46.9 | 966,554 | 53.1 | $1,819,559$ | 100 |
| South-South | $1,237,683$ | 30.7 | $2,793,302$ | 69.3 | $4,030,985$ | 100 |
| South-West | 372,074 | 6.7 | $5,189,694$ | 93.3 | $5,561,767$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 7 4 1 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 9 6 , 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 3 7 , 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 14.5A: Distribution of Youth who are involved in the effort to convert the environmental degradation by zone, sex and Level of involvement

| Types | Sex | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Individual Efforts | Male | 18,757 | 4 | 55,151 | 11.6 | 79,810 | 16.8 |
|  | Female | 117,713 | 19.4 | 8,409 | 1.4 | 141,254 | 23.3 |
|  | Total | 136,470 | 12.6 | 63,560 | 5.9 | 221,064 | 20.4 |
| With other youths in the community | Male | 718,213 | 31.9 | 20,527 | 0.9 | 250,540 | 11.1 |
|  | Female | 576,664 | 42.8 | 0 | 0 | 233,081 | 17.3 |
|  | Total | 1,294,877 | 36 | 20,527 | 0.6 | 483,621 | 13.4 |
| Through membership of NGOs | Male | 0 | 0 | 11,129 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,743 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 11,129 | 26 | 31,743 | 74 |
| Through religious activities with Government agency | Male | 6,979 | 45.4 | 8,409 | 54.6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 6,979 | 45.4 | 8,409 | 54.6 | 0 | 0 |

Table 14.5B: Distribution of Youth who are involved in the effort to convert the environmental degradation by zone, sex and Level of involvement

| Types | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Individual Efforts | 59,821 | 12.6 | 246,023 | 51.8 | 15,041 | 3.2 | 474,602 | 100 |
|  | 81,423 | 13.4 | 224,935 | 37.1 | 32,946 | 5.4 | 606,680 | 100 |
|  | 141,244 | 13.1 | 470,957 | 43.6 | 47,986 | 4.4 | $1,081,283$ | 100 |
|  | 362,250 | 16.1 | 635,874 | 28.2 | 265,716 | 11.8 | $2,253,119$ | 100 |
|  | 349,510 | 25.9 | 130,852 | 9.7 | 58,372 | 4.3 | $1,348,478$ | 100 |
| Through <br> membership of <br> NGOs | 711,760 | 19.8 | 766,725 | 21.3 | 324,087 | 9 | $3,601,597$ | 100 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,129 | 100 |
| Through religious <br> activities with <br> Government <br> agency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,743 | 100 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,872 | 100 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,388 | 100 |

Table 15.1: Distribution of Youth who operate Non Farm Enterprise by zone and sex

| Z Zone | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 29.3 | 70.7 | 100 | 50.8 | 49.2 | 100 |
| North-East | 64 | 36 | 100 | 47 | 53 | 100 |
| North-West | 17.1 | 82.9 | 100 | 51.3 | 48.7 | 100 |
| South-East | 57.6 | 42.4 | 100 | 48.9 | 51.1 | 100 |
| South-South | 26.5 | 73.5 | 100 | 51.4 | 48.6 | 100 |
| South-West | 53.8 | 46.2 | 100 | 49.3 | 50.7 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.2: Distribution of Youth who operate Non Farm Enterprise by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 11.5 | 88.5 | 100 |
| North-East | 1.1 | 98.9 | 100 |
| North-West | 2.3 | 97.7 | 100 |
| South-East | 6.6 | 93.4 | 100 |
| South-South | 12.6 | 87.4 | 100 |
| South-West | $\mathbf{2}$ | 98 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.3: Distribution of Youth Average Start up Capital and Annual Sales Turnover by zone

| zone | Start-Up <br> Capital at <br> inception | Annual <br> sales/turnover for <br> December 2020 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Average | Average |
| North- <br> Central | 133,365 | 185,887 |
| North-East | 140,676 | 158,537 |
| North-West | 39,456 | 53,101 |
| South-East | 138,306 | 88,599 |
| South-South | 181,730 | 482,974 |
| South-West | 183,165 | 146,104 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 6 , 9 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 , 2 9 4}$ |

Table 15.4: Distribution of maximum Youth Start up Capital and Annual Sales Turnover by zone

| zone | Start-Up <br> Capital at <br> inception | What is your <br> annual <br> sales/turnover for <br> December 2020 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Maximum | Maximum |
| North- <br> Central | $5,000,000$ | $2,000,000$ |
| North-East | 900,000 | 600,000 |
| North-West | 250,000 | 300,000 |
| South-East | 800,000 | 500,000 |
| South-South | $2,000,000$ | $12,000,000$ |
| South-West | $1,500,000$ | 300,000 |
| Total | $5,000,000$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

Table 15.5: Distribution of Youth with Registered business by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 8 | 92 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| North-West | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| South-East | 7.2 | 92.8 | 100 |
| South-South | 12.2 | 87.8 | 100 |
| South-West | 13.2 | 86.8 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.6A: Distribution of Youth with Legal business status by zone and sex

| Business Status | Sex | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Percent | Numbers | Percent | Numbers | Percent |
| Sole Proprietorship | Male | 450,974 | 30.7 | 79,636 | 5.4 | 80,944 | 5.5 |
|  | Female | 1,041,877 | 32.2 | 44,764 | 1.4 | 392,928 | 12.2 |
|  | Total | 1,492,852 | 31.7 | 124,400 | 2.6 | 473,871 | 10.1 |
| Partnership | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 46,892 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 46,892 | 59.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private limited liablity company | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 15.6B: Distribution of Youth with Legal business status by zone and sex

| Business Status | Sex | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Percent | Numbers | Percent | Numbers | Percent | Numbers | Percent |
| Sole Proprietorship | Male | 315,722 | 21.5 | 442,300 | 30.1 | 99,196 | 6.8 | 1,468,772 | 100 |
|  | Female | 249,255 | 7.7 | 1,287,698 | 39.8 | 217,013 | 6.7 | 3,233,535 | 100 |
|  | Total | 564,977 | 12 | 1,729,998 | 36.8 | 316,209 | 6.7 | 4,702,307 | 100 |
| Partnership | Male | 0 | 0 | 31,631 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 31,631 | 100 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46,892 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 31,631 | 40.3 | 0 | 0 | 78,524 | 100 |
| Private limited liablity company | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 16,221 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 16,221 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 16,221 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 16,221 | 100 |
| Others | Male | 135,982 | 46.9 | 0 | 0 | 153,986 | 53.1 | 289,968 | 100 |
|  | Female | 83,085 | 89 | 10,273 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 93,358 | 100 |
|  | Total | 219,067 | 57.1 | 10,273 | 2.7 | 153,986 | 40.2 | 383,327 | 100 |

Table 15.7A: Distribution of Youth with Legal business status by zone

| zone | Sole Proprietorship |  | Partnership |  | Private limited liability <br> company |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | $1,492,852$ | 97 | 46,892 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| North-East | 124,400 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| North-West | 473,871 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South-East | 564,977 | 72.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South-South | $1,729,998$ | 96.7 | 31,631 | 1.8 | 16,221 | 0.9 |
| South-West | 316,209 | 67.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 7 0 2 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 , 5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |

Table 15.7B: Distribution of Youth with Legal business status by zone

| zone | Others |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number |  | Percent | Number |
| North-Central | 0 | 0 | Percent |  |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | $1,539,744$ | 100 |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 124,400 | 100 |
| South-East | 219,067 | 10,273 | 27.9 | 473,871 |
| South-South | 153,986 | 0.6 | 784,044 | 100 |
| South-West | $\mathbf{3 8 3 , 3 2 7}$ | 32.7 | $1,788,124$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | 470,195 | 100 |  |

Table 15.8: Distribution of Youth with source of fund by zone

| Type | Item | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North-Central | North- <br> East | North- <br> West | South- <br> East | SouthSouth | South- <br> West | Total |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Personal Saving | Yes | 32.3 | 2.6 | 9.4 | 17.1 | 30.5 | 8.2 | 100 |
|  | No | 23.8 | 2 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 43.9 | 11.1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Loan | Yes | 29.7 | 0 | 8.2 | 15.2 | 29.7 | 17.3 | 100 |
|  | No | 29.7 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 15.1 | 34.9 | 8.5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Family Source | Yes | 37 | 2.4 | 13 | 20 | 26.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
|  | No | 21.5 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 9.6 | 44 | 17.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Cooperative/Esusu | Yes | 49.2 | 1.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 21.9 | 26.2 | 100 |
|  | No | 26 | 2.6 | 10.9 | 17.8 | 36.9 | 5.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Grants | Yes | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.6 | 0 | 100 |
|  | No | 32.3 | 2.6 | 10 | 16.6 | 28.5 | 10 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Others | Yes | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 20.6 | 10.2 | 24.8 | 100 |
|  | No | 30.3 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 15 | 35 | 8.8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |

Table 15.9: Distribution of Youth with access to bank finances by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 71.6 | 28.4 | 100 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 100 |
| North-East | 57.5 | 42.5 | 100 | 66.4 | 33.6 | 100 |
| North-West | 34.5 | 65.5 | 100 | 13 | 87 | 100 |
| South-East | 61.7 | 38.3 | 100 | 53.9 | 46.1 | 100 |
| South-South | 23.2 | 76.8 | 100 | 27.4 | 72.6 | 100 |
| South-West | 36.4 | 63.6 | 100 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 100 |
| Total | 44.9 | 55.1 | 100 | 32 | 68 | 100 |

Table 15.10: Distribution of Youth with access to bank finances by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 7 | 93 | 100 |
| North-East | 26.6 | 73.4 | 100 |
| North-West | 18.9 | 81.1 | 100 |
| South-East | 47.4 | 52.6 | 100 |
| South-South | 20.8 | 79.2 | 100 |
| South-West | 13.2 | 86.8 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.11: Distribution of Youth with reason to inaccessibility to bank finances by zone

| zone | Reasons |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non availability of collateral | High Interest rate | Stringent <br> Bank <br> policies | Government Policies | Others specify | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 23.6 | 26.7 | 33.8 | 3.1 | 12.8 | 100 |
| North-East | 60.2 | 26.6 | 0 | 13.3 | 0 | 100 |
| North-West | 26.8 | 7 | 54.5 | 0 | 11.7 | 100 |
| South-East | 21.2 | 21.1 | 15.4 | 5.8 | 36.5 | 100 |
| South-South | 19.8 | 45.7 | 17.3 | 15.7 | 1.5 | 100 |
| South-West | 21.9 | 35.5 | 6.1 | 0 | 36.4 | 100 |
| Total | 23 | 31.7 | 24.8 | 7.3 | 13.2 | 100 |

Table 15.12: Distribution of Youth who employ staff by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 38.4 | 61.6 | 100 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 100 |
| North-East | 100 | 0 | 100 | 57.5 | 42.5 | 100 |
| North-West | 0 | 100 | 100 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 100 |
| South-East | 63.8 | 36.2 | 100 | 56.6 | 43.4 | 100 |
| South-South | 37.5 | 62.5 | 100 | 24.8 | 75.2 | 100 |
| South-West | 100 | 0 | 100 | 46.5 | 53.5 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.13 Distribution of Youth who employ staff by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 6.7 | 93.3 | 100 |
| North-East | 15.3 | 84.7 | 100 |
| North-West | 8.9 | 91.1 | 100 |
| South-East | 14.1 | 85.9 | 100 |
| South-South | 13.7 | 86.3 | 100 |
| South-West | 13.7 | 86.3 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.14: Distribution of Youth's export products by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 1.2 | 98.8 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| North-West | 5.8 | 94.2 | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| South-South | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| South-West | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.15: Distribution of Youth with Business plan by zone and sex

| zone |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |
| North-Central | 40.8 | 59.2 | 100 | 28.4 | 71.6 | 100 |  |
| North-East | 70.4 | 29.6 | 100 | 62.1 | 37.9 | 100 |  |
| North-West | 100 | 0 | 100 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 100 |  |
| South-East | 74.4 | 25.6 | 100 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 100 |  |
| South-South | 31 | 69 | 100 | 20.7 | 79.3 | 100 |  |
| South-West | 71 | 29 | 100 | 39.6 | 60.4 | 100 |  |
| Total | 41.8 | 58.2 | 100 | 31.7 | 68.3 | 100 |  |

Table 15.16: Distribution of Youth with Business plan by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 7.2 | 92.8 | 100 |
| North-East | 22.9 | 77.1 | 100 |
| North-West | 4.4 | 95.6 | 100 |
| South-East | 10.5 | 89.5 | 100 |
| South-South | 56 | 44 | 100 |
| South-West | 45.4 | 54.6 | 100 |
| Total | 28.1 | 71.9 | 100 |

Table 15.17: Distribution of Youth with Average Business plan year by zone

| zone | Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| North-Central |  |
| North-East |  |
| North-West | 5 |
| South-East | 2 |
| South-South | 6 |
| South-West | 11 |
| Total | 9 |

Table 15.18: Distribution of Youth with Business Training by zone and sex

| zone | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 42.4 | 57.6 | 100 | 18.5 | 81.5 | 100 |
| North-East | 80.8 | 19.2 | 100 | 54.9 | 45.1 | 100 |
| North-West | 26.3 | 73.7 | 100 | 15.2 | 84.8 | 100 |
| South-East | 76.3 | 23.7 | 100 | 50.7 | 49.3 | 100 |
| South-South | 30.4 | 69.6 | 100 | 21.5 | 78.5 | 100 |
| South-West | 56.9 | 43.1 | 100 | 52.7 | 47.3 | 100 |
| Total | 41.2 | 58.8 | 100 | 29.8 | 70.2 | 100 |

Table 15.19: Distribution of Youth with Business Training by zone

| zone | Yes | No | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 45.1 | 54.9 | 100 |
| North-East | 35.2 | 64.8 | 100 |
| North-West | 16.7 | 83.3 | 100 |
| South-East | 27.1 | 72.9 | 100 |
| South-South | 56 | 44 | 100 |
| South-West | 27.2 | 72.8 | 100 |
| Total | 41.7 | 58.3 | 100 |

Table 15.20: Distribution of Youth by who gave the training and zone

| zone | Government | Private organizations | Individuals | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 0 | 36.9 | 63.1 | 100 |
| North-East | 25.4 | 19.2 | 55.4 | 100 |
| North-West | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 11.7 | 88.3 | 100 |
| South-South | 40.2 | 14.2 | 45.5 | 100 |
| South-West | 0 | 48.5 | 51.5 | 100 |
| Total | 19.2 | 26.5 | 54.3 | 100 |

Table 15.21: Distribution of Youth by areas of training received and zone

| zone | Vocational | Entrepreneurship | Management | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 74 | 26 | 0 | 100 |
| North-East | 25.4 | 74.6 | 0 | 100 |
| North-West | 60.6 | 39.4 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0 | 100 |
| South-South | 56.2 | 43.8 | 0 | 100 |
| South-West | 39.2 | 30 | 30.8 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.22: Distribution of Youth by areas of training needed and zone and sex

| Zone | Vocational |  |  | Entrepreneurship |  |  | Management |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 19.2 | 80.8 | 100 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| North-West | 0 | 100 | 100 | 17.2 | 82.8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South-East | 50 | 50 | 100 | 51 | 49 | 100 | 46.5 | 53.5 | 100 |
| South-South | 14.5 | 85.5 | 100 | 25.3 | 74.7 | 100 | 12.9 | 87.1 | 100 |
| South-West | 52.9 | 47.1 | 100 | 52.5 | 47.5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 29.5 | 70.5 | 100 | 30.2 | 69.8 | 100 | 26.5 | 73.5 | 100 |

Table 15.23: Distribution of Youth by areas of training needed and zone

| Zone | Vocational | Entrepreneurship | Management | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| North-Central | 19.8 | 78.5 | 1.6 | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 81 | 19 | 100 |
| North-West | 11.3 | 88.7 | 0 | 100 |
| South-East | 5.2 | 87.9 | 6.9 | 100 |
| South-South | 18.5 | 67.1 | 14.4 | 100 |
| South-West | 57.3 | 42.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.24: Distribution of Youth
that received support from
Government by zone and sex

| Item | Sex | NorthCentral | NorthEast | NorthWest | South- <br> East | SouthSouth | South- <br> West | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Yes | Male | 0 | 30.1 | 0 | 0 | 69.9 | 0 | 100 |
|  | Female | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 67.7 | 0 | 0 | 32.3 | 0 | 100 |
| No | Male | 25.6 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 25.6 | 25.8 | 14.4 | 100 |
|  | Female | 32.4 | 0.4 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 39.1 | 6.5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 30.1 | 1.7 | 9.2 | 15.3 | 34.5 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Total | Male | 25.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 25.2 | 26.5 | 14.1 | 100 |
|  | Female | 32.1 | 1.3 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 38.8 | 6.4 | 100 |
|  | Total | 29.7 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 34.5 | 9.1 | 100 |

Table 15.25A: Distribution of Youth that received support from Private by zone and sex

| zone |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| North-Central | 7,197 | 21.9 | 25,736 | 78.1 | 32,934 | 100 |  |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| South-South | 15,410 | 35.8 | 27,576 | 64.2 | 42,986 | 100 |  |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 39,392 | 100 | 39,392 | 100 |  |
| Total | 22,608 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ | 92,704 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 4}$ | 115,312 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 15.25B: Distribution of Youth that received support from Private by zone and sex

| zone | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| NorthCentral | 443,777 | 29.5 | 1,063,033 | 70.5 | 1,506,810 | 100 |
| North-East | 79,636 | 64 | 44,764 | 36 | 124,400 | 100 |
| North- <br> West | 80,944 | 17.1 | 392,928 | 82.9 | 473,871 | 100 |
| South-East | 451,705 | 57.6 | 332,339 | 42.4 | 784,044 | 100 |
| SouthSouth | 458,521 | 26.3 | 1,286,617 | 73.7 | 1,745,138 | 100 |
| South- <br> West | 253,182 | 58.8 | 177,621 | 41.2 | 430,803 | 100 |
| Total | 1,767,764 | 34.9 | 3,297,303 | 65.1 | 5,065,067 | 100 |

Table 15.26: Distribution of Youth that received support from Private by zone

| zone | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| North-Central | 32,934 | 2.1 | $1,506,810$ | 97.9 | $1,539,744$ | 100 |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 124,400 | 100 | 124,400 | 100 |
| North-West | 0 | 0 | 473,871 | 100 | 473,871 | 100 |
| South-East | 0 | 0 | 784,044 | 100 | 784,044 | 100 |
| South-South | 42,986 | 2.4 | $1,745,138$ | 97.6 | $1,788,124$ | 100 |
| South-West | 39,392 | 8.4 | 430,803 | 91.6 | 470,195 | 100 |
| Total | 115,312 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $5,065,067$ | 97.8 | $5,180,378$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 15.27A: Distribution of Youth by Type of support received from
Private and zone

| Type of Support | Item | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North-Central |  | North-East |  | North-West |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Capacity Building | Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No | 32,934 | 50.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 32,934 | 28.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loans/Grants | Yes | 25,736 | 30.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No | 7,197 | 22.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 32,934 | 28.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Provision of infrastructure | Yes | 7,197 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No | 25,736 | 37.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 32,934 | 28.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Patronage | Yes | 7,197 | 12.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No | 25,736 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 32,934 | 28.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Provision of equipment | Yes | 7,197 | 41.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | No | 25,736 | 26.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 32,934 | 28.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 15.27B: Distribution of Youth by Type of support received from Private and zone

| Type of Support | Item | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | South-East |  | South-South |  | South-West |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Capacity Building | Yes | 0 | 0 | 10,273 | 20.7 | 39,392 | 79.3 | 49,665 | 100 |
|  | No | 0 | 0 | 32,713 | 49.8 | 0 | 0 | 65,646 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 42,986 | 37.3 | 39,392 | 34.2 | 115,312 | 100 |
| Loans/Grants | Yes | 0 | 0 | 18,384 | 22 | 39,392 | 47.2 | 83,512 | 100 |
|  | No | 0 | 0 | 24,602 | 77.4 | 0 | 0 | 31,800 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 42,986 | 37.3 | 39,392 | 34.2 | 115,312 | 100 |
| Provision of infrastructure | Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39,392 | 84.6 | 46,589 | 100 |
|  | No | 0 | 0 | 42,986 | 62.6 | 0 | 0 | 68,722 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 42,986 | 37.3 | 39,392 | 34.2 | 115,312 | 100 |
| Patronage | Yes | 0 | 0 | 10,273 | 18.1 | 39,392 | 69.3 | 56,863 | 100 |
|  | No | 0 | 0 | 32,713 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 58,449 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 42,986 | 37.3 | 39,392 | 34.2 | 115,312 | 100 |
| Provision of equipment | Yes | 0 | 0 | 10,273 | 58.8 | 0 | 0 | 17,471 | 100 |
|  | No | 0 | 0 | 32,713 | 33.4 | 39,392 | 40.3 | 97,841 | 100 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 42,986 | 37.3 | 39,392 | 34.2 | 115,312 | 100 |

Table 15.28: Distribution of Youth by major challenge faced in their enterprises and zone

| zone | Major Challenges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Lack of training | Obsolete <br> equipment | Lack of <br> access <br> to <br> to | Inconsistent <br> policies | Other <br> specify | Total |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |
| North- <br> Central | 1.6 | 9.2 | 84.2 | 5 | 0 | 100 |  |  |
| North-East | 0 | 0 | 93.6 | 0 | 6.4 | 100 |  |  |
| North-West | 6.7 | 2.7 | 90.6 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |  |
| South-East | 1.1 | 3.5 | 78.1 | 10 | 7.3 | 100 |  |  |
| South-South | 5 | 3.2 | 85.9 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 100 |  |  |
| South-West | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |

